



**UNIVERSITY OF
KWAZULU-NATAL**

**INYUVESI
YAKWAZULU-NATALI**

Conflict Resolution and Management of Inter-group Relations in South Africa: A Study of Black South African Reactions to Other African Immigrants (“Amakwerekwere”), 1994-2008.

Submitted by

Chiwueze Benedict Udeh

211559240

in fulfilment of the requirements for a degree of Master of Social Sciences in Political Science at the School of Social Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Howard College, Durban.

DECLARATION

I, Chiwueze Benedict Udeh, declare that:

1. The research reported in this dissertation, except where otherwise stated, is my original work;
2. This dissertation has not been submitted for any examination or degree at any other university;
3. This dissertation does not contain any other person's information, pictures, graphs or any other data, unless where specifically referenced and acknowledged as being sourced from other persons;
4. This dissertation does not contain any other person's writings, unless specifically acknowledged as being sourced from other research writings and publications. Where other sources have been quoted then:
 - a) Their words have been re-written and the overall data attributed to them has been referenced;
 - b) Where their exact words have been used, their writings have been placed inside quotation marks and referenced.
5. Where I have reproduced publications of which I am an author, co-author or editor, I have indicated in detail the part of the publication which was actually written by myself alone and have fully referenced such publications; and
6. This dissertation does not contain text, table or graphics copied and pasted from the internet unless specifically acknowledged with the source being detailed in the dissertation and in the reference sections.

Signed: _____

Prof. Nwabufo Okeke Uzodike

Dr. Christopher A. Isike

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With sincere gratitude and thanks I wish to first acknowledge the benevolence of God Almighty who assisted and lead me all through this project despite all the challenges I encountered. I am also very grateful to my honourable supervisors Prof. Nwabufo Okeke-Uzodike and Prof. Christopher A. Isike for their enormous assistance in getting me through this work and making it become a reality. I really can't thank them enough for illuminating and guiding me through this project. I also appreciate the unlimited amount of encouragement and assistance I received from some very good friends in the names of: Mr Nkosinathi Msimango, Chiemela Onunka (MSc Eng, MBA.), Dr. Douglas Dziva, Mr Zembascreean Richard Kamidra, Prof. Gerhard Mare, Prof. Geoff Harris, Ikechukwu Okeke and Andrew Eloka Eboka (MSc Eng).

My most sincere appreciation goes to my lovely parents, Mr and Mrs Bernard Chukwunyere Udeh who are both resident in the Federal Republic of Nigeria, but made it humanly possible for me to embark on this study in South Africa with their enormous financial support, love, moral guidance and prayers. Finally I am very grateful to my friends, well-wishers and colleagues at my place of work in the Disability Unit, University of KwaZulu-Natal, for their unrelenting encouragement which saw me through the difficult times of this project.

ABSTRACT

On the 11 May 2008, South Africa was awakened to a major xenophobic violence that was targeted at mainly black African nationals resident in the country. The violence later gained momentum spreading through the different provinces of the country in a space of weeks. While this was not the first and last of these kinds of violence against African immigrants, this marked a watershed in terms of its magnitude. The net import of these kinds of attacks shows strained relations not only between South Africans and African immigrants but also between their states.

This study therefore sought to analyse the deep-seated reasons behind afrophobia and the violence that accompanies it in South Africa. This was with a view to highlighting the development implications of this afrophobia for South Africa. The overarching goal of the study which also underscores its significance is to proffer solutions on how to achieve intra-racial harmony and peaceful co-existence between different social groupings in South Africa to foster its development.

Adopting a qualitative approach, this study illuminated the hydra-headed nature of xenophobia in South Africa and analyses the danger xenophobic violence poses to South Africa's national security and reputation. Its findings showed that not only is xenophobia not peculiar to South Africa, but that the country may not be as xenophobic as is popularly portrayed by images of the May 2008 violence. In view of this, it proffers practical recommendations that will provide lasting solutions to xenophobic violence in South Africa. Some of these include instituting and practising peace education across various organisations and tertiary institutions in South Africa, abolishing all forms of apartheid structures and racial hierarchies of social benefits, inter/intra-racial integration and upholding the teaching and learning of various South African languages across South African institutions. Others include the provision of jobs and housing, strengthening of the judicial system and government agencies to act against xenophobic violence and hate speech, and instituting national integration policies like a compulsory "national youth service" programme.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	2
ABSTRACT.....	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	4
CHAPTER ONE	6
INTRODUCTION.....	6
1.1 Background	6
1.2 Research Problem	9
1.3 Significance of Study	10
1.4 Research Objectives.....	11
1.5 Key Questions	11
1.6 Hypothesis / Propositions	11
1.7 Research Design.....	11
1.8 Methodology.....	12
CHAPTER TWO	14
LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.....	14
2.1 Introduction.....	14
2.2 Literature Review.....	14
2.2.1 Migration and Xenophobia in a Global Context.....	14
2.2.2 Apartheid’s Foundations to Xenophobic Violence in South Africa	20
2.2.2.1 Apartheid’s Legal and Psychological Foundations to Xenophobic Violence in South Africa.....	20
2.2.2.2 Apartheid Foundations of a Culture of Violence as a Prelude to the Culture of Entitlement and Xenophobic Violence in South Africa.....	30
2.2.2.3 Socio-Economic Foundations of Xenophobic Violence in Contemporary South Africa	39
2.2.3 Dimensions to the ‘Politics of Xenophobia in South Africa’	52
2.2.3.1 State Actions and Inactions and the ‘Politics of Exclusion in South Africa’	52
2.2.3.2 The Injection of Media Politics to the Flame of Xenophobic Violence	57
2.2.3.3 Black African Migrants as Melting Points for Political Gambits and Continuity with Post-Apartheid Violence	63

2.2.3.4	Anarchy and the Complexities of Nationality / Identity as the Harbinger of Xenophobic Violence in South Africa	76
2.2.3.5	The Racist Dimension to Xenophobia and the Consequences of Classification ...	85
2.3	Theoretical Framework	91
2.3.1	Frustration Aggression Theory	91
2.3.2	Relative Deprivation Theory	92
2.3.3	Peace Education Theory.....	94
2.4	Conclusion	97
CHAPTER THREE	101
DEVELOPMENT EFFECTS OF XENOPHOBIA IN SOUTH AFRICA.....		101
3.1	Introduction	101
3.2	National and Socio-Economic Effects of Xenophobic Violence in South Africa.....	101
3.3	Impact of Xenophobic Violence on South Africa’s Foreign Relations, Diplomacy and Global Image	108
3.4	Conclusion.....	111
CHAPTER FOUR	112
BUILDING PEACEFUL (INTRA-RACIAL) GROUP RELATIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA.....		112
4.1	Introduction	112
4.2	Tactical Approaches Adopted by both the State and Civil Society to Manage and Prevent “Afrophobic” Violence in South Africa.....	112
4.2.1	Sustaining Peaceful Intra-group Relations in South Africa, the South African Civil Society Perspective	113
4.2.2	Attempts by South African Government on Prevention of “Afrophobic” Violence and Maintenance of Peaceful Intra-group Relations in South Africa	117
4.3	Conclusion.....	118
CHAPTER FIVE	119
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS.....		119
5.1	Summary	119
5.2	Conclusions	119
5.3	Policy Recommendation	124
BIBLIOGRAPHY	128

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Historically in South Africa, inter-group conflicts and violence are not unusual. From initial contact, many ethnic groups have often been involved in conflicts; in particular, black groups have had inter-group conflicts dating to the pre-colonial and apartheid periods (Stapleton, 2010:20-21, Du Toit, 2001). The 18th and 19th centuries in South Africa were marked by a series of inter-group conflicts such as warfare, cattle/slave raids and battles for fertile lands. For instance, the Zulu kingdom fought with the Mpondo State forcing the Mpondos under the leadership of Faku to move to the west side of the Mzimvubu River, from where the Mpondo military strength became a force to be reckoned with in the region during the 1820s (Stapleton, 2010:13-15 and 30). There were also decisive battles between the Mpondo and the Xesibe and the Mpondo and the Ngwane. Meanwhile, the Griqua horse men along with the Tlhaping also carried out disastrous attacks on the Mantatees, while the Ngwaketse rooted out the Hurutshe in the ‘war of Maobi’ (Stapleton, 2010:15-20).

Furthermore, there were deadly battles between the Zulus and the Boers and between the British and the Boers. These various geopolitical wars that were fought between different ethnic groups such as the Zulus, the English and the Boers, which eventually culminated in the emergence of the South African state in 1910, are part of the history of inter-group conflicts in South Africa (Laband, 2008a:168-174, laband, 2008b:87-96, Jauch and Muchena, 2011:242). These latter conflicts which were underpinned by racial segregation between blacks and whites were formalised by and became intensified as a result of the apartheid system of government which came into effect in 1948 (Richmond, 1994:208, Louw and Kendall, 1986:31, 12-15, Carton et al., 2008).

According to du Toit (2001:72), the indigenous black people of South Africa were not only opposed to the apartheid system of government; but also increasingly engaged the white rulers through both peaceful and violent demonstrations. The harsh response

from the apartheid state served to further heighten their dehumanisation and led to more killings of many black people (Du Toit, 2001:72). Expectedly, many black South Africans were forced into exile to neighbouring Southern African countries such as Angola, Botswana, Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia and Zambia. Many others wound up in countries further afield such as Algeria, Nigeria and Tanzania. While in exile, black South African nationalists continued their campaign against the apartheid regime with the aid of their host nations (Human Rights Watch, 1998:25, Tutu, 2008:1) .

The relationship between black Africans and the nationalist black South Africans was very good during this period especially due to the rise of pan-africanist ideas which called for Africans to unite against oppression and colonialism. As a result many African countries and their peoples willingly offered assistance to black South Africans. For examples, many of them in exile across the continent were provided for and protected by both the government and the people of their host states sometimes to the latter groups' own detriment (Human Rights Watch, 1998:25-29). Even states outside the region such as Nigeria, which was geopolitically not a frontline¹ state, was supportive in cash and kind to the anti-apartheid effort that by 1994, through had made financial donations of about US \$61 billion towards championing the cause against apartheid (Ngwenya, 2010b, Okolo, 2008).Conversely, notable evidence of good relations between black South Africans and other black Africans based on the notion of “we are all Africans” was evident in the Swaziland independence struggle which was supported and encouraged by exiled South Africans in Swaziland (Sachikonye, 1995:67-68).

Unfortunately, the relationship between black South Africans and black Africans has grossly deteriorated in recent times (1994-2008) with the worst case scenario being an outbreak of xenophobic violence in 2008 (The Citizen, 2008:3, Tutu, 2008:1). The relationship between the two different groups is now largely characterised not only by suspicion, fear and hatred but also violence expressed by some black South Africans

¹ The collapse of apartheid and the advent of democracy in South Africa were regionally supported by a group of southern African states known as the Frontline States. These were Angola, Botswana, Lesotho, Mozambique, Swaziland, Tanzania, Zambia and, from 1980, Zimbabwe.

against African immigrants and vice versa (in some cases) in what has been famously described as afrophobia/xenophobia (Mapumulo, 2008:5, Matsinhe, 2011:310).²

Meanwhile it should be noted that since 1994 and beyond, black Africans have increasingly migrated legally and illegally in significant numbers to different parts of the world including South Africa. This is due largely to economic pull factors in South Africa as well as socio-political and economic push factors from their various countries (Muzumbukilwa, 2007:3, Nwonwu, 2010:149-152). For instance, Ngwenya contends that the attraction to South Africa “may be attributed to South Africa’s economic strength on the continent” (Ngwenya, 2010a:11). Unfortunately as it is a common problem with the case of new discoveries, the country endeared both the good and bad eggs from different parts of the world (Okolo, 2008).³

However, what is often neglected in this analysis is the role of apartheid in creating the socio-economic conditions for post-apartheid African immigration to South Africa. Because the apartheid regime in South Africa felt threatened by the anti-apartheid activities of a number of African states, it embarked on a destabilisation policy in 1979 to subvert the governments of some host nations of the exiled anti-apartheid movements (Hanlon, 1986:27, Human Rights Watch, 1998:25-29). The apartheid government carried out several strategic military and economic actions to frustrate the government and economies of the frontline states, with Angola and Mozambique being the worst hit by South Africa’s sponsored military campaigns (Hanlon, 1986:27-30, Human Rights Watch, 1998:25-31). In this way, the imperialist activities of apartheid South Africa contributed to negative political and economic conditions in the Southern African sub-region. These situations, contributed

² Afrophobia is the term used to describe the fear of fellow Africans and violence against fellow Africans. This term is deployed in the explanation of violence carried out against black African immigrants by some black South Africans in South Africa.

³ The fall of apartheid and the diverse nature of South African economy presented a very attractive picture of the nation to the world, fortunately and unfortunately this attraction lured both the good and the bad into the country. This is more in the sense that the country became very attractive for investors, professionals, traders as well as criminals. Criminals took advantage of the weaknesses in the new structures of democracy in the country to perpetrate crime with impunity. However it must be clearly noted that some of these criminals in the country are either South Africans or foreign nationals.

Also see: Nkomo, M., Mkwanazi-Twala, Z. & Carrim, N. 1995. the long shadow of Apartheid ideology: The case of open schools in South Africa. In: Bowser, P. B. (ed.) *Racism and anti-racism in world perspective*. London: Sage Publications, Solomon, H. 2003. *of myths and migration: Illegal immigration into South Africa*, Pretoria, Unisa Press, Nyamnjoh, F. B. 2006. *Insiders and outsiders: Citizenship and xenophobia in contemporary Southern Africa*, Darker, London, New York, Codesria Zed Books, Mnisi, P. 2008. State is not doing enough to educate people on refugees. *The Star* May 15, p.11.

subsequently in many citizens of these states being forced to flee their countries for South Africa, which comparatively, is more stable and stronger politically and economically. A good number of black African immigrants from these countries living in post-apartheid South Africa are actually refugees from the civil wars of the 1970s and 1980s in which apartheid South Africa is implicated (Hanlon, 1986). However, this is not to excuse the prevalence of corruption and bad governance in most of Africa which has also served to create or worsen socio-economic conditions in the continent.

Unfortunately, in spite of the good relations between black South Africans and Africans during the apartheid era, racial segregation, discrimination, misconception of African foreigners and violence against them persists in South Africa in post-apartheid South Africa. A number of inter-related arguments and factors have been advanced for this. For instance, Laing and Pather (2008:1) argue that many years into democracy in South Africa, whites enjoy the highest average incomes with as much as 450 percent more than Africans and 400 percent more than “coloureds”. These prevailing social stratification structures appear not only to have placed the black people of South Africa in a disadvantaged position with a growing sense of frustration and anger, but also the need to identify suitable targets for their continued hardships. It is in this way that some of them have come to scapegoat another vulnerable group - the African foreigners - for their social and economic difficulties.

1.2 Research Problem

The period of 1994-2008 was an evolutionary period in the history of relations between South Africans and African immigrants. The democratic elections of 1994 also marked the dawn of bitter relations between African immigrants and black South African citizens (Nyamnjoh, 2006). The height of this bitter relationship was the explosion of major xenophobic violence in 2008 which has negative implications for South Africa’s regional leadership ambitions and global image as well as for its socio-economic development (Hassim et al., 2008:1). The situation in South Africa is such that some black South Africans believe that African immigrants have come to compete with them for limited opportunities provided for them within the current social stratification (Lekota, 2008:4, Msomi, 2008:1, Sowetan, 2008b:1). As a means of ‘othering’ and excluding the African immigrant, the black South African has

therefore deployed the negative ideology of “aMakwerekwere” to describe and relate with African immigrants (Matsinhe, 2011:302-303, Nyamnjoh, 2006:38-68). The state is not an innocent bystander as some politicians in South Africa go as far as making inflammatory statements that claim that African immigrants are responsible for the rising unemployment rate, current crime wave and even the spread of diseases all over South Africa (Nyamnjoh, 2006:48, Crush, 2008:33, 44-45). This has amounted to African foreigners becoming clear target for abuse by some South African citizens and government agencies (Sichone, 2001, Landau, 2004, La Grange, 2008b:1). The abuses and hate crimes still continue in South Africa in 2012 (Ncube, 2012, Nyasa Times, 2012, SAPA, 2011). However, it is strange that these xenophobic attacks are also meted out on poor black African immigrants who have lived for so long among poor black South Africans (Zondi, 2008:26-27, Ratsatsi, 2008:8). This shows that irrespective of the duration of residence of African immigrants in South Africa, the relationship between the two groups, that is, the migrants and black South Africans, has been characterized by conflicts and contestations of belonging.

What are the deep-seated psycho-social, economic and political dynamics around selective xenophobia in South Africa? What are the development implications of selective xenophobia or afrophobia for South Africa? And how best can these issues be managed to foster better relations between the groups involved? It is the objective of this study to explore issues of conflict prevention, resolution and management in the relations between black South Africans and African immigrants in South Africa. In so doing, this study seeks not only to ascertain the reasons behind afrophobia and the violence that accompanies it in South Africa, but also to assess the implications of the conflict for South African development and regional ambitions.

1.3 Significance of Study

It is important to carry out a study of this nature because of the negative development implications a tense relationship between black South Africans and black African immigrants will continue to have for South Africa if this is not checked. This study reveals that this relationship has not improved since the last major outbreak of afrophobia in 2008. Therefore, an evaluative study aimed at understanding the relationship between both parties in a post-apartheid South Africa with a view to

proffer solutions for peaceful co-existence is significant especially in the light of its implications for regional solidarity and nation-building in South Africa.

1.4 Research Objectives

This study has the following as its specific objectives:

- To analyse the deep-seated reasons behind afrophobia and the violence that accompanies it in South Africa
- To highlight the development implications of this afrophobia for South Africa
- To proffer solutions on how to achieve intra-racial harmony and peaceful co-existence between different social groupings in South Africa.

1.5 Key Questions

- What are the deep-seated reasons behind afrophobia and the violence that accompanies it in South Africa?
- What are the development implications of afrophobia or xenophobia in South Africa?
- What are the possible solutions to inter-group or intra-racial conflicts in South Africa and how can they be implemented?

1.6 Hypothesis / Propositions

- The dynamics of apartheid group relations between black and white South Africans is a cause of afrophobia in post-apartheid South Africa.

1.7 Research Design

The study adopted a combination of various overlapping research approaches and techniques to answer the broad research questions outlined. Accordingly, it combined historical and qualitative approaches. For example, the proposed study adopted a historical research approach with a qualitative approach bearing in mind the descriptive and exploratory nature of the study. Historical research method involves

the systematic collection and objective evaluation of data related to past events in order to test the hypothesis concerning causes, effects, patterns, or trends of these events that may help to explain present and anticipate future events. Also this research is exploratory as it seeks to attain novel insights and information on the proposed research area (Babbie and Mouton, 2006:80). The study also employed the qualitative research method due to the empirical and exploratory nature of the research. Qualitative research is most appropriate if the purpose of the study is to 'describe a situation, phenomenon, problem or event; the information is gathered through the use of variables measured on nominal or ordinal scales (qualitative measurement scales), and if analysis is done to establish the variation in the situation, phenomenon or problem without quantifying it' (Kumar, 1999:10). It should be employed when the phenomena to be studied are 'complex, are social in nature, and do not lend themselves to quantification' (Liebscher, 1998:46(4), 668-680).

1.8 Methodology

Data is generated from mainly secondary sources. Data that are extracted from already existing literature is known as secondary data; conversely, the primary source of data is information collected directly by the researcher (Kumar, 1999:104). Secondary data is used to provide broad contextual and bibliographic information in order to illuminate the essence of this study. Relevant secondary data was sourced from a review of books, newspapers, magazines, government documents and legislation, research reports and statistics, academic journals and internet articles, press releases, archival materials, seminar papers and unpublished theses that speak to the theme of citizenship, immigration, xenophobia and peace in South Africa.

Data analysis: Due to the sensitive nature of this research and the enormous wealth of available secondary data resources, data was obtained from only secondary sources. This was analysed using content analysis in order to ascertain the influence of African immigration on the notion of citizenship in South Africa. In the words of Neuendorf (2002:10),

Content analysis is a summarising, quantitative analysis of messages that relies on the scientific method (including attention to objectivity, inter-subjectivity, a priori design, reliability, validity, generalisability, replicability, and hypothesis testing) and is not limited as to the types

of variables that may be measured or the context in which the messages are created or presented.

This method of data analysis can be used in any kind of communication (Babbie and Mouton, 2006:383). Concisely, the study have carried out a collection and analyses of secondary data in order to produce a holistic but nuanced analysis of the implications of African immigration to South Africa.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Introduction

Having thoroughly introduced this project in the previous chapter, bearing in mind that this project carried out an in-depth study into the causes and solutions to afrophobia in South Africa, it then becomes absolutely necessary to embark on a literature survey which will lead to a theoretical frame work suitable enough to carry on with the study.

It is in this light therefore that this chapter undertook a very thorough review of available literature in order to investigate the true nature of Afrophobia in South Africa and the violence that accompanies it, drawing its strength from various studies that paved way for a suitable set of theoretical design.

2.2 Literature Review

2.2.1 Migration and Xenophobia in a Global Context

Xenophobia is an issue not only peculiar to South Africa but also prevalent in different countries around the world. In Romania, for instance, xenophobia comes in the form of anti-Semitism, hatred for Hungarians and Russians who are citizens of their neighbouring countries (Saideman and Ayres, 2008:155-160). In the name of fear of domination, Romanians are said to exhibit xenophobic attitudes towards Russians more than Hungarians or any other immigrant resident in Romania. Meanwhile in Australia, there are xenophobic sentiments against immigrants; this is irrespective of the fact that Australia is a multicultural society. Foreigners are all reported to be perceived as criminals, asylum seekers or illegal immigrants. These sentiments are alleged to be directed more especially at those that arrived in the country on boats. To make matters worse, government and opposition parties are said

to be indulging in whipping up fears and loathing of refugees (Burnside, 2009).

In France, anti-immigrant attitudes were spurred by the presence of Muslims and other races in a once predominantly white and largely catholic country. Xenophobia became so rife in France that immigration became highly politicised with the media and French citizens blaming unemployment and insecurity on immigrants (Roemer et al., 2007:237-247). As a result, the French government tightened up immigration laws as foreigners became labelled as criminals and a threat to the welfare of the average French citizen. In the 1980s, anti-immigrant political parties emerged in France and quickly became very successful -- perhaps, an indication that xenophobic attitudes had become widely accepted as patriotism in France (Roemer et al, 2007:237-247). In what seems to be the most infamous case of brutality against foreigners in France, the Mayor of Paris evicted forcefully some foreigners by bulldozing their hostel on the eve of Christmas in 1980, thereby rendering many African immigrants living in Paris homeless (Roemer et al, 2007:245-246). Campbell (2003:77) contends that in Britain, immigrants from white dominated continents are more welcomed by Britons. This is based on the fact that the British are afraid of allegedly getting their culture and national identity contaminated by people from other continents such as Africa, Asia and the Caribbean. Based on this, certain immigration restrictions were applied on people coming from these continents (Campbell, 2003:77). However, in the United States of America (USA), xenophobic violence is reported to be carried out in the form of anti-Hispanic hate crimes. Reports indicate that there are possibilities that alleged increase in hate crimes in the USA is as a result of Hispanic immigration and personal grudges directed at mostly Hispanics (Stacey et al., 2011:287-294).

However, taking a look at xenophobia in the USA from a historical perspective reveals that xenophobia was rife in the USA in the 19th century. But the situation grew worse from 1885 with white Americans reported to have rioted against Chinese residents. Later on in the 1890s, unemployed white farm workers were reported to have out of intolerance, launched xenophobic attacks on their Chinese counterparts to violently expel them from the fields. There were other cases of violence against Mexicans, Italians and Asians in the USA, but in 1891 a mob was reported to have lynched 11 Sicilians accused of murdering a police officer. Violence against Mexicans grew in magnitude and proportion in 1914 but despite this, English

speaking Canadians were welcomed in the US by Native Americans. Amongst those also welcomed in the USA during this period by locals were immigrants from England, Germany and the Scandinavia, while at the same time displaying hatred for Irish Catholics, French speaking Canadians and Jews (Fetzer, 2000:30). Incidentally this is similar to the situation in South Africa in the sense that the xenophobic violence reported in the USA is selective and not targeted at all foreigners resident in the United States of America.

Apparently, there is a striking similarity between the type of xenophobic attitudes reported in the USA and the nature of xenophobic violence experienced in South Africa, as well as the oppressive policies of the defunct apartheid regime in South Africa. According to Mikulich (2009:4) there are fears that the influx of Mexican and Latino immigrants in the U.S will undermine “white European—power over U.S. identity”. Thus Mikulich (2009:4) writes:

U.S. American xenophobia, based on the assumption that ‘our country’ is defined by, and should maintain, its dominant white European heritage is rooted in the myth of the U.S. as a nation of European immigrants. This myth represses America’s original sin of racism and obscures the fact that the country was in part built, advanced, and sustained on the backs of African people who were stripped from their cultures of birth and arrived involuntarily via the Atlantic slave trade.

To further exercise control and protect their identity and interests, white Europeans in the U.S in a bid similar to the emergence of the aliens control act, Afrikaner nationalism and the Bantu Self-Governing Act of 1959 of the apartheid regime in South Africa, saw to it the enactment of the Naturalization Act of 1790 which restricted U.S Citizenship to only whites (Mikulich, 2009:4) . It must be recalled that the aliens control act of the defunct apartheid regime of South Africa was used to suppress the naturalisation of black African migrants as permanent South African residents, while paving the way for white migrants to acquire permanent South African residence (Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-161). Incidentally, the Bantu-self Governing Act legalised self-governance for the segregated black South Africans in their homelands, while at the same time ensuring that they were denied South African citizenship. Meanwhile Afrikaner nationalism was reported to have been founded on

the belief that South Africa is ‘God-given to white people in general, and Afrikaners in particular, on spurious theological grounds’ (Frye et al., 2011:246).

In a move similar to the Group Areas Act of 1950 which was used to carry out forced migration/eviction of black South Africans (including coloureds and Indians) from white dominated areas (Frye et al., 2011:246, South African History Online, 1950, Johnson, 1951:286). And which is also similar to the forceful evictions of black African migrants resident in South Africa by gangs claiming to be representatives of national political parties in a mob action code named “operation Buyelekaya”(Human Rights Watch, 1998:135-136). The U.S. government several years after the end of the Mexican-American wars in 1848, came up with the “Mexican Repatriation Act of 1930” and subsequently launched “operation Wetback” which both saw to it the forced removal from the USA, Mexicans and USA citizens of Mexican origin at the emergence of the great depression. Although unlike the case of South Africa, there seems to be a very poor record of xenophobic violence but history seems to be repeating itself in the USA as Mikulich alleges that there is a current political slogan in the country that goes: ‘save jobs for true Americans’, an outcry similar to the screams of some black South Africans in South Africa that foreigners (*amakwerekwere*) are stealing jobs away from black South Africans (Mikulich, 2009:4, Rondganger, 2008a:6, Nyamnjoh, 2006:38-41). These similarities are indicative of the fact that the contest of belonging between migrants and citizens is not only peculiar to Africa or South Africa in this context.

Meanwhile in India, xenophobic sentiments are mostly carried out against Bangladeshi immigrants who are accused of being responsible for the ills of the society such as escalating the level of unemployment, involvement in terrorism and environmental degradation. Similar to the case in South Africa, the number of Bangladeshi immigrants in India continued to be exaggerated by some government officials who portray them as a national threat and a drain on the resources of the country. But one thing that is quite unique regarding xenophobia in India is that stereotypes against Bangladeshi migrants vary according to religious backgrounds. For example Bangladeshi Hindus are believed to be victims of Islamic fundamentalist while Bangladeshi Muslims are all believed to be criminals, hungry and illegal immigrants. These views seem to be polarised along-side the reality of Hindu

dominance of Indian politics in a country that is made up of both Hindus and Muslims. But the violence against Bangladeshi immigrants remains indicative of a hatred for all Bangladeshi migrants and other minorities resident in India. Meanwhile, xenophobia in India and South Africa are both founded on 'the politics of exclusion' and associated with post-independence nation building (Crush and Ramachandran, 2010:214-217, Danso and McDonald, 2001:125, Crush, 2008:44, Human Rights Watch, 1998:18-20 and 123-125).

Unfortunately in Israel, the situation appears to be very similar to the case of post-apartheid South Africa as some of those once oppressed turned out to become oppressors. Israeli's who were once victims of one of the world's greatest hate crimes ever recorded in human history, are now reported to have turned around to also perpetuate hatred (Pedahzur and Yishai, 1999:101-102, Matsinhe, 2011:302). Xenophobia in Israel seems to have mostly arisen out of fear that Israeli culture might be dominated by Ethiopian Jewish culture. Similar to the case of xenophobia in India, xenophobic attitudes in Israel revolves around religion which forms the basis for the 'politics of exclusion/inclusion'. But then this is mostly as a result of existing tensions between Israel and her Arab neighbours, hence based on this, there exists a national hatred for Arabs/Arab-Israelis living in the country, while the hatred for Palestinians living in Israel results from the threat of terrorism. Incidentally, Israelis dislike for foreigners seems to be economically motivated since some foreigners in Israel are reported to be very educated and thus provide cheap labour in the country. But xenophobia in Israel unlike in many parts of the world is not solely economically motivated but rather it is majorly based on religious affiliations. However the case of hate in Israel remains unique in the sense that it is not only directed towards foreigners but also amongst fellow Israelis based on religious affiliations and cultural background (Pedahzur and Yishai, 1999:103-106, 114-115).

Conversely, Nigeria and Ghana had an overwhelming record over the years of tolerance for immigrants until they both ended up with records of xenophobia (Campbell, 2003:74). Suddenly in Ghana, the rate of xenophobic attitudes towards foreigners dramatically increased to the point that in 1969, the Ghanaian government expelled about 1.5 million immigrants, mostly Nigerians. In 1983, nearly a decade and half later, the Nigerian government repatriated about 1.5 million foreigners which

were mostly Ghanaians, from Nigeria (Campbell 2003:74). According to Soyombo (2008:94-95) these two countries were experiencing severe economic hardships at the time they each exhibited xenophobic attitudes to foreigners. This thus implies that their xenophobic attitude was stimulated by economic challenges frustrating them to the point of aggression towards foreigners. Similar to the situation in South Africa, citizens of both countries (Ghana in 1969 and Nigeria in 1983) took their turns in accusing each other of an increasing unemployment rate in their various countries by taking away their limited jobs (Soyombo, 2008:94-95, Tshabalala and Dibetle, 2008:4, Human Rights Watch, 1998:125). The implication of this is; not only that national economic hardships which can cause frustration might be responsible for xenophobic attitudes, but it is also suggestive of the fact that xenophobic attitudes towards other nationals might provoke retaliations from the other. This can be made possible by globalisation as different nationals of different countries relocate or move around from country to country, either in search of greener pastures, or for the sake of businesses and transactions and in the process are exposed to violence (Nwankwo, 2002:69-88, Nwankwo, 2003:33-67, Offiong, 2001:1-7, Boutros-Ghali, 1996:87-90, Harrison, 2005:11-13, Nyamnjoh, 2006:230-236, Nwonwu, 2010:149-152).

Furthermore, some citizens of Botswana, also taking a cue from a situation (xenophobic ideas) to that in South Africa, refer to black African immigrants (excluding South Africans) living in Botswana as “ma kwerekwere”. *Ma kwerekwere* in Botswana (like in South Africa) implies people who speak strange languages and have come from economically devastated countries in search of better livelihood in Botswana (Campbell, 2003:101, Nyamnjoh, 2006:39). Some citizens of Botswana not only dislike African immigrants but also mostly dislike Indians for perceived dishonesty. Therefore, xenophobic sentiments in the country are not specifically directed to African immigrants but also Indian migrants. Xenophobic attitudes exhibited towards Indians living in Botswana by Botswana remain high despite their huge economic investments in Botswana (Campbell, 2003:101). A close comparison of these different cases of hateful attitudes found in different parts of the world, with the case of xenophobia in South Africa, reveals a certain degree of relationship between xenophobia and racism. A thorough analysis of xenophobic attitudes in Africa reveals a glaring similarity in attitudes which can be described as imitational capable of mutating into multiple retaliations.

2.2.2 Apartheid's Foundations to Xenophobic Violence in South Africa

The reign of apartheid in South Africa which lasted for over three hundred years not only left the dignity of black South Africans and the African race in South Africa in ruins but it rather left behind some negative psycho-social and cultural imprints on some black South Africans which has continued to become the bane of peace and tranquillity in the country (Luthuli, 2008:9, Everatt, 2011:28, Du Toit, 2001:170). These foundations and shadows of the defunct apartheid regime continue to resurface in South Africa in many ways as the spiral effects of the ancient oppressive regime appears to be spinning and manifesting in different patterns (Hassim et al., 2008, Crush, 2008).

2.2.2.1 Apartheid's Legal and Psychological Foundations to Xenophobic Violence in South Africa

The history of apartheid and anti-apartheid struggles in South Africa has in its content, a massive imprint of violence which remains indelible in the annals of South African history. Some black South African women acknowledge as follows:

R: Our kids and those who fought were never treated; now they should be taken for counselling to get rid of that horror, because we saw horrible things like a person burning right in front of your eyes or hacked in front of you, so we still have the trauma and we never had counselling to help us.

R: We used to hide our kids in the wardrobes; we took our sons and hid them because when the fighting broke out they would come and check if your child is a boy or girl, if it was a boy they would grab him by the leg and swing him head first to the wall...

R: In front of you...

R: Right in front of you; so we never had counselling to rid us from what we saw (Everatt, 2011:28)....

Meanwhile the reality about the xenophobic violence that took place in 2008 in South Africa is that some black African foreigners were burnt alive and some others were hacked to death, a pattern of violence similar to the confessions of these women

(Krog, 2008:1, La Grange, 2008a:4). This implies that some black South Africans might be acting out of psychological disorders inherited from their horrific life experiences in the hands of the defunct apartheid regime.

According to Asagba (2011:153-166), during the apartheid regime, black South Africans were used to living a life of servitude and so the demise of apartheid brought about freedom and a brand new life to those who have lived several years in isolation from the rest of the world. However this freedom came with its shortcomings since despite their freedom, rights and opportunities, some black South Africans have a strong feeling of emptiness, frustration and lack of focus. Asagba (2011:162) supporting his claims with shapes and diagrams, illustrates how exactly people under this psychological state of mind usually end up very clueless on how to find meanings and objectives in life. According to Asagba (2011:153-166), this psychological state of mind ultimately results in the mind desperately seeking to fill up its vacuum with all forms of violence, including xenophobic violence. This situation might have resulted in some black South Africans attempting to fill up their existing vacuum by any means necessary, including continuity with the acts of violence just as it was during the apartheid regime. This psychological situation that arises out of inability of the mind to handle freedom and find purpose or meaning to life is referred to as “Logotherapy” (Asagba 2011:154-167).

Furthermore, Asagba (2011:154-167) contends that this situation might have arose out of the collapse of African family structures, traditions, morality and sudden exposure to the chaos of civilization. Thus the kind of freedom acquired is unstructured to the point that the individual receives no proper guidance or training to handle his newly found freedom and manage his new lifestyle. In South Africa, this situation might have as well been exasperated by high level of poverty and unemployment, thus compelling some black South Africans to get preoccupied with acts of violence (as it was during the apartheid regime). Evidently the South African police recovered some drugs and weapons during an anti-xenophobic violence raids in George Goch and Wolhuter hostels located in Jeppe and the Denver hostel in Cleveland (Alcock, 2008:5, Asagba, 2011:156, Lekotjolo, 2008:1, Gower, 2009:3, Makhafola, 2008a:5). This psychological condition, according to Asagba (2011:153-166) is not only applicable to South Africa, but also prevalent in most African countries that went through certain phases of colonialism and independence from colonial masters.

However, it must be noted that in the face of threat to survival, people usually turn aggressive or violently attack to protect themselves since it is psychological that 'attack is the best form of defence'. Accordingly, Bekker and Carlton (1996:21) argue that '...The instincts of aggression and destruction, just as well as the instincts of love and cooperation, are deeply seated in human nature. They are the result of a struggle for survival in a hostile environment through thousands of generations'. Evidently the apartheid regime of South Africa was very oppressive and found an economic threat in the competitive black South Africans and thus went ahead to institute different laws to maintain white superiority and to restrain black South Africans from the mainstream of national economy (Banton, 1987:154-157, Dubow, 1995:249). Incidentally, this was the beginning of many oppressive apartheid laws to come.

Consequently, these laws affected the very psychology of some black South Africans as some of them continue to act in accordance to these ancient apartheid laws even in contemporary South Africa. For example oppressive apartheid laws such as: The 1950 Race Classification Act (Population Registration Act) was used to institute racial hierarchy of benefits in South Africa's socio-economic system. At the peak of this racial grading were the Boers and next on this social hierarchy were the rest of the white race who were followed by Indians and after Indians came the coloureds while at the bottom of this order were Africans (Nkomo et al., 1995:262-266).

The Influx Control Act of the 1920s and 1930s which recommended that black South Africans should be denied entry into white dominated areas unless they are going to administer to the needs of whites, had its psychological implications. The circumstances surrounding some of these apartheid laws are such that there are fears of spread of diseases, drain on government resources and national budget, decline in values of properties and fears of economic competition (Vale, 2002:22-23). Contemporarily, similar concerns have been echoed by some black South Africans in their arguments regarding black African migrants in South Africa (Vale, 2002:22, Petros et al., 2006:74, Solomon, 2003:105-124). In addition to this law was the 1952 amendment that saw to it the inclusion of "section 10 legislation", which stipulates that black South Africans were not allowed to live in any urban area unless they were born there, lived in the area for fourteen years or had worked for the same employer living in the area for ten years (Vale, 2002:22). An amendment to this law led to the reduction from fourteen days to seventy two hours, the time allowed for a black South

African to find jobs in urban areas (Vale, 2002:22). The psychological impact of this Influx Control Act on some black South Africans is that it lured some black South Africans into believing that living in townships were the exclusive right of citizens causing immigration to townships to come under serious contest (Klaaren, 2011:138-140).

Therefore, Vale (2002:22) argues that the complexities within and surrounding these apartheid laws and the psychology behind it, seems to have been deployed by some policy makers in contemporary democratic South Africa. Incidentally, of which some of these modern political thought and national policies which might have been informed by these apartheid laws, were actually meant for specifically unleashing control over black African migrants/immigration in the country, and not specifically meant for illegal immigrants in general as white immigrants were treated differently just like it was during the apartheid days (Matsinhe, 2011:296). Arguably, it is based on these that Klaaren (2011:139) insists that “the character of residence in contemporary South African understanding of citizenship is illustrated by struggles over residence in apartheid times”. Incidentally, the Minister of Home Affairs in South Africa, Mangosutho Buthelezi in his budget introductory speech of 1997 was quoted as making the following inflammatory remarks:

With an illegal population estimated at between 2.5 million and 5 million, it is obvious that the socio-economic resources of the country, which are under severe strain as it is, are further being burdened by the presence of illegal aliens. The cost implication becomes even clearer when one makes a calculation suggesting that if every illegal costs our infrastructure, say 1000 rands [U.S. \$ 200] per annum, then multiplied with whatever number you wish, it becomes obvious that the cost becomes billions of rands per year (Human Rights Watch, 1998:123-124).

Furthermore, the Job Reservation Act which was meant to protect and maintain higher paid white wage earners in South Africa was introduced before 1948 to make cheap labourers out of black South Africans, while protecting the superiority and jobs of white South Africans. Meanwhile the father of these laws was the Group Areas Act of 1950 which was used to create a South Africa that was made up of people living together according to racial groups. This act ensured that South Africa was racially divided and people according to their racial classifications lived different lives in

isolation of each other; this act became the major foundation of the apartheid regime and the bane of inter/intra group relations in South Africa (Nkomo et al., 1995:262-265, Frye et al., 2011:246). Since people were forced into living together according to their racial groups, with each racial group living separately, the law not only succeeded in promoting group/racial stereotypes, hatred, isolation, difference, distrust, ignorance and suspicion; but it also endangered good inter-group relations in South Africa. While the apartheid education laws (such as the Bantu Education Act of 1953 and the Education and Training Act of 1979) not only encouraged illiteracy and ignorance among black South Africans they also laid solid foundations of inequalities and poverty in South Africa (Nkomo et al., 1995:265-275). Theological, scientific and legal methods were then deployed to justify and advance the idea of white superiority and black inferiority which ‘ideologically affected the consciousness of South Africans and cast them in racial molds’ (Nkomo et al., 1995:266, Dubow, 1995:20-281, Sonneborn, 2010:48-49).

These apartheid laws which were then oppressively applied, even though they had been long abrogated, laid the psychological, socio-economic and political foundations for the various forms of discriminations, ‘narrow nationalism’, violence, poverty, racial classifications, inequalities, stereotypes, suspicion, distrust and complexities surrounding citizenship and residence, witnessed in contemporary South Africa (Nkomo et al., 1995:263, Landau, 2011, Human Rights Watch, 1998). All these might have directly or indirectly fuelled the xenophobic violence as they may have continued to affect the thinking of some black South African politicians and citizens in contemporary South Africa (Nkomo et al., 1995:263, Vale, 2002:22-23). To be more precise about the influence of some apartheid laws on contemporary South Africa, the Aliens Control Act which was introduced in South Africa in 1991 with subsequent several amendments, allows government agencies to make arrests based on examination of vaccination marks, skin colours, understanding of local dialects and pronunciation of words (Everatt, 2011:13, Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-169, Nyamnjoh, 2006:39-56, Crush, 2008:37). Even the word “aliens” is psychologically suggestive of an invasion of the country by a set of foreign bodies or objects (Nyamnjoh, 2006:38-39). Evidently, the psychological effect of this law in contemporary South Africa can be felt in stereotypical (newspaper) publications against black African immigrants with headlines such as: “War against aliens:

Thousands forced to flee Alex”, “Francophone invasion” and “Africa floods into Cape Town”, to mention but a few (Danso and McDonald, 2001:129, Harber, 2008:162). While the 2002 Immigration Act enacted in place of the Aliens Control Act, empowers citizens to report to the authorities any foreign national suspected to be illegally residing in the country. Even with the previous amendment of 1995, the Aliens Control Act came with several complexities to the point that some government agencies interpreted and applied it differently. This situation not only provided the leeway for abuse of black African immigrants but also created a fertile ground for anarchy in some parts of South Africa. These complex laws were largely blamed for providing the favourable psychological background for the xenophobic violence in South Africa (Everatt, 2011:13, Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-169, Nyamnjoh, 2006:39-56, Crush, 2008:37, Aidan, 2010:646). Vigneswaran (2011:158) clearly reveals a similar picture of this in a report on the complexities of apartheid laws as follows:

Perhaps more importantly, responsibility for regulating the movement of South Africans was distributed among at least nine departments of state (Foreign Affairs, Internal [Home] Affairs, Cooperation and Development, Police, Defence, Justice, Community Development, Trade and Industry, Agriculture, Minerals and Energy, and Transport). The crucial point is that this function was not contained within a specific set of government agencies but was spread across the government bureaucracy. Police officials in particular became responsible for determining which aspects of apartheid laws controlling movement became a reality.

The complexities of these laws can only lead to nothing but a certain degree of confusion, lawlessness and recklessness. Thus it can be argued that it is based on apartheid legal foundations and complexities surrounding these laws that some government officials were encouraged to make various random arrests and deportations of black African migrants in the 1990s, of which some black South Africans themselves became victims (Nyamnjoh, 2006:49-51). However as it became obvious to government agencies that some of these deportees were still returning to South Africa by other means, some members of these government agencies became more creative. Thus in what appears to be an attempt to discourage black African (legal/illegal) migration into the country, they in certain cases deployed various

tactical, physical and psychological means which involved torture, extortion, verbal attacks, sexual harassments, destruction of legal identities/refugee documents and arbitrary arrests based on skin pigmentation, body smells, inoculation marks and physical looks (Nyamnjoh, 2006:49-51, Gordon, 2010:57, Human Rights Watch, 1998:49-122, La Grange, 2008b:1, Matsinhe, 2011:305-306). This unfortunate development in South Africa was interpreted by Gordon (2010:56) to mean that “the regulation of migrants rests less with the law and law makers than with law enforcers”. Some local residents encouraged by these dehumanising actions of some government officials attacked, looted and handed in black African migrants as alleged illegal immigrants to the South African police (Nyamnjoh, 2006:54, Kalamane, 2008:3).

Human Rights Watch (1998:161) and Neocosmos (2006:29-30) reveals that the origin of the apartheid draconian law, “Aliens Control Act” can be traced from the 1950s and 1960s when the apartheid government of South Africa embarked on recruitment of white skilled workers. These white Europeans (mostly Germans and Britons) were subsequently offered permanent residence/citizenship, while their black counterparts (some of whom were semi-skilled labourers) were prohibited from acquiring permanent residence in South Africa. Under the apartheid regime, this law labels illegal immigrants in South Africa as “prohibited persons” and this often applied to black African immigrants (Human Rights Watch, 1998:161-162). The implication of this is that permanent residence/citizenship in South Africa became the inheritance of the white race, while blacks became tagged as fugitives and aliens. A situation which might have informed the thinking amongst some black South Africans that white legal or illegal immigrants were investors and tourists who had the right to enter and stay in South Africa, while their black counterparts were dubbed “Amakwerekwere” (Human Rights Watch, 1998:161-162, Matsinhe, 2011:296). Some of these obnoxious laws (especially the Influx and Aliens Control Acts) might have also provided some black South Africans with the psychological nourishment to embark on the *buyelekhaya* movement (Monson and Arian, 2011:33). This thinking might have been more encouraged before now by the apartheid Influx Control Act which was used to regulate the movement of black South Africans into white dominated areas. Under this law black South Africans in their own country were denied citizenship while some of them received some sort of permanent residence in white dominated areas

(Vigneswaran, 2011:158). The complexities surrounding residence in South Africa are the by-product of both the influx and Aliens Control Acts. Although some of these laws have long been abolished, their psychological impacts on some black South Africans remained visible as the members of the rampaging mobs successfully link citizenship to place of residence. This was evident in the ethnic dimension to the xenophobic attacks which had as its victims or targets, South Africans from the tribes of Pedi, Shangaan, Venda and Xhosa. Some of these people from these tribes although from far away Limpopo (Venda, Shangaan), Sekhukhuneland which stretches to Mpumalanga and Limpopo province (Pedi), as well as the Eastern Cape (Xhosa) were resident in some cities and informal settlements of certain provinces in KwaZulu-Natal or Gauteng where they were targeted for attacks by mobs (Monson and Arian, 2011:32 and 50, Klaaren, 2011:138-140, Human Rights Watch, 1998:161-162, Matsinhe, 2011:296, Saunders and Southey, 2001:132,187-188 and 195, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008b:3, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008a:3). If this then is true of some black South Africans, then it means that some black South Africans have chosen to apply their apartheid experience as a yard stick for measuring and conducting their relations with both black African migrants and some fellow black South Africans. Psychologically, the possible implication of their negative reactions towards black African immigrants is that it is not only grounded on apartheid experience but that it is also justified by their apartheid experience.

Some black South Africans are of the opinion that the absence of capital punishment in the South African law encourages crime, xenophobic violence and murder. While some other black South Africans are of the opinion that the laws in South Africa are too soft on foreigners and so encourages regular “influx” of illegal immigrants (in the form of black African migrants) who come to make black South Africans jobless (Everatt, 2011:24-27). Everatt (2011:24-25) captures the following revealing interviews with some black South Africans, as the first person clearly states:

Basically, our constitution has a lot of loopholes. Everybody has a right to everything. (African female, 36-49, professional employment, Johannesburg suburbs)

While the other insists as follows:

A foreigner who has just arrived in the country yesterday will tell you that he has rights. You can't tell him anything. So, it makes no difference whether I'm South African. He is whatever he is. We all have the same rights. They are just sucking on our system.

Similarly, Aidan (2010:654) argues that there is a growing sense of frustration among some black South Africans that there is no longer any difference between South African citizens and foreigners. According to Aidan (2010):

The attacks, then, were ways of reaffirming the differences between citizens and outsiders, by removing foreigners from communities, reasserting state control over them, destroying and stealing their property and, ultimately, reducing them to bare killable life.

Conversely, Everatt (2011:25-26) argues that the problem with some laws in South Africa is such that they provide South Africans with so many rights that some of them become easily prone to excessive laziness. This situation or state of laziness leads to the envy of some hardworking foreigners and their being easily misconstrued as robbing South Africans of their rights (Everatt, 2011). Besides the above statements implying that some black South Africans interpret their relations and perceptions of black African immigrants within the premises of the provisions of the law, the re-occurrences of the word "rights" in the above interviews suggests that some black South Africans are very sensitive to matters regarding their constitutional rights. Based on the already high rate of black illiteracy in the country, their sensitivity to legal rights could create the kind of psychological frame of mind that is highly dependable on constitutional rights for daily survival, a development which can also affect their interactions with black African migrants (Everatt, 2011:25-26, Danso and McDonald, 2001:118, Aitchison and Harley, 2006:96, Crush, 2008:33-35). This situation might further degenerate into subjective interpretations of rights, a development which can prove to be inimical to black South African relations with black African foreigners (Klaaren, 2011:138-140). Summarily, Dodson (2010:6) argues that it is evident that there is a general confusion as to the rights of citizens and that of non-citizens in South Africa, a situation very capable of generating conflict. However, another respondent suggests the following amendment to the South African Constitution: "If the death penalty is reintroduced, crime will be reduced. In Botswana, there is discipline because they keep to the rules (African male, 18-26,

student, inner city Johannesburg)” (Everatt, 2011:24). While another respondent sounded more pessimistic and racist, with his comments indicative of a high level of distrust for South African government:

Old people say it was better to be governed by the boers because a boers can think for a black person (sic). During the apartheid era people used to be employed and were able to get jobs. There was food, too. Now it’s difficult to get a job. It’s very hard. All the white people have left with their investments. They’ve gone to America (Everatt, 2011).

All these according to Everatt (2011:24-25) are indicative of the fact that some South Africans encourage a more authoritarian South Africa that will show no mercy on foreigners. The situation seems to be so bad that some black South Africans prefer to be left under the oppressive apartheid regime that would perhaps deal better with black African migrants. One thing about these ideas are that they might possibly be grounded on apartheid mentality, acquired through the experience of oppression and entrenched superiority, that were legalised by the apartheid regime’s Influx and Aliens Control Acts (Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-161, Vale, 2002:22-23). These orientations possibly found within apartheid psychological parameters, could translate to meaning that some black South Africans want black African foreigners to feel the same pain they went through in the hands of the oppressive apartheid regime (Everatt, 2011:24).

However, Zuma (cited in Shonisani, 2008:4), having criticised the afrophobic attacks on black African immigrants suggests that the laws in South Africa are ‘user friendly to criminals’. It appears as if some black South Africans have actually been getting away with the crime of violence and looting of black African immigrants in South Africa. These criminal acts seem to have been regularly carried out in the country with so much impunity to the point that a black South African captures the picture in his comments as follows:

I think it will happen again in the township because most guys are unemployed and they see this xenophobia as a chance of making money. It has now become a crime because these guys are robbing these foreigners of their belongings (Everatt, 2011:22).

Meanwhile, Klaaren (2011:135) further reveals that contrary to the expectations that the law in South Africa will hold accountable all those responsible for the acts of rape, killings, lootings and several acts of violence that characterised the afrophobic violence of 2008 that left sixty people dead. Instead the law in 2009 only succeeded in securing conviction for six cases out of the entire sixty eight cases, thirty five cases were withdrawn and eleven accused were found not guilty. Four cases were placed for further investigations while the remaining six cases proceeded with continuous hearing as at 2009. According to Klaaren (2011) more than 60 people (including South Africans) lost their lives to the violence, but of the entire cases of murder, only a single person was found guilty of murder. This implies that many of those responsible for the barbaric acts of afrophobic violence and murder in 2008 are yet to be brought to justice, a situation which can prove to be a huge setback to the fight against afrophobic violence and crime in general in South Africa (Marindze, 2010:32-31).

Monson and Arian (2011:36) conclusively argue that this situation might have been exasperated due to inability of the government to make legal provisions for hate crime legislation in South Africa. The fact that ‘xenophobic crimes are generally stripped of their political implications and are dealt with as “ordinary” forms of crime’ in South Africa further encourages the situation (Monson and Arian 2011:36). This perhaps provides the reason behind the continuous denial by government and some politicians of the reality of “Afrophobic” violence in South Africa (Monson and Arian, 2011:36, Dlamini, 2008b:5, Hassim et al., 2008:4, Matsinhe 2011:310). Thus Nyamjoh (2006:40-41) concludes that continuous failure on the part of South African government to protect the rights of black African foreigners in the country provides a contradictory evidence of a rainbow nation that has imbibed the ‘culture of human rights’. The implication of this is that black African immigrants might end up not trusting South African government, a situation which could in future breed serious animosity and hatred for black South Africans by black African migrants.

2.2.2.2 Apartheid Foundations of a Culture of Violence as a Prelude to the Culture of Entitlement and Xenophobic Violence in South Africa

Although the new South Africa jettisoned the political ills of apartheid, it also inherited some social practices which continued to characterise relations within the

country. For example, racial classification and profiling in contemporary South Africa is a tradition handed down by the apartheid regime (Du Toit, 2001, Nkomo et al., 1995:262-280). Richmond (1994:208) argues that on assumption of power in South Africa in 1948, the nationalist party created a system of government, which it named “apartheid”. The apartheid system of government intensified and codified the already existing policies of segregation and discrimination which were in existence long before 1948 (Louw and Kendall, 1986:12-15). The then prime minister, Dr Daniel Francois Malan, insisted that it was necessary to keep the groups apart in order to sustain what he referred to as “racial peace” (Richmond, 1994:208).

Indeed, this was an ambiguous approach towards conflict management and maintenance of racial peace/harmony in South Africa given that it became the basis for the formalisation of all sorts of racial discrimination, violence, social inequalities and abuse. Not surprisingly, the policy not only did not bring about any form of peace, it actually exacerbated conflict in South Africa. It fostered a bitter feud between blacks and white that was fought on different social, economic and political terrains with major negative consequences for post-apartheid South Africa. This in itself saw the genesis of the culture of violence in apartheid South Africa which was initially employed to attain liberation and equality for all races, but in post-apartheid South Africa it was used as a tool against African immigrants who were perceived as the new threat in the country.

For example, du Toit (2001:170) argues that South Africa has a culture of violence which was inherited from the apartheid regime. This culture of violence, which is now being deployed against African immigrants in South Africa, can be explained from different (but inter-related) psycho-social, economic and political stand-points. In what appears to be a political devise of grabbing and possibly stealing to get-rich-quick, the culture of violence inherited from the defunct apartheid government took a new dimension in post-apartheid South Africa. Some black South African politicians “set the ball rolling” by making several failed promises of a better life for all black South Africans who have suffered so much poverty, operation and deprivations at the hand of the defunct apartheid regime (Mackenzie, 2008:13, Sekgala, 2009:20). Most aggravating was the fact that, in the name of protecting the interest of poor black

South Africans⁴, these same politicians indulged in corrupt practices while many black South Africans languish in poverty (Hassim et al., 2008:97-100, Neocosmos, 2008:587). Therefore, encouraged by the covetous attitudes of their elite counterparts towards public resources, some poor black South Africans resort to attacking black African immigrants in their midst, killing many and looting their properties (Hassim et al., 2008:94-100).

Similarly, Sekgala (2009:20) in his very words insisted that

SERVICE delivery protest might be the only way to deal with the ANC. Whether we like it or not, the ANC government has indeed failed the people many times. People who are protesting today had high hopes in 1994. They were promised a better life year in, year out. Unfortunately, they could only observe other people live a better life while they were abandoned. Comrades drive 4x4s and help friends and family with benefits from the state. Soon these comrades move to posh suburbs. They are creating a great divide. These are people who were supported and nurtured by their poor communities, and are now abandoning them [...]. And so because government officials have abandoned their communities, protests are the only solution. They are the only solution because those we send to government no longer form the core of our community, and they are no longer feeling the pain we feel.

It is in this light that Orfanos (2009:20) perceives xenophobic violence as “a struggle for survival”, a perception which appears similar to the “anti-apartheid struggle”.

⁴ Taking into account the dynamics and different meanings of the word “black” in South Africa, particularly as stipulated by law, to the designation refers to South African citizens who are either Africans, Coloureds, Indians and, more recently the Chinese. This project strictly specifies “black South Africans” to mean Africans, unless where specified otherwise. However, it should be noted that black economic empowerment programmes (BEE) favour all South African nationals officially designated as “black”. But at some point, especially at the early stages, this programme was marred by elite enrichment and personal aggrandizement. See Ponte, S., Roberts, S. & Van Sittert, L. 2007. ‘Black Economic Empowerment’, *Business and the State in South Africa. Development and Change*, 38, 933-955, BBC News. 2008. *S African Chinese ‘Become Black’*, *BBC News* [Online]. BBC. Available: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/7461099.stm> [Accessed 2/4/2012], Mbola, B. 2008. *Chinese South Africans qualify for BEE* [Online]. BuaNews. Available: <http://www.southafrica.info/business/trends/empowerment/becchinese-180608.htm> [Accessed 2/4/2012], SAPA. 2008c. SA’s Chinese qualify for bee deals, court rules’. *The Star*, June 19, p.5, HO, U. 2008. Chinese locals are black. *Business Report*, June 19, p.1, Shapiro, I. & Tebeau, K. 2011. *After apartheid reinventing South Africa*, Charlottesville, London, University of Virginia Press, Dibetle, M. 2008. ‘Are the Chinese black?: This week black business groups joined forces in rejecting Chinese South Africans’ access to BEE, seeing it as an affront to the black struggle. Monako Dibetle interviewed Chinese South Africans about their experience of apartheid and tested opinion in Soweto: ‘Ching chong’ like the k-word: Chinese South Africans lived an oppressed twilight existence under apartheid, never really accepted by any group. *Mail and Guardian*, July 4, p.14.

Alleging that African migrants are invading the country and taking away jobs from poor black South Africans, Orfanos contends that black South Africans have now been abandoned to languish in penury as beggars in their own country (Orfanos, 2009:20). Hence it follows that since government has allegedly abandoned poor black South Africans to the point that black African foreigners have become more progressive than they are (black South Africans), government is thus perceived as no longer trustworthy. Thus it becomes logical to some poor black South Africans that the best way to effectively get government to deliver on their promises to the suffering masses is to alternatively attack black African migrants. Impliedly violence against black African foreigners has become a medium to communicate grievances against the ANC lead government. Possibly an indication that it is totally wrong to think South Africans dislike African migrants, but rather that the afrophobic violence indirectly targets the ANC led government.

Incidentally studies have indicated that beyond distrust for their government, many South Africans also do not trust foreigners (SAPA, 2012b:2, Sekgala, 2009:20). This level of distrusts, frustrations, and feelings of deprivation amongst some black South Africans might be responsible for the reported planned siege on the department of home affairs, which was later foiled by intelligence reports. This development was said to have prompted South African government to beef up security around its officials and the structures of the department in order to forestall any mob attack (de Klerk, 2009, Human Rights Watch, 1998:125, Wray, 2008b:1, Orfanos, 2009:20, Wray, 2008a:1, Sekgala, 2009:20, Salgado et al., 2008:2, Mkhwanazi, 2008:10, Vromans et al., 2011:90, Bourne et al., 2002:157).

However, taking into cognizance the fact that violent protests against government have for ages been an integral part of South African culture which obviously is an apartheid legacy, but before now the pattern of violence has been very consistent; usually commencing on a peaceful note and ending up in violence and bloodshed (Sonneborn, 2010:56-87, Du Toit, 2001:72 and 170). During the apartheid days it was a struggle targeted towards the apartheid government, a struggle meant for the emancipation of black South Africans (including Indians, coloureds and black African foreigners) from the shackles of the oppressive apartheid government (Sonneborn, 2010:11-92, Nkomo et al., 1995:261-274).

Surprisingly, in post-apartheid South Africa, a similar pattern of protest seems to have been deployed. Although currently the focus remains the government, but the target this time around has changed. The target of violence has actually shifted from being normally directed at the government and its agencies as it was back in the days of apartheid, and now directed mostly at black African migrants, who incidentally are fellow Africans like black South Africans (Neocosmos, 2008). Unfortunately, one thing that has continued to remain constant ever since the beginning of violence in the days of apartheid in South Africa to date is that generally, black Africans living in South Africa (including South Africans) have largely continued to be victims (Ncana and Mkhize, 2008b:4, Johnston, 2008b:31, Landau, 2011:1, Monson and Arian, 2011:32 and 50, Kalamane, 2008:3, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008b:1 and 3, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008a:1 and 3, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4, Joubert, 2008b:3, Ndlovu, 2008b:5). This is so because some black African foreigners in South Africa personally participated in the same anti-apartheid struggle in South Africa while some were victims of the destabilization policies of the defunct apartheid regime (Fuphe, 2008c:6, Leshilo, 2008:10, Human Rights Watch, 1998:24-28, Du Toit, 2001:75-76). Based on this Neocosmos (2006:12) therefore concludes:

In fact if we did not know better this could easily be a description of changes in South Africa between 1984 and 1990, and especially since 1994 and the establishment of post-colonial liberal democracy when, within the public sphere, the celebration of Africanism and an 'African Renaissance' has alternated with xenophobic statements and practices towards other Africans.

It is in this light therefore that Matsinhe (2011:302) alleges that 'the ex-oppressed has taken on the character of the ex-oppressor'.

Meanwhile Crush (2008:33) disagrees with the popular notion that black African immigrants are stealing jobs from black South Africans as his study reveals that 85percent of South Africans have never lost a job to a foreign national. While more than half of those interviewed in his study revealed that they did not know anyone in their communities or elsewhere with a personal experience of losing a job to an immigrant (Crush, 2008). Conversely Solomon (2003:103) cited an example of an event that occurred in Hillbrow (Johannesburg) in which the owner of a certain Café Zurich fired twenty South African waiters replacing them with twenty Congolese

workers who barely survived on tips without wages. Therefore such reports support the popular notion that black African migrants actually take jobs from South Africans by providing cheap labour to the detriment of poor black South Africans. However, the Gauteng Housing MEC (member of executive Council, by the name of Nomvula Mokonyane) in refuting this argument insists that black African migrants ‘...use their hands, their heads, to provide a service and do jobs that are not wanted by South Africans’ (Badat, 2008c:3). Similarly, Danso and McDonald (2001:124-125) contend that migrants in South Africa create jobs as well as compete for jobs with South Africans. Incidentally, this argument supports Muzumbukilwa’s (2007:87) point of view that 85% of black African migrants he interviewed were self-employed while a meagre 15% were working in the private sectors like security companies, while some others were preoccupied with car guarding. Thus Muzumbukilwa (2007) concludes that the general perception of black African migrants as stealing away jobs from South Africans appears to be false and lacks substance. In support of this notion, a research conducted by Everatt (2011:21-22) in Soweto quoted some black South Africans as responding to his interviews in the following manner:

They are self-employed and are hard workers. When we saw what they were doing we soon realized that we can also do the same and make money in the process. Most of them own hair salons and plait people’s hair for a fee; now we want to do the same thing, which is why we don’t want them here anymore [...].

Beyond the fact that the above statement reveals a strong sense of entitlement and envy, it has a mimicry undertone that might lead to conflict (Everatt 2011:21-22). This is also a pointer to the fact that some black South Africans appear to be imitating their elites, some of whom were reported to have been in the habit of acquiring ill-gotten wealth by any means necessary (Pillay 2008:97-98). Besides, the above comment also clearly supports the above claims that black African migrants make an honest income and are mostly self-employed in South Africa (Muzumbukilwa’s 2007:87, Badat, 2008c:3).

Meanwhile in accordance to the notion that some black African foreigners work in the private sectors, Human Rights Watch (1998:2) claims that:

The South African economy, especially its farming, mining, security,

and construction sectors, relies heavily on the cheap and easily exploitable labour of undocumented migrants, mostly from Mozambique, Lesotho, Zimbabwe, and Swaziland. Undocumented labourers on farms work for a pittance, on average about 5 rands [U.S. \$1 at an exchange rate of five rands for one U.S. dollar] per day.

Incidentally, in what appears to be a situation similar to what was experienced during the days of the oppressive apartheid regime, some white farmers in South Africa were reported to be employing some illegal black African migrants some of whom they violently abused, dismissed unjustly or handed over to the police for deportation without pay. This malicious act is said to usually occur whenever these migrants are about to get their (stipends) wages by the end of the month. Their employers advise them “to take a day off” after which they are replaced with another set of labourers who also end up suffering similar faith (Human Rights Watch, 1998:36-41, Nkomo et al., 1995:261-280). Beyond this, there are many other cases of abuses meted out to black African migrants by farmers, but a most shocking case is the case of a particular farmer in Northern Province who was alleged to have conducted a test on his gun by shooting at the leg of his farm worker with impunity (Human Rights Watch 1998:36-41).

According to Human Rights Watch (1998:41) reports, attempts by the police to prosecute farmers for abuse of their workers normally end up in futility. This is so since it was alleged that sometimes the police is so unwilling to bring them to justice for fear these white farmers might turn against the ANC government, since the issue was alleged to usually turn out to be politicised (Human Rights Watch, 1998:41). Evidently a close comparison of the attitudes of some of these white farmers with that of black South Africans points to the fact that black African foreigners have become a melting point for different races in South Africa to maliciously protest their grievances against the ANC lead government.

Similarly, Neocosmos (2006:105) further reveals that a black African foreigner legally resident in South Africa was arrested by the police along with his friends on the mere suspicion that they were criminals. But when he got a lawyer to secure his release as required by the law since he was illegally detained for an unknown crime, the Indian judge presiding over his case allegedly denied him bail on the irrational basis that he needed to be discouraged from residing in the country. The black African

foreigner therefore remained in detention only to be released five months after without any criminal charges, while his friends suffered the same fate but for extra five months (Neocosmos, 2006:105).

Unfortunately this is an indication that xenophobic attitudes are not only found among black South Africans but also amongst people of other races living in South Africa irrespective of their social status. Incidentally, all these are in line with the outcome of SAMP (South African Migration Project) research (Danso and McDonald, 2001:116, Crush, 2008:20). Accordingly, SAMP research proved that xenophobic attitudes in South Africa cuts across races and income as some South Africans irrespective of their races, higher status and comfortable income are found to be also xenophobic (Crush, 2008:20, Danso and McDonald, 2001:116). Danso and McDonald (2001:115-116) therefore contend that the outcome of this research is indicative of the fact that xenophobic attitudes are not only prevalent in South Africa but that it is widespread and intense. Therefore, it means that some negative reports speculated in the country about the maliciousness of black African migrants were purposely constructed to suit the personal ideas of some South Africans or were based on mere rumours, suspicions and perhaps personal grudges. As Crush (2008:28) puts it: “In South Africa, negative opinions on immigration go hand-in-hand with hostile attitudes towards foreign nationals. If some black South Africans view foreign nationals as a threat, they will generally attribute negative motives “to the invader”.

Incidentally, reports such as the attitude of some white farmers towards black African foreigners rather creates wrong impressions that poor black African migrants are out to compete for jobs with poor black South Africans (Human Rights Watch, 1998:36-41). Thus having for many years been armed with these grudges against black African migrants, coupled with the frustrations of government’s poor service delivery, motivated by poverty and unemployment, some black South Africans reacted at the slightest given opportunity (Zondi, 2008:27-29, Seale et al., 2008:3, Ncana and Mkhize, 2008a:1 and 4, Ndlovu, 2008b:5, Gower, 2009:3, Sekgala, 2009:20, Orfanos, 2009:20). This might have been the case, as many black African migrants were actually hard working and successfully survived on businesses and creativity and thus attracted the envy of some South Africans (Badat, 2008c:3, Danso and McDonald, 2001:124-125).

Perhaps based on these crystallized grudges, suspicion, envy and sheer hatred, security and service delivery meetings in Alexandria became politicised against black African migrants and crime in Alexandria and Diepsloot became totally blamed on the presence of black African foreigners. They all soon became completely labelled as criminals and illegal migrants and a decision was allegedly taken in a meeting(s) in Diepsloot/Alexandria to loot and purge the area of black African foreigners (Maruping, 2008:42-47, Monson and Arian, 2011:33-35, Ndlovu, 2008b:5). Similarly Ndlovu (2008:5) reports that "...The original intention of the meeting had been taxi-related. But, other issues had cropped up and a criminal element had hijacked the initiative for their own ends, looting, pillaging and rampaging through the townships". The sense of jubilation that prevailed after the exit of black African migrants in some neighbourhoods, the scorn and laughter exhibited by some local residents at the sight of a burning Mozambiquan set alight by some black South Africans, are all indicative of an intense long withheld grudges, hatred and inner conviction/satisfaction that is reflective of an expression of "now we can claim that (jobs) which was stolen from us"(Bearak and Dugger, 2008:15, Krog, 2008:1, Tromp, 2008:1). It's in light of this that Nyamnjoh (2006:14) argues that irrespective of the fact that most South Africans have not come in contact with black African immigrants they have already developed serious hatred for them.

"The very inadequacy of direct contact makes it possible for the reality and humanity of African immigrants to be imagined and re-imagined to suit the negative images conjured up by their reluctant South African hosts. Black Africans are the most likely to be considered 'illegal' immigrants or aliens, even before they have crossed the borders"
(Nyamnjoh, 2006:14).

Beyond this, Johnston (2008b:31) argues that South Africa also possesses a culture of impunity. Prior to the major xenophobic violence of 2008, black African migrants have repeatedly been victims of all sorts of xenophobic attacks and abuses since 1994. For instance in the private sector, there are reports indicating that farmers exploit and abuse black African migrants working on their farms and only pay them stipends. Some of these labourers physically abused and exploited by farmers were children and police rarely carried out investigations regarding these abuses. Rather some police officers were reported to have connived with farmers in exploiting these immigrants

by deporting them without pay since some were illegally resident in South Africa (Human Rights Watch, 1998:2). Between December 1994 and January 1995 violence erupted in Alexandria and a Human Rights Watch report indicates that some gang members made violent attempts at evicting black African migrants from their neighbourhood, blaming them for the ills of the society. The action which involved some armed men seizing black African migrants and handing them over to the police for deportation irrespective of their residential status in the country, was code named “Buyelekhaya” (go back home) (Human Rights Watch, 1998:135). These actions were carried out with so much impunity that the SAPS, in what appears to be an action very supportive of the course (*Buyelekhaya*), either deported or released some black African foreigners after confirming the legality/illegality of their stay in the country (Human Rights Watch, 1998:135-136). It was reported that some of these black African migrants, on getting to their homes after their release, found their property either missing or thrown out on the streets while some others were completely locked out of their homes by gangs (Human Rights Watch, 1998:135-136).

2.2.2.3 Socio-Economic Foundations of Xenophobic Violence in Contemporary South Africa

Following the promises of an equal distribution of wealth and a promise of an “Eldorado” made by some black South African politicians to their fellow black African citizens at the demise of the oppressive apartheid regime (Ncana and Mkhize, 2008b:1, Landau, 2011:3,1-12, Michela, 2008:23). Some poor black South Africans became frustrated by the fact that these promises have turned out to become a mirage. Therefore encouraged and frustrated by the fact that they have become spectators to the affluent lifestyle of some black South African elites, who might have become oblivious of their plight and appalling dehumanising living conditions (Pillay, 2008:97-100, Landau, 2011:12, Michela, 2008:23, Sinwell, 2011:143-147). Some of them suddenly become violent and ended up scapegoating African immigrants for being responsible for their economic woes (Lekota, 2008:4, Bearak and Dugger, 2008:15). Scapegoating took place in the form of killing some black African migrants and looting their property; in what appears to be a bid to abide by or brace up to the challenges posed by the get-rich-quick syndrome prevalent amongst some elite black South Africans, in contemporary South Africa (Pillay, 2008:97-100, Michela,

2008:23). Aggravating the situation was the fact that post-apartheid South Africa contrary to their expectations presented them with: High levels of crime, HIV / AIDS, inequality and abject poverty (Petros et al., 2006:67-77, Michela, 2008:23).

Perhaps more frustrating and unfair was the reality that some black African immigrants resident in the country were better skilled and possessed better qualifications to compete more favourably with the challenges posed by the South African labour market and economy, than poor black South Africans. With better qualifications and skills they excelled in businesses, became self-employed and possibly competed better for jobs than poor black South Africans who have for many years been disadvantaged by the defunct oppressive apartheid regime in their own country (Bearak and Dugger, 2008:15, Nkomo et al., 1995:263-265). The obnoxious fact was that besides having to allegedly contend with black African immigrants who are professionals, black South Africans with poor qualifications also have to allegedly compete with some black African migrants that possess little or no qualifications for low-skill requiring jobs (Everatt, 2011:22, Solomon, 2003:102-103). Possibly most aggravating was the perception that some black African foreigners provided employers with cheaper labour thus out-competing some black South Africans (Solomon, 2003:102-103). Conversely, irrespective of the fact that some of these black African migrants allegedly compete with some black South Africans for jobs, they also have created jobs for some unemployed black South Africans (Danso and McDonald, 2001:124-125).

However, during the oppressive apartheid regime, black African migrants were welcomed to South Africa to provide cheap labour at the mines (Steenkamp, 2009:442, Mogale, 2008:15). They also properly integrated and lived peacefully with poor black South Africans and some of them even engaged in inter-marriages as they were then perceived as comrades in the fight against a common enemy—the apartheid regime. During this period, apartheid was perceived not only as a commonly shared enemy between black South Africans and black African migrants, but it was also commonly acknowledged to be the biggest impediment to the socio-economic well-being of all black Africans resident in South Africa (Steenkamp, 2009:442). But astonishingly, this was not the case after apartheid since the dawn of democracy in South Africa gave birth to a new order that saw the emergence of a new enemy and on

the other hand, a brand new partner worthy of respect, emulation and suspicion (Matsinhe, 2011:295-296, 299-302, Steenkamp, 2009:442, Everatt, 2011:25, Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4). The by-product of this was a novel form of relationship between some black South Africans and black African migrants. This new order not only affected the relationship between some of these two former comrades but it also produced both a change in the enemy target and a single authentic beneficiary to the spoils of the struggle (Steenkamp, 2009:443). This new order set in motion by the demise of apartheid led some black South Africans into assuming that since their independence from apartheid in 1994, they were yet to enjoy the fruits of liberation and democracy while at the same time, black African foreigners were found to be taking away their jobs. All these imply that black African migrants are viewed by some black South Africans as an impediment to their well-being. Aggravating the situation are the inflammatory statements recklessly made by some South African politicians of black African origin. These politicians with so much reckless abandon portray black African migrants as responsible for the ills of society and the major impediment to the future of black South Africans (Crush, 2008:44-45, Tshabalala and Dibetle, 2008:4, Neocosmos, 2008:99-100, Human Rights Watch, 1998:20, Sekgala, 2009:20, Orfanos, 2009:20).

Unfortunately, these assumptions were clearly demonstrated in Alexandra where the explosive 2008 xenophobic violence was said to have originated. Theory became practical as a major battle line was drawn between some poor black South Africans and poor black African migrants who have lived, inter-married and dined peacefully together for several years (Steenkamp, 2009, Badat, 2008d:1). The good relationship existing between the two groups deteriorated so much to the point that at the demise of apartheid, some black South Africans targeted and even killed some fellow South Africans for being sympathetic to or getting married to black African migrants (Landau, 2011, Ndlovu, 2008b:5, Makhafola, 2008b:5). Steenkamp (2009:443) and Sinwell (2011: 132-133) thus contend that following the launching of a “R3 billion infrastructural development project” in Alexandra, some black South Africans perhaps in a bid to determine “who gets what and how?” suddenly rose up against their neighbours (black African foreigners and some fellow citizens), in an attempt to possibly have them excluded as beneficiaries (Steenkamp, 2009).

In contemporary South Africa, in the psychological optical view of the minds of some black South Africans; black African immigrants have not only become transformed into a brand new impediment to both their socio-economic well-being, but have become a hindrance to their economic relations with their brand new partners – white South Africans and white immigrants, who incidentally have become revered as the bringers of money and jobs (Matsinhe, 2011:296). This situation was made combustible by the fact that some black South Africans view as authentic, any information emanating from both the national media and police, some of whom unfortunately have grossly painted a national bad image of the black African migrant (Steenkamp, 2009:443).

Incidentally, reports indicated that a very high percentage of both white and black South Africans are intolerant to black African immigrants and some black South Africans not only tolerate white South Africans but also treat white legal/illegal immigrants differently from black African legal/illegal migrants (Everatt, 2011:15, Steenkamp, 2009). White immigrants were perceived by some black South Africans as investors and tourists, while black African immigrants both professional and skilled labourers were recognised by some black South Africans as both miscreants and *personae non gratae* (Matsinhe, 2011:296). Perhaps a situation which explains why Vale argues that post-apartheid South Africa like apartheid South Africa “operated two migration gates—one on labour migration, which has been open, and another for other forms of migration, which has remained officially closed” (Vale, 2002:21). It appears that the resentment for black African immigrants was as a result of the fact that the number of both professional and skilled black African immigrants has dramatically increased in post-apartheid South Africa, as this was not the case during the anti-apartheid struggle. Such a development is perceived by some black South Africans as a threat to their economic well-being (Muzumbukilwa, 2007:69-70, Steenkamp, 2009:442). However this development can be ascribed to both the attractive economy of South Africa and the political instability experienced in many African countries. Evidently, some black African immigrants who were fleeing from political unrest in their home countries found a safe haven in the stable and favourable economic and political terrains of South Africa (Steenkamp, 2009).

Unfortunately, with the media and some black South African politicians having solidly classified black African immigrants as miscreants, outsiders, the cause of

economic woes and looming health hazards experienced by poor black South Africans; the emergence of major afrophobic violence against African immigrants in South Africa in 2008 becomes perfectly timed (Steenkamp, 2009:441-443, Petros et al., 2006:74-75). This is in the sense that the period in question (1994-2008) was very rife with frustration and aggression resulting from the plague of HIV/AIDS, poverty, the high cost of living, politically motivated violence, crime and inequality (Steenkamp, 2009:442-444, Mboyisa, 2008b:4). With black African immigrants already nationally labelled with the blame for these socio-economic woes, at a time when poor black South Africans were yearning for better service delivery from their elusive politicians (Michela, 2008:22-23). They presented themselves to some black South Africans, both as diversions and as “body bags” to vent their anger and frustrations, thus making scapegoats out of black African foreigners became the order of the day. Against this background it also becomes logical to some black South Africans that their nation’s economy should be rid of these parasites, therefore violence against African immigrants became perceived among some black South Africans as a national cause (Molefe et al., 2008b:4).

Molefe et al. (2008) reveals a horrific picture of the situation as some kind of cleansing and patriotic violence that can be pictured as ‘an act reminiscent of Passover’. As the violence rages on, some frightened black South Africans were compelled by necessity to dub their surnames on their shacks to save their homes from being mistaken for that of black African migrants by the rampaging mob (Molefe et al., 2008b:4). According to Molefe et al (2008:4), the surnames read: “Madondo, Ndlovu, Masinga, Cele...” Some residents in Alexander were reported to have openly supported the violence claiming it was necessary in solving the problem of South Africa since government have refused to carry out the supposedly noble assignment of getting rid of alleged illegal black African migrants (Molefe et al., 2008b:4). A black South African resident was quoted as saying: ‘We are not trying to kill anyone but rather solving the problems of our own country. The government is not doing anything about this, so I support what the mob is doing’ (Molefe et al., 2008b:4). This not only meant that some black South Africans have embarked on getting rid of some black African foreigners from their country because of severe economic pressure, but it also meant that they could no longer trust South African

government. A situation of this nature can prove to be very precarious for national development.

Similarly, Sapa (2008:5) argues that black migrants from Africa were seen by some black South Africans as economic competitors and the sole reason behind their economic woes. Some black South Africans insist that they provide cheap labours to employers who found South African workers expensive and unattractive, thereby keeping black South Africans unemployed (Everatt, 2008-2009:4). But some black South Africans acknowledge that some of these assertions were false as some of their fellow citizens were just envious. Envious of the fact that some of these black African migrants were found to possess better skills and qualifications to be either self-employed or acquire jobs South Africans wouldn't like to have. Many of these black African foreigners were said to be very creative and hardworking, to the point of exposing some black South Africans to the world of self-employment and businesses.

Unfortunately some black South Africans are now desirous of the departure of black African foreigners from South Africa to their respective countries so that they might take over (SAPA, 2008d, Everatt, 2008-2009:4, Everatt, 2011:26, Human Rights Watch, 1998:128-129, Makhafola, 2008b:5). This is evident in the way and manner in which shops owned by African immigrants were attacked with their cars, spaza shops, shacks, destroyed sometimes after meetings involving some taxi drivers. Interestingly, most of these usually took place at the slightest provocation as the wares of immigrant black African hawkers and shop owners were regularly looted while some of them were either violently attacked or killed in the process (Human Rights Watch, 1998:129-131, Joubert, 2008b:3, Rossouw, 2008:11, Johnston, 2008b:31, Evans et al., 2009:1 and 4, Ndlovu, 2008b:5, Bloem, 2008:9). Beyond envy, this is suggestive of the fact that it is possible that some big business conglomerates in South Africa, owned by certain elites have a lot to gain from the violence. This situation brings to the fore the possibility of a third party involvement in fuelling the conflict, more so since there has been an outcry of unfair business competition emanating from different quarters across the country (Religious Leaders Training on Conflict Resolution, 2013, Rossouw and Kharsany, 2008:6, Amisi et al., 2011:74-75) .

Meanwhile Sinwell (2011:135) claims that since around 2005 there have been persistent efforts by some companies and government officials to evict some people

from their shacks in Malboro, Alexandra. The occupants of these shacks have been renting these shacks for over 10 years and were reportedly involved in illegal tapping and use of electricity for their respective homes in and around some abandoned factories. Most appalling was that the environment was reportedly becoming extremely unhygienic for habitation, making it vulnerable to an outbreak of diseases (Sinwell, 2011:135). Interestingly, following an outbreak of violence, later reports indicated that arrests were made by the police of certain individual(s) in Soweto who confessed to have received money from some faceless sponsors to either instigate or sustain the xenophobic violence for some selfish but yet to be ascertained reasons (Mashaba, 2008b:4, Sowetan, 2008c:5, Everatt, 2011:26).

Incidentally, these developments are in line with government's claims of a possibility of a "third force" and could mean that some industrialists/capitalists around the country may have directly/indirectly sponsored the conflict by funding the eviction of people from their abandoned factories and environs. This of course is also in line with the *modus operandi* of South Africa's erstwhile apartheid colonial masters who forcefully evacuated black South Africans from white dominated areas around the country. Meanwhile, irrespective of the fact that government failed to bring forward proof of financiers of the violence, reports clearly indicated that the arrests were publically confirmed by the then police spokesman Captain Mpande Khoza and some other government officials (Frye et al., 2011:246, South African History Online, 1950, Johnson, 1951:286, SAPA, 2008a:3, Sowetan, 2008c:5, Mashaba, 2008b:4, Naki, 2008a:9).

Similarly, before and during the 2008 major "afrophobic" violence, there were reports of some acts of economic sabotage against black African immigrants. Reports indicated that some South African members of hawkers unions openly supported the violence against immigrant black African hawkers, traders and shop-owners (Human Rights Watch, 1998:131, Rondganger, 2008b:13, Tshetlo, 2008:4). Following several protests by some local hawkers unions and violence against black African migrant hawkers, government agencies started issuing hawking licences. In what seems to be an attempt to exclude black African immigrants from participating in hawking businesses, many of them were skilfully denied hawking licences by some local governments (Human Rights Watch, 1998:133). This situation is quite similar to the economic *modus operandi* of the erstwhile apartheid regime which became envious of

the fact that black South Africans were more successful farmers than they were. Thus out of envy and rage, the apartheid government came up with laws (such as the Natives Land Act of 1913) that would systematically strip them of their lands, hence rendering black South Africans landless in order to entrench white economic superiority and have them excluded from the mainstream of the national economy (Banton, 1987:156, Nkomo et al., 1995:262-266, Fahmawi, 1987:132). This latest form of relationship between some black South Africans and black African foreigners could mean that some black South Africans are still suffering from the hangover of their experiences with the defunct oppressive apartheid regime or are encouraged by some white industrialists to attack fellow Africans.

In the words of Chossudovsky (1997:397), ‘...transfer of nominal political power by the apartheid regime in 1994 rather than restraining the white dominated economic system, has in fact created the preconditions for its advancement both within South Africa and the region’. The total result of these is that the economic *status quo* of apartheid South Africa still survives in post-apartheid South Africa, a situation which can prove to be economically very frustrating for poor black South Africans. Hence the oppressed (being some poor black South Africans) in emulation of his oppressor (some white South Africans) has chosen to become the brand new oppressor (Bearak and Dugger, 2008:15, Matsinhe, 2011:296-302, Kalamane, 2008:3). A situation which Matsinhe (2011:302) describes as follows: “Once the ideals of the oppressor became the aspirations of the oppressed, the oppressed has become a cultural clone of the oppressor”. However, Gqola (2008:210-222) views the xenophobic attacks of black African immigrants differently from a perspective she regards as “negrophobia”. Gqola (2008:210-222) believes that the negrophobic violence of 2008 was targeted mainly at poor black men from other African countries and not wealthy black Africans or poor people of other races. Gqola (2008:218-219) thus argues that some black South Africans view poor black African immigrants as stealing their jobs and women:

Black South African women and jobs are the entitlement of black South African men. Historically as well as in the contemporary moment, dominant black masculinities index access to finance as linked to sexual attractiveness and virility. Therefore the loss of both a means of income and the opposite sex is a threat to such patriarchal and heteronormative masculinities.

The ideological baggage of such assertions comes from assumptions about women's availability for sale. If 'foreign' Africans have all the 'money', then South African men cannot compete [...].

Meanwhile, Matsinhe (2011:296) insists that the word 'foreigners' commonly used among some black South Africans are meant to refer only to black African immigrants, and immigrants of other races resident in South Africa are free from such label. Therefore whenever there is an emotionally charged accusation of foreigners in South Africa stealing jobs and women, emanating from some black South Africans, the focus lies on black African immigrants (Gqola, 2008:218-219). One of the greatest problems with intra-group relations in South Africa lies with the fact that there are high levels of distrust among black South Africans, between black South Africans and black African migrants and *vice versa*. And to make matters worse, many black South Africans do not even trust South African government, especially with the tackling of the issue of illegal immigrants and unemployment in the country (Dube, 2008:3, Molefe et al., 2008b:1 and 4, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4, Steenkamp, 2009:445-446). Black African immigrants also, do not trust South African government due to the state's discriminatory attitudes towards them (Steenkamp, 2009:445). Steenkamp (2009:446) therefore concludes that bridging this gap of mistrust in the country remains difficult in the midst of competition over scarce resources. Hence this high level of distrust continued to breed antagonism, suspicion and all manner of discrimination in the relationship between these groups (Steenkamp, 2009:445, Tejumaiye and Oso, 2008:127). A situation like this might prove to be inimical for socio-economic development in South Africa as these groups continued live in utter suspicion and distrust.

However, it must be clearly noted that the personal attitude of some black South Africans towards black African immigrants is in contrast to the actions of the mobs. The fact is that some black South Africans still have good relationships with and are even getting married to some black African migrants despite the challenges posed by these negative perceptions and attitudes towards black African foreigners resident in South Africa (Okolo, 2008, Neocosmos, 2008:588, Badat, 2008d:1). Some black South Africans are so ashamed of the xenophobic violence carried out against black African migrants by their fellow countrymen, to the point that some of them publically condemned the act describing it as inhumane (Tau, 2008:3, Msomi and

Meintjies, 2008:1 and 4, Msomi et al., 2008c:1 and 4, Msomi, 2008:1, Mogale, 2008:15, Crush, 2008:9). While some others were reported to have assisted government agencies in quelling down the violence, some black South Africans took the campaign to another level by joining the fight against “afrophobic” violence to the point of physically clashing with some rampaging mob in an attempt to protect black African migrants from attacks (Shonisani, 2008:4, Msomi et al., 2008b: 1 and 4). This situation was also well proven by the enormous relief materials and assistance displaced black African foreigners received from sympathetic South Africans, the South African government and non-governmental organisations (Tau, 2008:3, Crush, 2008:9). But unfortunately, these humanitarian services offered to these displaced black African migrants provoked anger and envy among some black South Africans. A black South African female between the ages of 40-49 argued as follows:

I am not against foreigners...really, I have nothing against foreigners. Our government is too accommodating when it comes to foreigners. When we watch TV in our neighbours' houses we are amazed to see government providing free food for foreigners when we are also hungry but are not catered for. Government provides foreigners with free maize meal but fails to do the same for us so that we can be able to feed our children. Our children go to bed on empty stomachs on some days.

Government gives foreigners preferential treatment; it is too accommodating towards foreigners (sic). Last week my children and I went to bed hungry for three days; government would not offer us any help even if we approach them for help. Government does not provide us with free maize meal (Everatt, 2011:21, Everatt, 2008-2009:3).

Clearly this is not only indicative of the fact that some poor black South Africans have very poor knowledge of what it means to be a refugee but also it is indicative of a high level of poverty among black South Africans. Besides, this points to the fact that some black South Africans might be very dependent on government for their daily survival. Meanwhile regarding government provisions of RDP (Reconstruction Development programme) houses to black South Africans and humanitarian assistance to black African immigrants, a black South African female between the ages of 30-39, dwelling in Alexandria, has the following to say:

I say this thing [xenophobia] will never end. They take those people even to church as if they feel pity for them-in the meantime we are suffering. We live in one-roomed houses; in the meantime they are living comfortably. These people working for the government are the ones selling these houses and these outsiders have money. They sell these houses for something like R2000 to these foreigners. That is why these people are occupying houses that are supposed to belong to South Africans [...] (Everatt, 2008-2009:3).

While another black South African male between the ages of 20-29, views the entire situation as follows:

The problem starts with the communities competing with foreigners. The foreigners start achieving more and the communities realize these foreigners are getting so much more yet they don't belong here (sic)! (African male, 20-29, Vosloorus, unemployed) (Everatt, 2011:22).

Therefore in view of these, Wimmer (1997:21) argues that the intensity of the conflict witnessed between black Africans from outside South Africa and black South Africans was wrongfully classified as xenophobia. Wimmer (1997: 21) insists that the situation was borne out of 'equality and difference, of legitimate and illegitimate competition'. Obviously, some South Africans were chased away from their RDP houses while two South African women working for a security company were beaten up on returning from work and refusing to participate in the violence (Seale et al., 2008:3, Ncana and Mkhize, 2008a:4). This development points to the fact that among the attacking mobs were unemployed and homeless black South Africans as the mob screamed at and accused foreigners of taking away their jobs and RDP houses (Oloyede, 2008:108-109, Aidan, 2010:649, Tshabalala and Dibetle, 2008:4). In view of this, Ncana and Mkhize (2008:4) report that some black South Africans are taking advantage of the situation to settle some old scores, While some other reports indicate that some councillors were either killed or had their houses burnt down (Mboyisa, 2008b:4, Stewart et al., 2008a:4).

Incidentally, at the end of the major afrophobic violence of May 2008 South Africa more than any other African country recorded the highest number of casualties (Oloyede, 2008:108). Thus leading Wimmer (1997:21) to conclude with a careful observation that it does appear as if 'ethnic and xenophobic conflicts are waged over collective goods'. A development which Wimmer (1997) claims, makes it

difficult for negative attitudes towards foreigners to be high among people who have been jobless for a very long time, some of whom have actually worked with foreigners for years. Thus Everatt (2011:23), having considered certain consistencies in the reactions he received from some black South Africans during interviews regarding xenophobia in the country, identifies these collective goods at stake to mean houses and jobs. The underlying implication of the statement: ‘The foreigners start achieving more and the communities realize these foreigners are getting so much more yet they don’t belong here (sic)!’ is that black African immigrants actually make a decent living as against different stereotypes in the society that criminalises black African migrants (Mancotywa, 2008:12). Similarly but most revealing is the account of a black South African woman from Kliptown informal dwellings which reveals a clearer picture of the situation as she was quoted as making the following statements about the attitude of some black South Africans to jobs and their treatment of foreigners:

In general South Africans are lazy whereas foreigners are willing to do any job in order to be able to provide for their families. The minute they make money and are able to do things for themselves the locals become jealous and begrudge them their success. I am a waitress and I work with foreigners who work 24 hours as cleaners, a job which many South Africans don’t want to do, but as soon as they see that there is money to be made as a cleaner they become jealous and beat the foreigners (Everatt, 2011:26).

However, research has proven that several years after apartheid in South Africa, besides skin colour, education determines how much one earns as a worker in South Africa. A matric certificate holder earns twice more than secondary school students while university graduates earn five times more than the salary of a matric certificate holder. Meanwhile, a postgraduate degree holder earns approximately eight times more than a matric holder. Based on this structure, a matric holder earns R4 million in a year; a postgraduate earns almost R24 million while an illiterate (if he manages to find a job) will only earn R1.15 million in a lifetime (Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4). The unfortunate result is that illiterate South Africans are very much at economic disadvantage. Thus it can be argued that with adult black South Africans more than any other race in South Africa, recording the highest rate of illiteracy with more than

80% of its population found to be “functionally illiterate”. It then becomes possible that approximately the same figure has become economically disadvantaged or live in adverse poverty and frustrations due to illiteracy and South Africa’s socio-economic structure (Aitchison and Harley, 2006:96, Danso and McDonald, 2001:118, Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4). When it is reported that South Africa records about a 40% unemployment rate, it becomes obvious that a greater chunk of this percentage of unemployment goes to black South Africans due to their high rate of illiteracy (Michela, 2008:23, Danso and McDonald, 2001:124). Similarly Gower (2009:3) reports that a study conducted in 2007 reveals that 2.8 million out of 6.7 million South African youths between the age brackets of 18-24 years were either unemployed or illiterate. 44percent of this population were reported to be black South Africans, while 41percent were actually coloureds (Gower, 2009). This situation happens to be a shadow of apartheid policies of unequal access to education, a situation which can be very frustrating for some poor black South Africans (Danso and McDonald, 2001:115-137, Sonneborn, 2010:48-49, Nkomo et al., 1995:269-274, Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4). These frustrations therefore makes it possible for black South Africans to have the tendency of becoming more xenophobic and violent than any other race in the country (Muzumbukilwa, 2007:87, Gower, 2009:3).

Unfortunately, this high level of illiteracy and poverty among black South Africans can further complicate their relations between black African foreigners who are reported to be mostly more educated, better skilled and exposed than some black South Africans (Muzumbukilwa, 2007:76-87). This level of illiteracy might as well be responsible for some black South Africans being ignorant of the fact that although some black immigrants allegedly compete with them for jobs, they are mostly self-employed and create jobs for some black South Africans as well (Muzumbukilwa, 2007:76 and 87, Danso and McDonald, 2001:124-125).

Certainly, education has a lot to do with xenophobia in South Africa as xenophobic attitudes were found to be very high amongst South Africans with no formal education, of which more than 80% adult illiteracy was recorded amongst black South Africans (Crush, 2008:33-35, Danso and McDonald, 2001:118, Aitchison and Harley, 2006:96). This alarming rate of illiteracy found amongst black South Africans might as well mean that some of them who target fellow South Africans based on skin colour

or ethnic variance, could be ignorant of the fact that they are fellow South Africans (Landau, 2011:1, Monson and Arian, 2011:32 and 50, Ncana and la Grange, 2008, Ncana and Mkhize, 2008a:4, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008a:3). Since some of them are not educated they might have out of ignorance given South African citizenship a thorough subjective definition, and as such might be acting out of ignorance. Accordingly, since many black South Africans are not properly enlightened, many of them might as well be ignorant of the role of black African nations and nationals in the anti-apartheid movement in South Africa (SAPA-AFP, 2008a:3, Cox, 2008:3). This situation leaves some black South Africans prone to manipulations and exploitations from people with selfish /malicious intentions. Such malice can be done by sowing “the seed of discord” between both parties (black South Africans and black African migrants) in order to trigger confusion and conflict. Incidentally reports indicate that some poor ignorant black South Africans acknowledged collecting money from unknown individuals to kill black African migrants and trigger xenophobic violence in their community (Sowetan, 2008c:5, Mashaba, 2008b:4).

2.2.3 Dimensions to the ‘Politics of Xenophobia in South Africa’

Fortunately, the end of apartheid paved way for democracy in South Africa as well as increase in migration of black Africans into South Africa (Sonneborn, 2010, Solomon, 2003). Different patterns of politics emerged to deal with the situation; score cheap political points, inflame the violence or even paint a negative picture of the government in power. But the most interesting scenario is the case of media politics and politics of ‘insiders and outsiders’ otherwise known as “Amakwerekwere” (Nyamnjoh, 2006, Matsinhe, 2011, Danso and McDonald, 2001).

2.2.3.1 State Actions and Inactions and the ‘Politics of Exclusion in South Africa’

It must be recalled that incidents of xenophobic violence have replicated in different forms and magnitude for years in South Africa, the worst of it all being a major outbreak of “afrophobic” violence in the country which sparked up on the 11th of May 2008 (Reuters, 2008b:4, Solomon, 2003:98, Crush, 2008:44-54). Furthermore, irrespective of the fact that “afrophobic” violence continues in South Africa, reports indicate that the government has legally done very little to either protect the lives of black African migrants or prevent reoccurrences (Nyamnjoh, 2006:40-41, Klaaren,

2011:135). Incidentally after the major “afrophobic” violence of May 2008, the then President Thabo Mbeki was quoted by the press, some writers and researchers to have in a most sophisticated manner, publically denied or exposed his ignorance regarding the obvious reality of xenophobia in South Africa (Dodson, 2010:7). According to Mbeki:

When I heard some accuse my people of xenophobia, of hatred of foreigners, I wonder what the accusers knew about my people, which I did not know...The dark days of May which have brought us here today were visited on our country by people who acted with criminal intent. What happened during these days was not inspired by a perverse nationalism, or extreme chauvinism, resulting in our communities violently expressing the hitherto unknown sentiment of mass and mindless hatred of foreigners—xenophobia ... and this I must also say—none in our society has any right to encourage or incite xenophobia by trying to explain naked criminal activity by cloaking it in the garb of xenophobia (Worby et al., 2008:4).

Conversely, Worby et al. (2008:6) reveal that some writers agree with this notion insisting that the violence of 2008 cannot be completely defined as xenophobia. For example, Matsinhe (2011:310) submits to a similar notion by insisting that taking into account a thorough analysis of the history of South Africa, violence against black African immigrants as experienced in South Africa can best be described as “Afrophobia”. This development may not continue to augur well for the nation as the above statement from the president might prove to be a setback to the fight against “afrophobic” violence in the country. Unfortunately, Dodson (2010:7) contends that Nelson Mandela the first democratically elected president of South Africa, who happens to be President Thabo Mbeki’s predecessor, was earlier quoted in 1994 to have made the following speech: ‘The fact that illegal immigrants are involved in violent criminal activity must not tempt us into the dangerous attitude which regards all foreigners with hostility’. Besides encouraging the sustenance of a culture of impunity and acts of violence against black African migrants in the country, these statements sends a negative signal to investors within and outside South Africa. For instance, Ferreira and Mtshali (2008:16) both revealed that after the major afrophobic violence of 2008 many foreign business owners shut down their businesses and left the country out of fear.

Meanwhile some of the perpetrators of these crimes against black African foreigners may have continued to walk freely in the country as some government agencies and law enforcement agencies who instead of providing black African foreigners with support and protection as they are legally bound to do, rather chose to participate in shameful acts of extortion, harassments and abuse of black African migrants (Nyamnjoh, 2006:51, Neocosmos, 2008:589, Human Rights Watch, 1998:2-82). For instance a self-employed black African migrant who works as an electrical engineer was reported to have received a smoky car from a policeman who brought the car to him for repairs while on mufti. On the completion of repairs on his car, the policeman appeared in full uniform and took both his car and the Mozambican engineer to the station. Irrespective of the fact that the policeman never paid the young man for his services, he was rather said to have been forced to pay a bribe of R500 to the policeman to secure his release since he was reportedly an illegal migrant (Human Rights Watch, 1998:42-43).

Meanwhile Neocosmos (2006:104) contends that even black African migrants residing legally in South Africa often end up losing their documents to some government agencies as they shredded their papers with impunity the moment they got hold of them. This is an indication that the problem is by no means the legality of their stay in the country but rather an indication of hatred of their very presence in the country. Incidentally, at the Lindela detention facility, there are several reports of abuses and torture of black African migrants. Reports indicated that officials collected money from those wrongfully detained in the facility as a bribe to secure their release and the same applies to those that are to face deportation. According to these reports, detainees facing deportation are compelled to bribe officials to secure their deportation; otherwise their deportation becomes unduly delayed as they languish in detention (Human Rights Watch, 1998:68-83, Neocosmos, 2006:108).

Unfortunately, a report of a possible lacuna in the law indicates the absence of specific hate crime legislation (Monson and Arian, 2011:36), a situation which might have exacerbated the violence as mobs went on rampage stealing, killing and maiming black African immigrants and some fellow South Africans with so much impunity (Johnston, 2008b:31, Landau, 2011:1, Monson and Arian, 2011:32 and 50, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4, Joubert, 2008b:3, Ndlovu, 2008b:5, Kapp, 2008:1987, Kalamane

and SAPA, 2008a:3, Ncana and Mkhize, 2008b:1 and 4). Irrespective of the fact that arrests were made by the police of alleged criminals, rapists and murderers, the judiciary was said to have not been able to secure conviction for most of the arrests (Klaaren, 2011:135). For instance Evans et al (2009: 1 and 4) argues that a hundred and twenty eight (128) people out of the one thousand four hundred (1,400) suspects arrested for allegedly participating in xenophobic attacks were only convicted by the courts. While The Times newspaper (2009:18) contends that less than thirty percent of those that took part in the xenophobic violence have been made to face justice. Meanwhile less than three percent of these people have only been convicted so far, with the type of penalties The Times newspaper reports as encouraging to the violence (The Times, 2009:18). This implies that from 2008 to 2011 the South African government have done very little to legally forestall re-occurrences of xenophobic violence in South Africa. Therefore the country continues to experience acts of violence against black African migrants (Everatt, 2011:10, Ncube, 2012, Nyasa Times, 2012, SAPA, 2011).

Incidentally, since the political entity of a state is defined by its boundaries and control of migration across its boundaries, it is possible that South African government might have perceived the influx of African migrants in the country as a threat to the existence of the state (Crush, 2008). Thus comparing the situation with that of animals in the jungle, Solomon (2003:16-36) contends that 'territoriality predates the rise of the modern state' which is compelled to protect her territory at all cost. Hence the practise of exclusion and inclusion clearly defines the state of nation-building. The idea of creation of a particular community while at the same time excluding some others from the same community makes up an integral part of the emergence of a nation-state. Hence amongst others a state is characterised as specifically defined territorial boundaries with clear distinction between foreigners and nationals (Neocosmos, 2006:15-16 and 90, Solomon, 2003:16-36). This perception is reflected in the harrowing experiences of some black African migrants in South Africa such as: media negative/stereotypical reportage, reckless and inflammatory statements made against them by some black South African politicians, the regular abuses they go through at the hand of state agencies, their classification as "amakwerekwere" by some black South Africans and their experiences of "afrophobic" violence/killings (Neocosmos, 2008:586-594, Nyamnjoh, 2006:28-68).

Based on these, Neocosmos (2006:90) therefore insists that the problem lies with the question of how the state manages its processes of exclusion and inclusion, how democratic it has become and to what extent these processes have actually been democratically piloted.

Similarly, Neocosmos (2008:588) argued that the attitude of the state remains different from that of the citizens as some black South Africans are sympathetic to the plight of black African migrants. For instance after the nation experienced an orgy of “afrophobic” violence in 2008, many sympathetic South Africans irrespective of their race or colour showed up amidst national outburst of emotions with donations of massive relief materials to assist the displaced African migrants (Smillie, 2008:1, Rasool, 2008:13, Botes, 2008:8, Badat, 2008a:2, Naki, 2008b:5, Serrao, 2008b:1, Serrao, 2008a:1, Crush, 2008:9, Neocosmos, 2006:103, Mashaba, 2008a:4). While the same Mangosotho Buthelezi, the former minister of the department of home affairs who was reported to have received so much publicity from the media for reckless and inflammatory utterances against black African migrants was later found to be shedding tears for the victims of “afrophobic” violence (Neocosmos, 2008:588, Human Rights Watch, 1998:123-124, Mngxitama, 2008:190). It is in view of this that Mngxitama (2008:189-205) contends that not all South Africans are barbarians/xenophobes as alleged, some are totally not in support of the violence against black African migrants or the states discriminatory policies against them.

Therefore it follows that all these are indicative of the fact that the reactions of black South Africans towards black African migrants can be analysed from the following perspectives, namely: political/state level of analysis and the individual levels of analysis. At the political/state level citizens react according to how the state and her agencies perceive and treats black African migrants. At the individual level some citizens are personally very sympathetic to African immigrants, while some out of fear simply dance to the whims of the state’s ‘politics of exclusion’, the type of politics Michael Neocosmos describes as ‘the politics of fear, violence and war against those perceived to be different’ (Neocosmos, 2008:587, Neocosmos, 2006:12-17 and 103, Udeh et al., 2013).

2.2.3.2 The Injection of Media Politics to the Flame of Xenophobic Violence

At the political level, the actions and inactions of government officials and the media have served to whip up and sustain anti-African immigrant sentiments among black South Africans. For instance, some government agencies abuse black foreigners⁵, while some politicians continue to make reckless and inflammatory statements that scapegoat black African immigrants as the cause of development problems in South Africa, the media is found culpable with its negative publicity for African immigrants (Human Rights Watch, 1998:4-20, Makatile, 2008:9). Ironically, it becomes obvious that although the state condemns xenophobia outrightly, it also provides the fertile grounds and basis on which xenophobia thrives. Meanwhile, the facts regarding African immigrants being the cause of underdevelopment problems in South Africa speak differently.

In dismissing the notion that foreigners account for the increase in crime wave in South Africa, a study conducted by Matsche (2001) reveals that foreigners account for less than one percent of arrests while about 98 percent of arrests in 1998 for various criminal activities were South Africans. This is owing to the fact that besides some black Africans being illegal immigrants, they have received so much negative publicity that they would prefer not to attract the attention of the police (Matsche, 2001:590, Neocosmos, 2008:590, Nyamnjoh, 2006:46). Therefore, Nyamnjoh (2006:68) argues that negative publicity; stereotyping and scapegoating of black African immigrants were in the interest of the black and white bourgeoisie/elites since those tactics serve a 'useful diversionary purpose in the face of the rising expectations of ordinary black and white citizens'.

Furthermore, Nyamnjoh (2006:63-64) reveals that the South African media is largely owned by white South Africans. While Chossudovsky (1997:397) insists that South African economy is also dominated by white South Africans. Therefore it then follows that since these two sectors of the economy are dominated by white South Africans, media reportage might be very biased to the point of promoting ancient apartheid racist and discriminatory politics (Nyamnjoh, 2006:63-64). Obviously the

⁵ Some members of the SAPS encourage South Africans to assist them to round up and root out illegal black African immigrants. See Neocosmos, M. 2008. The politics of fear and the fear of politics: Reflections on xenophobic violence in South Africa. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 43, 586-594, Matsinhe, D. M. 2011. Africa's fear of itself: the ideology of makwerekwere in South Africa. *Third World Quarterly*, 23, 295-313.

defunct apartheid regime's system of governance was made up of anti-African policies and based on racial classifications and profiling (Nkomo et al., 1995:261-280, Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-161). Thus, it becomes obvious that since the media is largely owned by white South Africans, media sensational and stereotypical reports are devised to possibly run down or caricature the young democratic government of South Africa. This can be achieved by promoting apartheid's similar politics of exclusion and violence (Danso and McDonald, 2001:118-131, Nyamnjoh, 2006:63-68, Harber, 2008:161-169, Sonneborn, 2010:56-87, Du Toit, 2001:78-83, Udeh et al., 2013). In this regard Nyamnjoh (2006:64) clearly argues

That the media in post-apartheid South Africa are still dominated by white interests in ownership, control and content is a good case in point that talking or scripting change is different from living change. If the media in general, and the print media in particular, still mainly serve elite white interests and the economy is largely still under elite white control, it means that how the media cover immigration and migrants is likely to be indicative of the dominant elite white views on these issues. And if, in the face of negative coverage, black South Africans were to reinforce their hostility towards *Makwerekwere*, they would be acting in tune with dominant elite white interests, even as they may claim to be defending their own interests as emerging citizens....

Furthermore, since contrary to apartheid policies and politics, South Africa's new democratic government seeks to improve the economic well-being of poor black South Africans who have for many years lived a life of servitude under the defunct white dominated oppressive apartheid regime (Nkomo et al., 1995:261-280, Ponte et al., 2007:933, BBC News, 2008, SAPA, 2008c:5). This novel black economic empowerment policy, engineered by the new democratic dispensation appears not to be serving the interest of some white South Africans (Dibetle, 2008:14). Hence the media provides some of them with an alternative political divisive method of setting up African communities against each other thereby making a jest of South Africa's newly found democracy, to the detriment of the ANC-lead government (Harber, 2008:165-171). The possible implication of these is that South African media might have become a modern mechanism of ancient apartheid politics meant to promote and sustain long abandoned apartheid policies.

During apartheid, some of the major concerns surrounding the complexities of immigration in South Africa were fears of spread of diseases, drain on government resources and decline in values of properties, economic competition and devaluation of wages (Petros et al., 2006:67-77, Nkomo et al., 1995:261-282). These same concerns and anti-African ideas were echoed all over the media in contemporary South Africa, before the major out-brake of the xenophobic violence in 2008 (Danso and McDonald, 2001:115-137).

Incidentally, since most widely read newspapers in South Africa are controlled or owned by white South Africans and are said to also have among their regular readers, South African elites, policy makers and politicians (Danso and McDonald, 2001:118). It perhaps explains why some black South African politicians deploy some of the newspaper stereotypical publications as political propaganda (Human Rights Watch, 1998:19-20 and 123-125). A situation like this might as well be responsible for some black South Africans having negative perceptions regarding black African migrants.

Some black South Africans bear these negative perceptions even though many of them are yet to meet or come into regular contact with black African foreigners, as to ascertain the degree of their maliciousness and lawlessness. Rather, their actions and belief about African migrants are based on “second hand (mis) information” from sources like the media, friends and schools (Danso and McDonald, 2001:116, Crush, 2008:31). This also implies that many black South Africans have never travelled to other African countries as to be exposed to other Africans/African culture. Thus this level of ignorance can only mean that many black South Africans are not exposed to the outside world but are only dependent on South African orientation. Therefore, this explains why some black South Africans are said to have anti-African orientation, possibly stemming from the fact that South Africa is the only African country where white supremacy had for years been properly entrenched in the system (Matsinhe, 2011:297-300).

Unfortunately some media in South Africa continues to aggravate the situation by promoting certain stereotypes against black African immigrants which suggests the nationalisation of crime among black African foreign nationals. Zimbabwean and Mozambican women were labelled as prostitutes, Mozambicans were also associated

with car hijacking while Nigerians and Moroccans were negatively publicized by the media as drug peddlers. Congolese as being involved in passport fraud, while Zairians were identified with diamond smuggling and Lesotho nationals became associated with smuggling of gold dust and copper wire (Danso and McDonald, 2001:126-127). All are indicative of the fact that most media in South Africa have not only succeeded in “Africanising” crime but have also successfully “internalised racism” in South Africa (Danso and McDonald, 2001:126). Perhaps it is based on these (media) negative publicity and stereotypes that government agencies and some black South Africans embarked on regular abuse of black African migrants (Human Rights Watch, 1998:49-136, Nyamnjoh, 2006:46-56). Hence it can be argued that most media in South Africa might have been used to sponsor a hidden agenda of the defunct apartheid regime. This could be the case since contemporary South African media mirrored similar images of concerns and complexities surrounding immigration and residence in apartheid South Africa (Vale, 2002:22-23, Petros et al., 2006:74, Solomon, 2003:105-124).

During the apartheid regime, there was no room for black ownership of press and in contemporary South Africa, few newspapers are owned by black South Africans. Incidentally, out of the four major newspapers in South Africa, only one newspaper—TML (Times Media limited) has recorded significant black ownership (Danso and McDonald, 2001:118). However, this does not imply that black owned newspapers are not involved in stereotypical publications against black African immigrants in South Africa, as they were found equally guilty of similar offence. However, since the majority of them depended mostly on European sponsorship they might easily be persuaded into blowing ancient apartheid tunes (Danso and McDonald, 2001:115-137). Owing to this attitude of some journalists in South Africa, the case of xenophobia in the country becomes worrisome as the situation was aggravated by the fact that South Africans easily view information from the media regarding black African immigrants as authentic (Steenkamp, 2009:443).

Meanwhile just before the demise of the apartheid regime in South Africa, the possibility of the country being faced with a massive migration problem from black African countries was brought to the fore of public debate. Public debate on the matter began to heat up following de Klerk’s speech of 2nd February 1990 that geared the country towards democracy. There were calls on the government of South Africa to

commence planning for a possibility of an influx of black African immigrants, but South African government never heeded to these calls (Vale, 2002:14). Intellectuals and some members of the academia offered explanations and notified government on how best to handle immigration in the country, but rather South African government choose not to heed to these demands (Vale, 2002). Incidentally, an address to the Biennial Conference of the former Development Society of South Africa that followed F.W. de Klerk's speech clearly revealed these concerns:

All the evidence suggests that the ending of apartheid will deepen, not weaken, South Africa's attractiveness to the people of the region. This means that when southern Africa's own Berlin Wall—apartheid – finally comes down, a tide of humanity will cross over into this country. To avoid the resulting long-term social dislocation, planning for these migrants needs to commence as soon as possible (Vale, 2002:14).

Therefore it appears as if media's negative publications against black African immigrants were desperate attempts to draw the attention of the government or salvage the alleged situation by other means. This might have been carried out by those that possess enough powers to wield enormous influence on the media, as severe negative publications against black African migrants suggests this possibility (Danso and McDonald, 2001:115-137). Hence crime in South Africa became successfully linked to black African migrants, words like, "illegals", "illegal alien", "illegal foreigners", became common media slogan used to depict all black African migrants irrespective of their legal status in the country. While phrases such as "illegals in SA add to decay of cities", "Africa floods into cape town" (sic), "Francophone invasion", "6 million migrants headed our way", "Africa's flood of misery", made newspaper headlines and were used to depict Alien invasion, danger, crisis, foreign bodies and undesirables before South African readers, thus "criminalising" and "Africanising" migration in South Africa (Nyamnjoh, 2006:63-68, Danso and McDonald, 2001:115-137). To make matters worse, during the afrophobic violence of 2008 some newspapers such as Daily Sun were accused of inflaming the situation with headlines such as: "its war on Aliens-20 bust for attacks", "War against aliens: Thousands forced to flee Alex". These served to encourage the violence as a national course or a sort of patriotic war to defend the nation against invading aliens (Harber, 2008:161-163). Meanwhile the regular re-occurrence of the word "aliens" is indicative of an

apartheid mentality as it stems from the apartheid phrase “Aliens control Act” (Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-165). Unfortunately, the founder of the Daily Sun newspaper Deon du Plessis may have indirectly admitted to this as it was reported he was defending the actions of Daily Sun by acknowledging that the publication of the newspaper was for the interest of poor black South Africans whose welfare had been abandoned by their government.

According to the report, du Plessis claims his newspaper serves the interest of those poor black South Africans who do not comprehend the global view of sharing with foreigners as brothers and sisters since they can barely survive themselves (Harber, 2008). Another dimension to this debacle might be that some media houses might have cashed in on the conflict to make money and record larger sales. This might be applicable to the Daily Sun’s reported style of stereotypical publications because, following the condemnation of actions of the media and the rampaging mobs, du Plessis was quoted as defending the actions of the Daily Sun by insisting that: “It may not be a very worldly view, but it served the paper’s audience”...(Harber, 2008:168). The implication of this statement is that the media might have been used to continue to set up black South Africans against their fellow black Africans. Arguably, the above statement is perhaps a reflection of an apartheid mockery of contemporary South African democracy. The anti-African nature of the media can be equated to the nature of the defunct apartheid regime, which serves to point out a possibility of a “third force”.

Although most English newspapers were involved in these negative publicity, not all journalist were involved in these stereotypical publications as some media reports were objective and also reported on the advantage of immigrants to the country (Danso and McDonald, 2001:117 and 127). However, the press cannot be held entirely responsible for instigating xenophobic violence while some black politicians in South Africa like Mangosuthu Buthelezi were reported to have been found culpable of making outrageous statements capable of inciting afrophobic violence. Mangosuthu Buthelezi, the then minister for Home Affairs, was regularly quoted in the media for making inflammatory statements. Under this circumstance, media reports can be said to be reflective of public opinion or perceptions, while at the same time it is the duty of the media to engage in objective reports as it has the ability to influence/construct public perceptions of societal matters (Danso and McDonald, 2001:131-132, Webb,

2008:10). Hence according to Smith (2008-2009:26-27) the following interview captures a different view of the possible roles of the media in the major violence of 2008, irrespective of the fact that there is no concrete evidence as to the direct link between the media and the “afrophobic” violence of 2008:

There is, however, evidence that the media played a significant role in triggering violence in areas such as Tembisa, Masiphumelele and Du Noon. Respondents in these areas believe that the violence was triggered by what people saw and read in the media about attacks in other townships, such as Alexandra. Images and media reports of attacks; of people successfully looting foreign-owned shops and of the helpless police and authorities, were certainly encouraging to the ill-intentioned. Criminals and opportunists then organised themselves and mobilised other community members to emulate what was happening elsewhere (Smith, 2008-2009:26).

However the following interesting argument/account captures the very dynamics of the role of the media in South Africa:

Insensitive reporting of immigration issues might have contributed to the violence...the prompt reporting this time may have saved lives. It allowed migrants to take appropriate steps to ensure their security amid genuine concerns and brought the plight of targeted communities to the attention of the South African government, civil society and the international community (Smith, 2008-2009:27).

The possible implication of these two different accounts is that beyond being capable of saving human lives and preventing violence, the media has the ability and capacity to encourage and discourage afrophobic violence in South Africa. These arguments all point to the fact that the media can also be used to shape and reshape the South African society if need be.

2.2.3.3 Black African Migrants as Melting Points for Political Gambits and Continuity with Post-Apartheid Violence

Reports indicate that before the major xenophobic violence of 2008 some politicians (like the minister of Home Affairs, Mangosutho Buthelezi) had engaged in making some careless, and unsubstantiated statements such as claiming that African migrants were not only responsible for the ills of the society but also blamed them for the

dilapidating structures in the country meant for poor black South Africans. African immigrants were blamed for rise in the cost of government maintenance of public structures, increase in crime wave, poor implementation of the reconstruction and development programme (RDP), high unemployment rates and even the scourge of HIV and AIDS (Human Rights Watch, 1998,123-124, Petros et al., 2006:67-77). Although politicians like Matthews Mposa (the then Mpumalanga premier) and analysts like Archbishop Desmond Tutu warned that these attitude towards African immigrants might spark up xenophobic violence. Plus a report from the Southern African Bishops' Conference revealing that major xenophobic violence looms around if politicians do not desist from their attitude of blaming African migrants for their own failures (Human Rights Watch, 1998:126-127, Kunda, 2009:120-123). Negative attitudes and publicity against black African migrants still continued unabated amongst different politicians. IFP (Inkatha Freedom Party) representatives were quoted as vowing to take "physical action" towards solving the alleged problem of illegal black African immigrants in the country, if the ANC lead government was unwilling to take bold steps (Human Rights Watch, 1998:124-125, Solomon, 2003:94).

Therefore, following the explosion of xenophobic violence in 2008 the IFP was strongly accused of masterminding the act. Although the IFP consistently denies this allegation, the act was allegedly confirmed by the then Kwazulu-Natal Provincial Safety, Security and Community Liaison MEC (member of executive council) Bheki Cele. According to him, the violent attack and looting of a pub in Umbilo owned by a Nigerian, (which subsequently sparked up "afrophobic" violence in some other parts of the country) was carried out by IFP members returning from a meeting (Ncana et al., 2008:4). Meanwhile Naki (2008:9) claims that information reaching a parliamentary multiparty task team reveals that the "afrophobic" violence of 2008 had a political background, while the Alexandra police station commissioner (Director Theko Pharasi) perceived the afrophobic violence was planned as it took place after a meeting of local hostel dwellers (Dalton Men's Hostel and Madala Hostel) (Memela, 2008:8, Naki, 2008a:9, Stewart et al., 2008a:1 and 4). Despite all these, it remains unclear if IFP was behind the violence which triggered off chains of afrophobic violence across the country as the IFP provincial chairman, in the name of Mntomuhle Khawula claimed that the hostel in question (Dalton Men's Hostel or Madala hostel)

which appears to have hosted the political meetings was a multi-party hostel and that it was unreasonable to claim that IFP members were responsible for the violence. Meanwhile it must be clearly noted that some IFP representatives (Madala Abraham Mzizi and Nhlanhla Msimang) were present at some of the political meetings that took place at the hostel before the attacks (Naki, 2008a:9). This suggests a political dimension to the meetings but Mhlana et al (2008:10) contend that a particular report clearly indicates the involvement of members of several political parties in the violence.

Similarly, Human Rights Watch (2008:135-136) reveals that there has been violence against black African migrants even before the 2008 major xenophobic violence. The attacks which took place between 1994 and 1995 were said to have been orchestrated by some gang members who claimed to be members of the ANC (African National Congress Party), the South African Communist Party and the South African National Civic Organisations. Some other groups like Concerned Residence Group of Alexandra and the Alexandra Property Owners Association were reported to have also participated in the violent campaign which was code named “Buyelekhaya”, which translates to “go back home” in English (Human Rights Watch, 1998, Steenkamp, 2009:441, Monson and Arian, 2011:33, Solomon, 2003:98). Black South Africans who participated in this action were reported to have robbed and forcefully evicted black African immigrants from their homes with impunity and handed over some of them whom were alleged to be illegal immigrants to the police for deportation. But surprisingly these organizations later denied their members ever participated in the violence and even condemned the act, while the IFP Youth Brigade supported the removal of all migrants insisting they were guilty of criminal activities. Meanwhile the Alexandra Property Owners Association denied ever participating in the violence claiming they were doing a good job by handing over to the police, some illegal immigrants for deportation (Human Rights Watch, 1998, Mashaba, 2008b:4).

Therefore a situation whereby the South African president (President Thabo Mbeki) and the ANC president (President Jacob Zuma) remained silent during the 2008 afrophobic attacks, only for both to speak out against it when it was already two weeks into the violence, remains worrisome. The same reason behind the state’s quietness over media stereotypes against black African immigrants while at the same time rising up furiously against racist publications in newspapers might be used to

explain the situation. More especially when the affairs of the state is piloted under the leadership of some black South Africans (Danso and McDonald, 2001:118-119, Mngxitama, 2008:195). Thus it is possible that black African foreigners have been caught up in the crossfire of South Africa's raging (political) battle of racial supremacy stoked by the nation's racial hierarchy of social benefits (Nkomo et al., 1995:263-264). But with particular reference to the history of *Buyelekhaya* and the case of poor response of South African government to the xenophobic violence of 2008, it becomes possible that South African government might have actually perceived the movement of black African migrants, who are either refugee, legal/illegal migrants in the country as a threat to the state. But with a former director-general of the department of Home Affairs (Billy Masetlha) quoted as suggesting that "It is quicker to charge [immigration offenders] for their false documentation and then to deport them than to pursue the long route in respect of the other crimes that are committed", it then becomes obvious that the state indeed feels threatened by the presence of black African immigrants, more so in the sense that black African migrants have been completely criminalised irrespective of their legal status in the country (Danso and McDonald, 2001:126-127, Human Rights Watch, 1998:2-5 and 54-55, Aidan, 2010:647). If this then is true of the situation, in order to avert criticism from human rights activists and the international community, government might be left with the option of getting rid of the perceived threat by simply allowing the situation to take its natural course. Under this circumstance, violence will be allowed to spread as government is busied with simple acts of laying claims to ignorance, criminality and a third force. Whereby this is true of the situation, the implication is that history (of *Buyelekhaya* 1994-1995) was actually repeating itself in 2008. However it is also possible that some of these elites might have been forced into dealing with some very powerful political interests groups or forces within the country before embarking on challenging the situation (Human Rights Watch, 1998:135-136, Mashaba, 2008b:4, Meintjies and Msomi, 2008:1, Reuters, 2008a:6, Msomi et al., 2008a:1, Steenkamp, 2009:440, Dodson, 2010:7 and 11).

Evidently, President Jacob Zuma (the ANC president) in his public address and request for calm from his countrymen was met with furious verbal attacks in which some South Africans insisted that black African immigrants must go or he (President Jacob Zuma) and the rest of the ANC leadership should depart with them (Fuphe,

2008c:6). This request came from some South Africans following the ANC president's revelation that the ANC freedom fighters (of which he was one) that fled into exile during the oppressive apartheid regime in South Africa were safeguarded and protected while in exile in other African countries. He further revealed that the same people whom some black South Africans were molesting in their neighbourhoods were nationals of these same countries that were so supportive of the anti-apartheid struggle (Fuphe, 2008c:6, Leshilo, 2008:10).

However the ANC and IFP power sharing deal of 1994 that saw to the placement of the IFP leader (Mangosuthu Buthelezi) as the Minister for Home Affairs might have also done more harm than good on the relationship between black African migrants and black South Africans (Danso and McDonald, 2001:132). This might be so because his appointment might have caused a clash between the 'politics of inclusion' (represented by the ANC) and the 'politics of entitlement' (represented by the IFP). Thus the Minister of Home Affairs was quoted by the media as making some of the most inflammatory and outrageous statements capable of inciting xenophobic violence across the nation (Nyamnjoh, 2006:3-13, Human Rights Watch, 1998:19-20 and 124, Danso and McDonald, 2001:132).

Meanwhile, it should be clearly noted that as at this period in the history of South Africa, it was very possible that a post-apartheid South Africa was absolutely unprepared to handle such social calamity. This is in the sense that for a nation that just emerged from several years of an oppressive apartheid regime, its democratic structures were still very young and immature to accommodate a situation of this nature. Apparently, these acts of violence that were witnessed under the democratic government of South Africa appears to be a hangover of the culture of violence experienced and inherited by some black South Africans from the oppressive apartheid regime (Du Toit, 2001:81-84, Mnisi, 2008:11). This might be true of some black South Africans as some South African mothers confessed their children experienced so much horror and witnessed so much violence and gruesome murders during apartheid that it seems to have affected their psyche. Impiedly, even as adults they are yet to receive medical attention to this effect hence some of them continue with acts of violence (Everatt, 2011:28).

However, du Toit (2001:78-81) insists that during the apartheid struggle, the leadership of some forces lost control of the activities of some of their members who carried on with the culture of violence into a post-apartheid South Africa. This notion might be applicable to the origin of the afrophobic violence in South Africa as one of the IFP representatives (by the name of Nhlanhla Msimang) who was present during one of the meetings insisted that the meeting he attended was meant to address the problem of poor service delivery in the hostel and discuss on a proposed protest against the issue of government poor service delivery to the hostel (Naki, 2008a:9, Stewart et al., 2008b:1). The IFP leadership therefore claimed unawares of an alleged plot to instigate xenophobic violence during or after their meetings (Stewart et al., 2008a , Memela, 2008:8). Similarly, Firoz Cachalia (the Gauteng Safety and Security MEC) was reported to have argued as follows:

We knew that there were certain meetings held, statements made, decisions apparently arrived at. But that that would lead to the kind of situation that has developed wasn't fully anticipated, nor the speed at which it has spread...particularly the rapid escalation we've seen over the weekend was not fully anticipated. Otherwise we would have taken the necessary pre-emptive action (Stewart et al., 2008b:1).

But interestingly, Nhlanhla Msimang (one of the IFP representatives who was present at one of the meetings) after putting up a defence for his party to refute the allegations of the IFPs involvement in the “afrophobic” violence, was then quoted as saying: “people were demanding for housing and jobs and the police had to intervene” (Naki, 2008a:9). Thus a thorough analysis and combination of these statements might reveal a dangerous psychological mind set of some black African politicians in South Africa who wrongfully blamed the African immigrant for being totally responsible for the ills of society (Vale, 2002:12-1).

Indeed a look at these statements reveals that there are certain questions begging for answers. For instance: Does the demand for service delivery justify violence? What is the relationship between service delivery to the hostel and the violence/lootings outside the hostel? What were the decisions arrived at during the meetings? What was it expected to lead to or develop into? To what extent is the authenticity of the information that the meeting was only meant to deal with the problem of service delivery to the inmates of these hostels?

All these unanswered questions creates a questionable background of the authenticity of the information that meetings were actually meant to only address the issue of service delivery in these hostels (Naki, 2008a:9, Stewart et al., 2008b:1). More so it brings to fore, the possibility that some politicians in South Africa might be sponsoring afrophobic violence or fanning the flames of xenophobic sentiments for personal reasons. Meanwhile, a contrary report alleged that in one of the meetings, some locals dwelling in Diepsloot unanimously agreed to loot the properties of African immigrants as a punishment for their alleged malice and criminality. This probably explains why violence erupted after meeting(s) (Monson and Arian, 2011:35). However, this does not rule out the fact that some community leaders desperately wanted peace to reign in their respective communities (Sinwell, 2011:134-139).

According to Crush (ed.) (2008:33) the accusation that African immigrants were responsible for the high unemployment rate in South Africa was obnoxious as most have never had a personal experience of losing a job to an African immigrant. Crush (ed.) (2008:31) further reveals that between 1997 and 1999 the majority of black South Africans have not made personal and regular contact with African immigrants as to actually ascertain the claims of their maliciousness and criminality. Thus Crush (ed.) (2008) concludes that “Perceptions of, and attitudes towards foreigners were as a result of second-hand (mis)information.”

In a similar fashion, Matshe (2001) strongly disagrees with the notion that African immigrants were responsible for the escalating level of criminal activities in South Africa. According to Matshe (2001) South Africans commit about 98% of the crime in South Africa while foreigners were responsible for about 1% of criminal activities in the country. While some other researchers and writers agree with this notion, they present statistics that are not very different from each other and portrays black African immigrants as being only responsible for either 14%, 2%, or 4.4% of crimes in South Africa (The Citizen, 2008:3, Neocosmos, 2008:590, Solomon, 2003:105). Despite the inconsistency surrounding these figures they are far from suggesting that black African immigrants are entirely responsible for the alarming rate of crime in South Africa. Nyamnjoh (2006:68-69) and Johnston (2008a:4) therefore contend that xenophobic violence and stereotyping of black African immigrants acted as an important diversion and a distraction for the corrupt black and white politicians in

South Africa amidst persistent pressure and demands from poor South Africans who were languishing in poverty and deprivation.

However, it must be recalled that during the anti-apartheid struggle it was alleged that the IFP had ties with the apartheid government, a sort of relationship the ANC finds very suspicious. As a result of this, it is widely believed that the ANC's misunderstandings with the IFP had the sponsorship of the NP (National Party) — apartheid lead government. The IFP was later reported to have moved into the township of Boipatong (an ANC strong hold) on 17 June 1992 where they massacred 46 people, mostly women and children. Outraged by this action, the ANC probably interpreted this to mean an attempt by the apartheid government to weaken their political strength through the IFP. Based on this Nelson Mandela withdrew from negotiations with the apartheid government and the ANC called a 48 hour national strike that crippled the nation's economy for two days and violence subsequently ensued amongst different groups (Sonneborn, 2010:87, Du Toit, 2001:78-80). Beyond this it must be clearly recalled that the IFP and ANC had historically been involved in serious political fistcuffs and warfare in Alexandra in the 1990s, from were the major 2008 xenophobic attacks were reported to have erupted. Meanwhile the two political parties still maintain their presence in Alexandra, a situation which might have further complicated situations (Sinwell, 2011:138).

The major cause of the ANC-IFP clash of the 1990s was reported to revolve around matters of status, but beyond this, ANC members accuse IFP members of being old fashioned, uncivilised and tribalistic in their approach to (political) matters. This also implies that the IFP was perceived by the ANC as still practising some sort of jungle justice hence they were nicknamed "othelwini" which implies that they have been equated to King Shaka's 'punitive regiment', responsible for throwing people off a cliff. This is irrespective of the fact that ANC members are also implicated in acts of violence (Du Toit, 2001:78-80, Sonneborn, 2010:85-86 and 16-17). Thus, it can be argued that the ANC perceives history to be repeating itself in the form of "afrophobic" violence, thus the ANC led government gravelly suspects the IFP and ancient apartheid forces when it alleges a possibility of a "third force" (Memela, 2008:8, Naki, 2008a:9, Stewart et al., 2008a:1 and 4, Mhlana et al., 2008:10). This age long political fistcuffs between the IFP and the ANC might be responsible for the different out-brake of "afrophobic" violence experienced in post-apartheid South

Africa more so in the sense that reports indicates that the IFP and ANC had once engaged each other in a fatal conflict in Alexandra (Sinwell, 2011:138).

Therefore du Toit (2001:78-121) insists that South Africa possesses a culture of violence which stems from the defunct apartheid regime. In accordance to this notion, du Toit (2001) reveals that some political parties such as the ANC and the NP with some members of former apartheid paramilitary units such as “Umkhonto we Sizwe” (MK) and South African Defence Force (SADF) were implicated in several arrests made by the police. These arrests follows accusations and counter accusations that ensued among some black South African politicians in connection with violence experienced in post-apartheid South Africa. Du Toit (2001) then further argues that, considering their good skills in violence, the use of weapons and arms, and also taking into account the fact that they can easily gain access to these arms even after the demise of apartheid. Some of the members of these defunct paramilitary units will always be tempted to carry on with their old profession in post-apartheid South Africa, since contemporary South Africa presents some of them with poverty and unemployment as motivations.

Evidently, in 1994, 1995 and 1998 there were reports of members of gangs moving from home to home evicting black African migrants and also targeting South Africans. Members of these gangs looted, killed and raped African immigrants. Among those killed and looted by the gangs were also South African citizens (Monson and Arian, 2011:32-33 and 138, Human Rights Watch, 1998, Steenkamp, 2009:441). Based on these, Du Toit therefore concludes that it is obvious that these ex-combatants who are members of these paramilitary units are yet to be ‘drawn into the discipline of the state and society in the post-settlement era’ (Du Toit, 2001:120-121). The total implication of all these is that the old tradition of political violence of apartheid South Africa continued to flourish in contemporary South Africa.

Meanwhile, it is noteworthy that a few months before the major outbreak of xenophobic violence in South Africa, there was an outbreak of political protests and violence which involved killing of councillors and subsequent burning down of their houses (Mboyisa, 2008b:4, Stewart et al., 2008a:4). Considering the fact that the then South African president (President Thabo Mbeki) was from the Xhosa ethnic tribe and the newly elected ANC president (Jacob Zuma) that replaced him as the president of

the political party was from the Zulu ethnic tribe (Steenkamp, 2009:443-444, Sonneborn, 2010:106, Saunders and Southey, 2001:198-199), While the IFP which was widely accused of instigating the violence had in its membership, a majority of the Zulu ethnic tribe, it becomes possible that the xenophobic violence resulted out of a clash of identity, struggle for political status or ethnic rancour (Ncana et al., 2008:4, Naki, 2008a:9, Mboyisa, 2008a:3, Solomon, 2003:94-95). The xenophobic violence might be another means of carrying out an ethnic political agenda as the then unpopular President Thabo Mbeki (who is from a Xhosa ethnic group) was later forced to resign in September 2008 after being recalled by his political party the ANC. His resignation came after an alleged prolonged rancour between him and his former vice president (Jacob Zuma) who later emerged the popular ANC president before President Thabo Mbekhi was recalled by the ANC (Sonneborn, 2010:105, Gevisser, 2008:16-17, Saunders and Southey, 2001:195, Phaahla and Darley, 2008:22).

These complications perhaps explains why there have been brewing ethnic tensions among some black South African ethnic groups, as the afrophobic violence of 2008 metamorphosed into some ethnic clashes (Kalamane and SAPA, 2008b:1 and 3, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008a:3, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4). Some miscreants were reported to have seized the opportunity created by the violence to “settle old scores” (Fuphe, 2008a:4, Sowetan, 2008c:5, Ncana and Mkhize, 2008a:4). As some black South Africans were either intentionally or erroneously robbed, killed and raped, as the rampaging mob was overheard screaming: “kill the shangaan” (Sowetan, 2008c:5, Dlamini, 2008a:8, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4).

Evidently, some black South Africans were forced out of their houses while a few were reported killed or brutalised for refusing to participate in the xenophobic violence, or for being sympathetic to the plight of some black African immigrants (Molefe et al., 2008b and 4, Ndlovu, 2008b:5, Seale et al., 2008:3, Ncana and Mkhize, 2008a:4). Going by this chain of events, it is possible that the xenophobic violence of 2008 was part of the politically motivated violence that is witnessed in post-apartheid South Africa. Therefore, it can be argued that some political miscreants might have cashed in on the frustrations and weaknesses of some poor black South Africans to instigate violence across the nation so as to satisfy their selfish desires, or score cheap political points. This they might have done either to the detriment of the ruling

political party (the ANC) or to the disadvantage of the President Thabo Mbeki—lead democratic government.

Unfortunately, the reported political rift between Thabo Mbeki and his former vice president Jacob Zuma had some ripple effects on the xenophobic violence as there were reports of politically motivated violence and protests experienced amidst, before and after the major “afrophobic” violence (The Star, 2008a, Steenkamp, 2009:443-444, Nkosi, 2008:13, Evans et al., 2009:4, Mboyisa, 2008b, Memela, 2008:8, Ncana et al., 2008:4, Naki, 2008a:9, Sonneborn, 2010:105, Gevisser, 2008:16-17, Saunders and Southey, 2001:195, Phaahla and Darley, 2008:22). Among other reasons, some South Africans were reported to have possibly perceived President Thabo Mbeki as disconnected from the plight of poor black South Africans, but lenient and sympathetic to the plight of poor black African migrants to the detriment of black South Africans. Meanwhile the ANC President Jacob Zuma appears to have been assumed in certain quarters to be connected to a certain informal Zulu identity/nationalist movement. Jacob Zuma seems to have also been perceived by some black South Africans as a shrewd politician and a revolutionist, very unsympathetic to a general African course in South Africa. Thus some black South Africans were reported to have publically displayed some sort of explicit trust in him as capable of taking immediate action against African migrants who have come to take away the birth right of poor black South Africans (Landau, 2011:12, Mngxitama, 2008:190, Steenkamp, 2009:443-444, Rossouw, 2008:11, Seale, 2008:3).

Evidently, the ripple effects of this so called power play (between Thabo Mbeki and Jacob Zuma) on the “afrophobic” violence was such that some black South Africans confronted some black African foreigners and demanded they trade in their “Thabo Mbeki papers” (resident permits) insinuating that Jacob Zuma was about to become the president of the country (Landau, 2011:12, Steenkamp, 2009:443-444, Rossouw, 2008:11, Saunders and Southey, 2001:195). Some others were reported as singing “umshini wami” (‘bring me my machine gun’) perhaps in total solidarity to this cause, a song popularly associated with the famous ANC president (Jacob Zuma) during the struggle against apartheid (Seale, 2008:3, Landau, 2011:12, Mngxitama, 2008:190, Steenkamp, 2009:443-444, Rossouw, 2008:11). This is irrespective of the fact that president Zuma condemns outrightly their barbaric acts as embarrassing to South Africa and a huge setback to the idea of African brotherhood. Despite his

admonishing them against participating in acts of violence, some black South Africans openly challenged him and thus remained adamant and the blood-letting continued (Mngxitama, 2008:190, Rondganger, 2008c:3, Fuphe, 2008c:6, Leshilo, 2008:10). In view of this, Steenkamp (2009:443-444) states as follows:

In December 2007 the ANC replaced the, by then hugely unpopular, state president Thabo Mbeki with Jacob Zuma as president of the party. This led to a transitional period where the country was effectively landed with a lame duck president and torn between two centres of power—the ANC and the government. For the first time since the end of Apartheid, discontent with government delivery (and Mbeki) could be voiced without compromising one's loyalty to the ANC (represented by Zuma). The xenophobic violence of May 2008 was almost certainly influenced by this context, as confirmed by the observation that some of the violent mobs were singing 'Umshini Wami' (meaning 'bring me my machine gun'), a song from the liberation struggle associated with support for Zuma.

Therefore, situations like these could mean that black African migrants have become a focal point for violently registering all forms of political grievances against the South African government. As Vale (2002:8) reveals, it is on record that in September 1998 a crowd returning from a rally in Pretoria under the auspices of an organisation known as "Unemployed Masses of South Africa", in the name of representing 32 000 jobless people suddenly turned xenophobic. Three African migrants lost their lives in the incident, a Mozambican was thrown out of the moving train and the remaining two Senegalese were electrocuted while trying to escape being lynched by the mob in the train (Vale, 2002).

However there exists a possibility of a third force as speculated by South African government, as there are indications that the attacks were either motivated, sponsored or particularly instigated by a group of selfish individuals. This is in view of the reports of arrests made by the South African Police Service (SAPS) of certain people who confessed receiving money from a set of faceless individuals to instigate violence against African immigrants (Mashaba, 2008b:4, Sowetan, 2008c:5, Everatt, 2011:26). While subsequent arrests of four community leaders for allegedly inciting violence in their community not only further proves this point, it is also indicative of a political dimension to the xenophobic violence (Msomi et al., 2008a).

But Landau (ed.) (2011:12) believes that some politicians took advantage of the plight of poor black South Africans who were going through economic pressure and deprivation amidst a rising cost of living, to mobilize them to embark on mass action against black African foreigners who have been solely blamed for these ills. The failure of government to deliver on its promises of a national rebirth, increase in cost of living, the failure of Zimbabwean elections and subsequent alleged influx of Zimbabweans, illegal immigrants and refugees into South Africa created a favourable atmosphere of national crisis for some political and economic leaders to successfully mobilise the poor (Landau, 2011:12-13). However, in what appears to be an attempt to underscore the possibility of a third party involvement in the violence, Landau (ed.) (2011:1) reveals that the then Minister of Intelligence (Ronnie Kasrils) admitted to the falsehood in blaming the violence on a “third force”.

Furthermore, Matsinhe (2011:306-308) argues that the 2008 major outbreak of xenophobic violence in South Africa was not a surprise to researchers in South Africa who have been following the consistent trend of xenophobic attitudes and violence carried out by some South Africans against black African foreigners in South Africa. According to Matsinhe (2011) the 2008 violence was not the first time black African immigrants have been targeted but was as a result of continuous replication of an intense hatred for foreigners of African descent. In his very words Matsinhe (2011) asserts that ‘The significant difference between May 2008 and the previous outbreaks of violence was magnitude’.

Matsinhe (2011) further argues that each time this violence occurred (between the periods of 1994 to 2008) the government of South Africa was always slow in responding to the violence. And this is further reflected in the usual poor response from government security agencies like the police and army. Matsinhe (2011) therefore contends that ‘nationally, the retreat of the state was demonstrated by the president’s 16-day inaction as the mobs terrorised the country’. The possible implication of this is that there might be some very powerful elites in South Africa who are strong supporters of “afrophobic” violence. Whereby this is true of the “afrophobic” violence of 2008, the government in power will for political reasons and for the sake of national interest, do anything possible to avoid incurring upon itself the wrath of its citizens, for doing so could automatically spell doom not only for the government in power but also for the nation at large. Thus this leaves African

immigrants in a very vulnerable position as *sacrificial lambs* that can be used to quell the thirst and manage the interest of a powerful few.

2.2.3.4 Anarchy and the Complexities of Nationality / Identity as the Harbinger of Xenophobic Violence in South Africa

Complications regarding matters of nationalities and identities remain a global phenomenon (Pelican, 2009:52-53). It thus follows that to capture the very complexities surrounding nationality and identity in South Africa it's important to also understand the views of the United Nations (UN) on indigeneity. Hence it must first be recalled that the UN 2007 declaration on the rights of indigenous people after 23 years of consultations and negotiations with various nation-states turned out to become a landmark proclamation for various dominated minorities around the world seeking to lay claim to indigeneity. These rights as adopted by the UN General Assembly includes: 'Rights to self-determination, to lands, territories, and natural resources and to free, prior, and informed consent' (Pelican, 2009:52). However, complications arising from the definition of indigenous people led the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues into refusing to adopt any formal definition of indigenous people. Instead the forum contends that indigeneity shall only be defined according to "self-identification". Impliedly, only those that lay claim to indigeneity shall be recognised as indigenes (Gausset et al., 2011:137).

Against this background, Gausset et al (2011:139) attempts a definition of the term indigenous as befitting for those people already faced with marginalisation while the term autochthonous applies to the dominant group in a particular area who are in constant fear of marginalisation or dominance. Impliedly, autochthonous is synonymous to those groups that constantly view themselves as "first comes" or "first arrivals" (Gausset et al., 2011, Pelican, 2009). In view of these, some black South Africans already under dominance by the South African white race and armed with claims of indigeneity, laid siege on black African migrants, carting and looting away their properties (Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4, Rondganger, 2008b:13, Ndlovu, 2008a:7, Ndlovu, 2008b:5).

Similarly, history has it that the British on defeating the Boers and occupying their territory brought in Chinese slaves, Indians and Africans to work in the mines in South

Africa (Muzumbukilwa, 2007:3), while some white South Africans also brought in white skilled workers who were offered citizenship on arrival, hindering black African migrant workers from acquiring permanent residence. At the same time, they made sure black South Africans where possible, did not acquire permanent residence in their own country (Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-163, Vigneswaran, 2011:158). After the demise of apartheid, people with different ancestral origins, nationalities and racial backgrounds linked to some of those immigrant labourers from different racial backgrounds are today black South African citizens, based on the provisions of the law (Nyamnjoh, 2006:40, Vale, 2002:18). Also based on the provisions of South African laws, the Chinese have most recently been able to claim and acquire the identity of black South Africans (Ponte et al., 2007:933, BBC News, 2008:1, Mbola, 2008:1). Compounding the entire situation is an already existing background of apartheid discriminatory and complex laws that are interpreted differently in different quarters, a condition which appears to be leading the nation towards anarchy (Human Rights Watch, 1998:161, Dubow, 1995:256-279, Vigneswaran, 2011:158). Hence it must be recalled that it was based on the battle for freedom (from the oppressive Europeans), and acquisition of their rightful national identity and residency that black South Africans who have been legally denied of their identity and nationality in their home country, engaged in fatal conflicts with the defunct apartheid government (Klaaren, 2011:139, Du Toit, 2001:71-85).

Meanwhile as this was happening, some black South Africans were forced to quickly flee to neighbouring countries, while some others fled for safety with the aid of Nigerian government on acquiring Nigerian international passports (Okolo, 2008, Neocosmos, 2006:30, Human Rights Watch, 1998, Tutu, 2008). Compounding the problem of indigeneity in South Africa is the fact that some South Africans on exile after several years returned back to their country as foreigners of which some of them may have acquired citizenship in their countries of refuge (Neocosmos, 2006:30). Hence it became difficult to distinguish for instance between 'a citizen of Lesotho and a citizen of the Transkei, a citizen of Mozambique and citizen of Swaziland and a citizen of Venda'. Therefore with the enactment of various immigration laws like the Aliens Act of 1984, the case of indigeneity in South Africa was dealt a final blow as around six million South Africans were estimated to have become denationalised (Neocosmos, 2006:29-31). The greatest advantage to this is that since the apartheid

government treated citizens of these countries including black South Africans in the same oppressive manner, it provided a common front for the people of the region to march as united Africans against the apartheid government (Neocosmos, 2006:30-31).

Similarly, Neocosmos (2008:591) argues that every racial group in South Africa migrated into the country and the only indigenous people of South Africa are the San people. While Landau (ed.) (2011:14-15) concludes that almost every group in South Africa has at some point in time in historical perspective been geographically displaced. Apparently in accordance with this notion, Vale (2002:9) declares that South Africa is 'a product of continuous migration', a statement which supports the view of some anthropologists that the concept of 'indigenous peoples' is far from being applicable to Africa. More so since the UN and ILO (International Labour Organisation) definition of indigenous people hinges on very weak criteria such as: 'cultural distinctiveness, political marginalization and self-identification' (Pelican, 2009:53). Incidentally, even the UN concedes to the weakness of this definition (Gausset et al., 2011:137). Situations like this compounded by the emerging impact of 'political subjectivity', globalisation and multiculturalism in South Africa, further complicates the issue of citizenship or the definition of 'outsider and hosts' (Landau, 2011:11-15).

Despite all these, the ambiguous imagination of identity/nationality as a basis for "othering" people has remained a common case especially among a good number of South Africans. According to a recent survey on acquisition of South African citizenship by foreigners, conducted among 2400 adult South Africans from rural and urban areas in 2011, it was gathered that 62percent supported the notion that a woman married to a South African man should be granted citizenship while only 48percent supported the idea of offering citizenship to a male foreigner married to a South African woman (SAPA, 2012b:2). Perhaps an indication that some South Africans do not want their women to be married to foreigners who on acquiring citizenship might later move out of the country with their women. Besides these figures also proving that many South Africans might be scared of their population being dominated by "foreigners", it is also a pointer to the fact that many South Africans are more tolerant to female migrants than their male counterparts. Another dimension to this new data, is that it might also be indicative of the fact that some South Africans support the idea

of continuous narrow weaving of South African citizenship around the interests, entitlements and rights of only nationals (Nyamnjoh, 2006:40-41).

Beyond these, this research might have as well succeeded in revealing that many black South Africans would love to have a South African government that would control the private lives of black African migrants, just like it was during the apartheid era (Hyslop, 1995:59). If this then is true of some black South Africans, the implication is that the complexities surrounding identity/nationality created during the apartheid regime has a psychological impact on some black South Africans (Human Rights Watch, 1998:161-162, Matsinhe, 2011:296, Vale, 2002:22-23).

Apparently, it can then be argued that the whole idea surrounding identity relies on the “paradoxical combination of sameness and difference” (Lawler, 2008:2). Thus Michael Jackson (Cited in Lawler 2008:2) argues that the problem with identity is that people are becoming more aware that ‘one’s humanity is simultaneously shared and singular’. In Germany for instance, ‘Anti-Semitism grew to deadly levels as the Jews grew indistinguishably German’ and Germans started accusing Jews of being responsible for the ills of their society (Matsinhe, 2011:309).

This ambiguity surrounding identity might be used to explain the complications of nationality, residence and identity in South Africa. Given that some black African migrants in South Africa from SADC (Southern African Development Countries) easily blend with locals owing to the fact that some SADC countries share similar cultures and languages with black South Africans. Thus they become perceived by some black South Africans as a threat to their identity, interests and superiority, a situation which might best be described as “autochthony”. More aggravating is the situation that some of them have actually acquired citizenship which makes them potential economic competitors, hence raising the fear of dominance amongst some black South Africans. For instance SADC countries like Botswana, Lesotho, Mozambique, Swaziland and Zimbabwe share similar cultures and languages with South African, and so does the Ndebele, Swazi, Shangaan, Sotho and Tswana (Matsinhe, 2011:309-310, Gausset et al., 2011:139). The presence of these people who have strong resemblance with black South Africans and who are also South African citizens might have triggered fear of the presence of an invincible sneaky enemy from

within. This fear might make some black South Africans feel their identity or superiority is threatened and they might react out of panic (Matsinhe, 2011:309).

Hence, it is in this regard that Crush and Ramachandran (2010:218) believed that the continuous weaving of social benefits in South Africa around the South African Identity book inflated the entire situation making it highly inflammable and combustible. This panic among some black South Africans of a perceived threat to their identity was clearly displayed by some rampaging mobs in Johannesburg in 2008 during the xenophobic violence. The mob was reported to have confronted suspected black African foreigners to verify their identity by requesting they go through an immediate language test. Their victims were compelled to pronounce archaic Zulu words like: “ucikicane” (meaning finger) and “indololwane” (elbow). When a victim failed the test he/she was declared a foreigner, a status which automatically attracts a penalty of merciless general beatings and theft from the fierce looking mob (Ndlovu, 2008a:7).

Incidentally, some of these complications that surround the issue of identity might as well be the benchmark for the creation of various apartheid discriminatory and inhumane laws, and the subsequent classification of various racial groups in the country into different racial hierarchy for the sake of social benefits in order to sustain inequalities, racial superiority and difference (Nkomo et al., 1995:263-264). Unfortunately, this has turned out to become the bane of social stability in South Africa as this form of social hierarchy of benefits may have left the black community in South Africa in confusion. Some black South Africans not only turned against African migrants but also violently attacked and even killed fellow citizens based on either skin pigmentation or ethnic variance (Landau, 2011:1, Monson and Arian, 2011:32 and 50, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008a:3, Ncana and Mkhize, 2008a:4).

Similarly, some others were attacked or targeted by fellow South Africans for either having a foreign (black African) parental background or being married to a non-South African (La Grange, 2008a:4, Eliseev, 2008:15, Makhafola, 2008b:5). A situation quite similar to the German Nazi method of verifying identities based on ancestral origin/descent during the reign of Adolf Hitler and his anti-Semitic policies in which millions of Jewish lives were lost (Gausset et al., 2011:137). The centre of focus have

continued to be based on social benefits as the confusions of “who gets what and how?”, who should be classified as an “insider” or an “outsider”, who is a foreigner and who is an indigene? rages on thereby leading to subjective interpretations of identity, nationality/citizenship by some black South Africans; a situation which further degenerated into intra-racism among some black South Africans (Nyamnjoh, 2006, Landau, 2011, Makhafola, 2008b:5)

Furthermore, with the already existing social/racial hierarchy of benefits in South Africa that already places black South Africans at the bottom line of socio-economic benefits in the country (Nkomo et al., 1995:263-264), it becomes possible that the BEE (Black Economic Empowerment) programme which also accommodates Indians, Coloureds, and Chinese as blacks South Africans and the commissioning of R3 billion infrastructural project in Alexandria, might have also fuelled and made combustible, the clash of identities among some black (African) communities in South Africa (Steenkamp, 2009:443, Ponte et al., 2007:933, BBC News, 2008:1, Mbola, 2008:1, Rabinowitz, 2008:13, Dibetle, 2008:14, Ho, 2008:1, Sinwell, 2011:132-133). Perhaps perceiving a threat to both their identities and interests, further complicated by the presence of black African migrants and their subjective definitions of a black South African citizen; some black South Africans might have been compelled to go on the rampage in search of sacrificial scapegoats to be used to set the records straight. This is evident in the ethnic dimensions to the “afrophobic” attacks, the violence against black South Africans with darker skin colours, the violence against fellow South Africans whom their maternal or paternal lineage can be traced back to black African migrants as well as South Africans citizens married to African immigrants (La Grange, 2008a:4, Makhafola, 2008b:5).

Besides the possibilities of tribalism and politically oriented violence, it is also possible that the complications surrounding identity/nationality in South Africa might be the reason behind the assassination of some political office holders amidst the heated afrophobic tensions (Mboyisa, 2008b:4). That some black South Africans were heard singing “*Umshini wami*” during the xenophobic violence of 2008, (a song that is only peculiar to the South African nationalist movement), is an indication that the mobs have successfully linked their actions to patriotism and revolution. Impliedly the act of expelling and attacking black African immigrants in South Africa has totally been perceived by some black South Africans to mean a national cause of economic

liberation (Steenkamp, 2009:443-444). Thus it becomes logical to some black South Africans that any South African with any form of emotional affiliation or sympathy for black African immigrants becomes an impediment or an “enemy of the state” that should be wiped out based on the justifiable grounds of nationalism (Molefe et al., 2008b:1 and 4, Meintjies and Msomi, 2008:1, Seale et al., 2008:3). Mobs moved from home to home in search of black African immigrants as terrified South African citizens begin to write down their surnames on their shacks to avoid being mistaken for black African migrants, a situation which appears to be a mimic of the Biblical Passover (Molefe et al., 2008a:1, Monson and Arian, 2011:31). Therefore the implication is that some black South Africans must have successfully associated citizenship with surnames and residence (Klaaren, 2011:138-140).

Unfortunately, this situation of “jungle justice” and ‘narrow nationalism’ was worsened by poor policing and a breakdown of law and order in some of the affected areas. There are reports that in Alexandra there had been public executions of suspected criminals by either stoning or “necklacing”. This form of popular justice was often carried out by a vigilante group known and referred to as “comrades” (Monson and Arian, 2011:33). There were also reports that there were various security meetings held in Alexandria involving Alexandria Community Policing Forum (CPF) regarding a security threat posed by the presence of illegal immigrants (Monson and Arian, 2011:33-34). These series of security meetings according to Monson and Arian (2011:33-35), amounted to nothing as criminal activities continued unabated, thus making some local residents in Diepsloot to conclude out of prejudice that they were carried out by black African immigrants.

Hence out of prejudice and frustrations some local residents in Diepsloot allegedly concluded in a meeting that the most justifiable action towards dealing with the alleged criminality of black African immigrants was to loot their properties (Monson and Arian, 2011:35). Having allegedly passed a sentence on black African immigrants, some local residents in Diepsloot embarked on looting and violence against black African migrants as a logical means of containing the situation. Most of those displaced by the violence in Diepsloot later returned to their residences unassisted as they became aware that the mobs were merely interested in their properties. This development is not only a possible reflection of the alleged decisions at the

meeting(s), but it also reveals a criminal dimension to the violence, a state of anarchy resulting from poor policing in the affected areas (Monson and Arian, 2011:35-36).

Consequently, this also implies that the violence may have originated out of envy and frustrations as the rampaging mobs were not interested in permanent eviction of black African immigrants but rather concentrated on looting their properties at the slightest given opportunity (Monson and Arian, 2011:35-36). Perhaps it was as a result of this same alleged agreement reached by some local residents in a meeting in Diepsloot that notice of eviction was forwarded to some black African migrants (residing in different neighbourhoods in some cases) demanding that they leave the neighbourhood, of which those that were present during the raiding were either asked to leave while their properties were seized or forcefully evicted or attacked (Ncana et al., 2008:4, Monson and Arian, 2011:34).

Evidently a Mozambican resident was quoted as saying: 'None of us was injured; they [the attackers] were just occupied with looting, drinking and eating. They seemed not to care about anything else besides looting, because I was in the tuck shop and they did nothing to me' (Monson and Arian, 2011:35). Another resident, a pregnant woman was reported as saying: 'I was still sleeping when I heard people screaming outside, suddenly a group of locals banged on my door and told me to get out. I grabbed a few items and followed the other foreigners'... (Ratsatsi, 2008:8). While a Malawian national resident in Marshal Town who perceives the violence to be perpetuated by criminals, claimed he was ordered out of his residence by a mob that instructed him to leave his door ajar and he was disallowed from taking any of his belongings (Mabuza, 2008:5).

Thus the situation was that some black African immigrants who were living in RDP houses/shacks were chased away and their homes were given by the mob to homeless South African citizens in an organised fashion (Monson and Arian, 2011:34). In support of this point of view, qualitative research carried out in Alexandria according to Monson (2011:181-182), reveals that the intention of the meeting in Alexandria was never to attack foreigners, but rather it was meant to discuss and plan how to root out alleged illegal immigrants, since the community had lost faith in the state to carry out appropriate actions against alleged immigrants.

According to some reports, the intention of black South Africans living in Alexandria was that if they managed to root out illegal black African migrants in their community who had no documentation, it would then pave way for easy identification and arrest of criminals amongst the legal residents (Monson and Arian, 2011:33-34, Monson, 2011). These desperate measures might actually be indicative of the fact that some black African migrants might actually have been involved in criminal activities in the community, a development capable of provoking the anger of some black South Africans resident in Alexandria. However, because there are other horrific versions of experiences of xenophobic sentiments in Alexandria and elsewhere, it might be possible that this was the situation at the early stages of the raid until things got out of control due to complications (Monson and Arian, 2011:36-52). Conversely, Aidan (2010:648-647) insists that:

The xenophobic violence represents a further instance of boundary making and constitutes a mechanism through which the politics of belonging is formulated. Despite the apparent spontaneity of the attacks, they were provoked by overtly political actions and by specific social groups. An ANC status report on the violence notes that the momentum for the attacks was generated at an Inkatha Freedom Party (IFP) Annual General Meeting (AGM) held in Alexandria over the weekend of 10-11 May. At the meeting ‘a resolution was taken to drive foreign nationals out of Alexandria’ and the attacks began ‘immediately after the AGM’.

Since the attacks were in some cases preceded by meetings around hostels of which their proceedings are not properly accounted for, it can be argued that some black South Africans decided to take the law into their own hands (Monson and Arian, 2011:34-35, Mhlana et al., 2008:10). Accordingly, Monson and Arian (2011:35) reveal that on 30th April 2008 an attack that left Zimbabwean-owned shacks destroyed in Diepsloot was preceded by a community meeting. While Aidan (2010:249) contends that during a SANCO (South African Civics Organisation) summit held on 29th March 2008, it was also resolved to purge Alexandria of black African migrants.

Evidently the attacks were premeditated as certain reports argue that in some areas in townships and informal settlements without legitimate leadership structures/government presence, “parallel” leadership structures like CPF and SANCO assumed political leadership, organised and lead the attacks. It must be noted that most

of these organisations including AVCC (Alexandra Vukuzenzele Crisis Committee) and APF (Anti-Privatisation Forum) were reported to have emerged in an attempt to tackle poor government responses to the plight of poor black South Africans whom were reported to be tired of dwelling in dehumanising conditions in Alexandra and beyond (Sinwell, 2011:143-147).

Unfortunately, in an attempt to prove their potency or superiority as more capable organisations against each other, black African foreigners became their level battle field of contest. Hence SANCO was reported to have in a build up to the “afrophobic” violence of May 2008 made several threats to violently send black African migrants parking from RDP houses (Aidan, 2010:649). However, a most complicating fact in these reports is that at the end of the day, the number of those ejected from RDP houses by the rampaging mob in Alexandria were mostly South African citizens (Sinwell, 2011:137), a development which probably indicates as alleged by Sinwell (2011:146), that the early stages of the campaign was a peaceful attempt to purge Alexandra of corrupt practises injected into the RDP by some local government officials, black African foreigners and ordinary South African citizens. This also points to the fact that the mob might have embarked on a subjective definition of nationality/identity in their various communities.

2.2.3.5 The Racist Dimension to Xenophobia and the Consequences of Classification

Central to the discourse on black South African xenophobia against African immigrants is the question of racism. According to Bowser (1995:x), racism is the belief in racial superiority, a situation whereby a particular racial group believes they are superior to another and usually exercise power against the racial group considered inferior. It is therefore a socio-political/economic method of exclusion which involves the classification of people according to race so as to maintain dominance and exercise power beyond challenge. Cashmore and Jennings (2001:49) insist that racism was invented by capitalism and that it is a product of the economic matrix of the society. This notion is evident in the Human Rights Watch (1998:15) argument that:

South Africa possesses a complicated racial classification system which divides the population into different racial groups, which includes *African* (of solely African Ancestry), *Asian* or *Indian* (largely descended from indentured servants brought from the Indian

subcontinent but also including more recent Asian immigrants), *coloured* (of mixed ancestry), and *white* (of European ancestry).

According to Human Rights Watch (1998), the different races in South Africa acquired different civil and political privileges based on the racial category they fall into, with ‘the most extensive privileges’ allocated to the white race. Evidently, these social divisions have never been favourable to the indigenous black South Africans. It is in emulation of this racial classification system that some black South Africans though the same race with black African immigrants classify them in racial terms as darker (“amakwerekwere”) than they are (Bloch and Heese, 2007:13, Muzumbukilwa, 2007:4). These old apartheid racial classifications which restricted blacks from participating actively in the national economy are still in use despite living (ostensibly) in a non-racial democracy (Louw and Kendall, 1986:12-15). Such social stratification is bound to deepen frustrations among black South Africans, especially the poor black South Africans who are more negatively affected (than the black elites) by the prevailing social stratification method (Bearak and Dugger, 2008:15, Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4).

In this light, Nkomo, Mkwanazi-Twala and Carrim (1995:275) describe deracialisation in South Africa as meaning when black and white South Africans are both found in a similar situation or condition void of discriminatory practises. They define desegregation as the “removal of structural mechanisms of control that prevents blacks from enjoying the social provisions and privileges enjoyed by whites”. Apparently, in order to acquire racial equality, peace and harmony in South Africa, “deracialization” and “desegregation” remains very essential. This is because they will help to ameliorate frustrations and eliminate its possible by-product, violence.

Unfortunately more than 17 years after a new liberal democratic dispensation characterised by constitutional governance, racism has assumed new dimensions. This is in the form of intra-black racism or “afrophobia” which manifests in violence by Black South Africans against black African immigrants who are profiled as “amakwerekwere”. Clearly, the whole notion of “amakwerekwere” is a direct product of apartheid racial classification and profiling. In what seems to be ‘the politics of

exclusion' and racial superiority viewed and applied through the myopic lenses of languages and skin colours the Boers referred to the indigenous black communities of South Africa as "Hottentots" which according to Nyamnjoh (2006:39) implies "stutterers". Tellingly, the black people of South Africa have taken a cue from the Boers and in turn classified the black African immigrants who they believe have darker skin colours than them, as "amakwerekwere", insisting that "all they claim to hear is gibberish; a barbaric form of stuttering" (Nyamnjoh, 2006, Dubow, 1995:20-260).

According to Nyamnjoh (2006:39-67), in South African society, there is the classification by some South Africans as 'deserving citizens' and "amakwerekwere" as 'undeserving outsiders'. The deep seated psychological frame of mind prevalent in some black South Africans is the crystallised belief that *amakwerekwere* are those from the "uncivilised dark side of the African continent", who have nothing to offer but have come to take from a civilised South Africa, a state meant only for the "authentic" black South Africans. Thus, it becomes logical that their belongings (which are yielded from South Africa in the first place) are up for grabs for the deserving black poor of South Africa (Crush, 2008, Nyamnjoh, 2006:38-67, Flockemann et al., 2010:251-253). Besides the violent nature of the xenophobic attacks of 2008 and others before it, the extortion and abuse of black African immigrants by some South Africans in certain positions of authority such as the SAPS, Department of Home Affairs, the army, and the media (among others) point to the same fact (Neocosmos, 2008:588-589, Human Rights Watch, 1998:16-20). Hence, the possible implication is that black African immigrants have been designated by some black South Africans as their latest enemy in post-apartheid South Africa. Impliedly, many black South Africans seem to have shifted their attention from white South Africans, who were initially perceived as commonly shared enemies among black Africans during apartheid. Arguably, black African immigrants have been caught up in South Africa's seemingly enduring racial crossfire, which continues to be stoked by continuing social and economic challenges.

Accordingly, Neocosmos (2006:95) reveals that in 1996 it was recorded that twenty six thousand people from Britain, Germany and the United States flouted immigration laws by overstaying their visits, but what happened at the end of the day was that only

fourty nine migrants from these countries were arrested and deported. Based on this Neocosmos (2006) insists that the impact of apartheid racial discriminatory laws on South African immigration are yet to be reversed as black African migrants are treated differently. Immigration has become criminalised only on the basis that all black African migrants are perceived to be illegal immigrants irrespective of the legality of their stay in South Africa (Neocosmos, 2006, Nyamnjoh, 2006:14-68). This xenophobic attitude of the state towards black African migrants is in tune with the defunct apartheid immigration discriminatory laws (Aliens Control Act of 1991 especially). The Aliens Control Act welcomes Europeans into South Africa while at the same time places immigration restrictions on black African migrants and ultimately restrains them from naturalising like their white counterparts (Human Rights Watch, 1998:160-161). These apartheid similar attitudes are carried out under the guise of national interest which spells out as follows: “poverty is high in South Africa and unemployment has been growing, we must look after our own first; it would be disastrous to “open the flood gates” and allow the poverty of Africa to overwhelm our economy” (Neocosmos, 2006:95). Psychologically this implies that South Africa is allegedly open to the rich and shut against the poor. Thus immigration becomes completely viewed from an economic perspective that labels Africa as a symbol of poverty and an impending danger to the fortunes of South Africa.

It is clear that government’s policies on immigration which favours migrants outside Africa especially from the developed world and restricts migrants from Africa, actually perpetuates xenophobia. Xenophobic attitude does not just exist at the lower level but streams from the top. Based on this, some black South Africans view black African migrants as *amakwerekwere* (a threat to their economic wellbeing) while at the same time condoning the presence of white legal/illegal immigrants in South Africa as the harbinger of wealth and investments. White immigrants are perceived to be tourists and creators of wealth while black African migrants, no matter their legal status in South Africa are totally perceived by some black South Africans to be illegals (Nyamnjoh, 2006:14, Matsinhe, 2011:296). It is in this light that a clear difference ought to be made to completely distinguish these “illegals” from “authentic” South Africans (Aidan, 2010:641-654). Thus black African immigrants became perceived as darker skinned than black South Africans. Inoculation marks, bodily features and languages becomes a determinant feature of legality/illegality in

South Africa as the SAPS adopted these unlawful ideas as criteria for making arrests and deportations of black African migrants (Human Rights Watch, 1998:2, Nyamnjoh, 2006:39-40, Matsinhe, 2011:298-301).

Similarly, some black South Africans subject their victims to instant (Zulu) language tests as criteria to determine the veracity of their citizenship or legality/illegality in South Africa, a failure of this test attracts an immediate penalty of harassments, abuse, robbery and perhaps death, for the victims as convicts of “jungle justice” (Ndlovu, 2008a; Worby et al., 2008:16, Tshabalala and Dibetle, 2008:4). Based on this, Everatt (2011:20) alleges that some black South Africans have become very racist in their manner of thinking and behaviour like it was with white South Africans. Unfortunately amongst the victims of these racist attitudes were also South Africans and South Africa unfortunately recorded the highest death toll by the end of the major xenophobic violence of 2008, which left no less than 62 people dead (Oloyede, 2008:107-108, de Lange, 2008a:1, Coplan, 2008:126-129, La Grange, 2008a:4, Crush, 2008:59).

Profiling and classifying people are forms of racism that comes with dire consequences. According to the Centre for Critical Research on Race and Identity (1998), ‘classification has consequences’. Laband (2008:168) explained that following the initial stereotyping of blacks as forbidden savages during the 1820's by hunter traders at Port-Natal, different settlers in Natal including missionaries elaborated and crystallised the image of the Zulu Kingdom many years later as "a nation in arms, imbued with a fierce military ethos". This then served as a justification for the British invasion of Zululand in 1879 (Laband, 2008a:168). Also, according to Bauman (1989: 227-228), abstraction is a very powerful tool of the modern mind. When this is subjected to the case of human interaction or relations and attitudes, it implies removing the human face, and whatever is left of it become evidence of membership to a particular category and whatever action carried out on the owner of such face, is strictly reserved for the category of which the face is a member.

Bauman (1989) further gives an example of how the armed gangs of Hutus and Tutsis of Rwanda, used passport information to isolate and determine who dies or stays alive, since their victims look very similar to them by all standards. This also applies

to the extermination of the Jews in Nazi-Germany under the leadership of Adolf Hitler where Jews were murdered for just being Jews and not for committing any crime (Bauman, 1989:228). The point here is that there is enormous danger in the strong negative publicity and irrationality associated with the classification of black African immigrants in South Africa as *amakwerekwere*. For example, Neocosmos (2008) argues that the xenophobic incidents witnessed in South Africa were the result of what he regards as the ‘politics of fear’ which can result in ethnic cleansing of black African immigrants who are regarded as a danger to the future of “authentic” black South Africans. Although xenophobia is a global issue and the rate of migration of Africans all over the world is on the increase, South Africa is not the only country in the world with the problem of immigrants and xenophobia (Muzumbukilwa, 2007:2-3, Roemer et al., 2007). But the case of South Africa remains unique in the sense that the violence is mostly targeted on black African immigrants in a heterogeneous society that is made up of immigrants from different parts of the world (Hassim et al., 2008:213-219). Besides, more shocking is the fact that the victims of these “afrophobic” violence were mostly the nationals of the same countries that provided South Africans with succour during the difficult days of the oppressive apartheid regime (Msomi and Meintjies, 2008:4). Thus in view of the above, it can be argued that post-apartheid violence witnessed in South Africa is not only a hangover from the experiences of apartheid inter-group relations and mentality but also the handwork of dirty politicking.

Furthermore, the danger of “afrophobia” in South Africa not only lies in the extermination of black African immigrants but also that it breeds the possibility of black South Africans turning on other groups (inter-racial violence) or each other (intra-group or inter-ethnic violence) in the absence of anyone else to vent on in the future. Accordingly, Ncana and Mkhize (2008:4) argue that some black South Africans might have seized the opportunity created by the “afrophobic” violence of 2008 to “settle some old scores” (Ncana and Mkhize, 2008a:4). While some black South Africans erroneously or intentionally killed, robbed and raped fellow black South Africans during the “afrophobic” violence (Meintjies and Msomi, 2008:1), some other black South Africans were reported to have lost their lives in the hands of their fellow countrymen for either refusing to participate in the violence or being sympathetic to the plight of the African immigrants (Molefe et al., 2008b:1 and 4).

Meanwhile, ethnic tensions were already in existence between some local African ethnic groups in South Africa before the xenophobic violence of 2008. There appears to be evidence that the “afrophobic” violence may have exacerbated those pre-existing ethnic tensions (Kalamane and SAPA, 2008a:3, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4).

Indeed, there are concerns that the “afrophobic” tensions and violence against non-local Africans may be related to certain politically motivated violence experienced in post-apartheid South Africa, this includes assassinations of some political office holders amidst political protests and possibly, inciting xenophobic violence (Mboyisa, 2008b:4, Memela, 2008:8, Ncana et al., 2008:4, Naki, 2008a:9). Neocosmos (2008) therefore suggests that to counter ‘the politics of fear,’ the application of what he calls the ‘politics of peace’ becomes inevitable. But one thing lacking in all these analyses is the practicability of fostering peaceful relations among the different African groups in South Africa. It is in this light therefore that this study will not only attempt to assess the deep-seated causes of “afrophobia” but will also, *ipso facto*, focus on how to manage and prevent inter-group conflicts among black South Africans and immigrant Africans and, possibly, between South Africans of all races in the future.

2.3 Theoretical Framework

There are a number of theories that can be used to explain the phenomenon of afrophobia in South Africa, but this project is based on two vital theories that capture the very dynamic nature of the conflict, while a third theory prescribes solutions to the problem.

2.3.1 Frustration Aggression Theory

This theory initially coined as a hypothesis by a group of researchers in 1939, have continued to serve as a relevant theory in modern social research (Soyombo, 2008:99, Van der Dennen, 2005, Lever, 1976:21, Berkowitz, 1989:59, Morlan, 1949:2, Dollard et al). This theory describes frustration as a cause of aggression, the proponents of this theory insists that when a group experiences frustration they are bound to display aggression. When a group experiences unemployment, poor service delivery, adverse poverty and inability to provide basic family needs, they are most likely to turn to

violence as a result of frustration. Hence in what appears to be an attempt to eliminate barriers to their goals, the group in question gets involved in certain degree of violence that is targeted at the cause of their frustration, but usually this violence is marred by all forms of scapegoating (Soyombo, 2008:99-100, Gower, 2009:3, Lever, 1976:22).

Accordingly, some black South Africans involved in the xenophobic violence insists that black African migrants were taking away their jobs and RDP houses while they (black South Africans) languish in poverty and total deprivation. However, the major criticism against this theory is that frustration does not necessarily lead to aggression as portrayed by the theory since people respond differently to frustrations. This leads to further amendments on the theory to accommodate different kinds of aggression, namely 'direct' and 'displaced aggression', with 'direct aggression' levelled at the cause of frustration and 'displaced aggression' argued to be orchestrated on a "scapegoat" (Girard, 1979, Lever, 1976:21-22, Berkowitz, 1989:60-61). Some researchers disagree with the theory insisting that a barrier to attainment of goals can only provoke violence if the barrier in question emerges unexpectedly, while some others refer to the theory as too simplistic to be realistic (Morlan, 1949:6, Berkowitz, 1989:59). Meanwhile Berkowitz (1998:60) reveals that this theory has a very narrow definition of frustration as only a feeling that arises when an attempt made to achieve a much desired goal is forestalled. In essence the implication of this theory is that aggression can never come to be without frustration. Berkowitz (1998:60-61) therefore contends that the theory fails to capture the complexity of frustration to the point that even the feeling of deprivation which is triggered by poverty is by no means captured in the theory as a possible cause of frustration.

2.3.2 Relative Deprivation Theory

Dambrun et al (2006:1032) contend that relative deprivation theory explains group or individual contentment as:

not related to their objective circumstances but, rather, to their condition relative to other persons or groups. This implies, for example, that objectively disadvantaged people may feel less deprived than objectively advantaged people because of the chosen target for their social comparison.

According to Dambrun et al (2006:1033), the direct opposite of relative deprivation is relative gratification, which is the result of feeling better off than others when an individual compares his condition with that of others. However contrary to the general belief that those experiencing relative deprivation exhibit greater prejudice than people enjoying relative gratification, an experiment conducted amongst psychology students in France reveals the contrary. The research which was conducted in 2002 reveals that irrespective of the fact that those experiencing relative gratification were feeling better off they still exhibited prejudice against the out-group like those undergoing relative deprivation (Dambrun et al., 2006:1033).

However Davis (1959:280-296) carries out a further explanation of relative deprivation theory using series of mathematical equations and diagrams to postulate the idea which suggests the existence of two different types of comparisons, namely: “in-group comparison” and “out-group comparison”, with in-group implying a comparison between people of similar category and out-group indicating comparisons taking place between people from different categories. An example of such categories/comparison can be found in the relationship between black South Africans and black African immigrants. Davies (1959:283) in his idea of in-group comparisons argues that the moment an individual who feels deprived compares himself with a non-deprived person the outcome of such comparison is referred to as “relative deprivation”. While a situation whereby a non-deprived person compares himself with a deprived person it results to a state of “relative gratification”. Davies (1959:283) therefore contends that whether the individual in question experiences relative deprivation or gratification the person perceives his level of deprivation to be different from that of his peers. Davies (1959:283) thus concludes that since this is an in-group comparison, it is totally referred to as “fairness”.

Similarly in the case of out-group comparisons, a deprived in-group member comparing his situation with that of a non-deprived out-group member, the resulting attitude toward the out-group is referred to as “relative subordination”. Meanwhile if a non-deprived individual compares his/herself with a deprived out-group member, the outcome of the attitude displayed is referred to as “relative superiority”. Whether the resulting attitudes are either relative superiority or relative subordination the individual in question feels that his deprivation status is different from that of the

member of an out-group. The totality of this development is referred to as “social distance” (Davies, 1959:283-284).

Applying Davies’ suggestions to the situation in South Africa one can clearly argue that some poor black South Africans compare their situations to that of black African immigrants (out-group) as well as with fellow black South Africans (in-group). Some black South Africans insist that black African migrants are achieving a lot in South Africa where they are foreigners, while they (black South Africans) are not coping with the economy (Davies, 1959:280-296, Sekgala, 2009:20, Orfanos, 2009:20, Everatt, 2011:22). In the same vein there are complaints about some black South African politicians who were once poor but suddenly turned wealthy, ignoring the plight of their fellow citizens (Sekgala, 2009:20).

Apparently this points to the fact that besides the cases of out-group comparison, there were indeed certain elements of in-group comparisons and squabbles behind the “afrophobic” violence. Judging by the number of casualties, it appears as if this was more of an in-group comparison which is suggestive of the fact that the mobs were actually targeting more fellow black South Africans than African immigrants. This development brings to question the validity in labelling the nature of the May 2008 violence as entirely xenophobic.

Finally, this theory just like any other theory has limitations. Despite the dynamic nature and approach of this theory in revealing the causes of afrophobic violence, it fails to properly address the choice of targets of the perpetrators of the violence in South Africa (Fauvelle-Aymar and Segatti, 2011:56).

2.3.3 Peace Education Theory

The initiative of peace education follows the United Nations Organisation’s (UNO) idea of sustainable peace for all nations of the world after the end of the Second World War in 1945 (<http://www.un.org/cyberschoolbus/peace/frame2.htm>, 2012). With its initial aim being to counter the threat of global extinction via possible nuclear war, the UN is of the view that ‘peace education brings together multiple traditions of pedagogy, theories of education, and international initiatives for the advancement of human development through learning’ (<http://www.un.org/cyberschoolbus/peace/frame2.htm>, 2012). According to the

Canadian Centre for Teaching Peace, this theory is believed to have two different dimensions, namely the negative and positive peace education (Peace Education Conference Meeting, 1998). Negative peace education according to the centre strives to resolve conflicts while positive peace education prevents conflicts from arising in the first place. The theory, which the Centre maintains works differently in various global contexts, was summarily described in Japan as “anti-atomic bomb”. The centre further argues that peace educators or teachers serve as role models of peace who assist in altering certain violent behaviours acquired by young people from various homes through regular exposure to the culture of violence. This method has a long term positive effect and assists in preventing violence in the society (Peace Education Conference Meeting, 1998).

Hence, the Canadian Centre for Teaching Peace insists that this theory be referred to in the school curriculum as “peace education” and not “violence prevention” or “crisis and conflict resolution” which according to the centre are diversions (Peace Education Conference Meeting, 1998). The centre further contends that there exists a difference between peace education and peace studies. Peace studies looks at causes of war globally and proffer solutions on how to prevent violence, while peace education entails learning how to apply these solutions to teaching students peace education at different levels in their communities (Peace Education Conference Meeting, 1998). The centre therefore, argued that peace studies programme focus on global affairs that do not directly apply to different communities, while peace education applies the knowledge directly to the communities based on existing conditions, history and how it currently affects the society in question (Peace Education Conference Meeting, 1998).

In view of this, Harris (2004:6) further explains that there are five different types of peace education, namely: “international education, human rights education, development education, environmental education and conflict resolution education”. According to Harris (2004:6), this theory postulates the following approaches to peace education: to offer deep explanations to the root causes of violence, it is meant to provide various alternatives to violence, peace education is dynamic enough to accommodate different forms of violence, peace education varies according to cultural practices, peace education takes into cognisance the fact that peace is a dynamic process that varies depending on the context and finally the theory acknowledges the

fact that 'conflict is omnipresent' (Harris 2004:6). This can be applied tactically at all levels of the society in South Africa to alter the culture of violence effectively. Therefore, this study deployed a peace education approach in terms of realising its objectives of proffering solutions to foster better relations between black South Africans and black African immigrants.

Finally, it is noteworthy that this theory has certain limitations. Irrespective of the fact that studies geared towards conflict resolution, 'environmental education' and 'peer mediation programmes' are made available in the academic curriculum of some institutions, the major and most complex part of the education are not inclusive. And it is the ignorance of these intricacies involved in peace process that mostly causes violence in society (Harris, 2004:17). Besides, there are still uncertainties surrounding the connection/application of this theory to practise in situations like: were a nation is already at war or has just emerged from conflict, how can peace education be applied to communities that are not at war but are continuously experiencing high levels of crime? How exactly are peace processes interpreted in various cultures and how universal are the major concepts involved in applying peace education? (Peace Education Conference Meeting, 1998).

Incidentally, since South Africa is not currently experiencing a total outbreak of conflict, this study bridges the weaknesses in putting this theory into practise by prescribing cultural and multi-racial integration in the forms of: carrying out cultural exhibitions or festivals, promotion of the learning of South African languages in South African schools and higher institutions, adoption of a compulsory but voluntary national youth service scheme that will comprise of both local and international students irrespective of race or colour and the deployment of peace educators from schools, and places of national service to the nearest points of conflicts. In doing this both non-citizens and locals become thoroughly exposed to the practical dynamics of culture and conflict in South Africa, while the goal of national integration and peace is achieved on the same platform.

Meanwhile, it is noteworthy that these three theories are very vital to this study as they serve as the major framework of this project. This is in the sense that they (Frustration-Aggression Theory and Relative Deprivation Theory) speak to the language of aggression, frustration, inequalities, feeling of deprivation and conflict

which are clearly revealed all through this study. Meanwhile, the language of peace which is an antidote much required to put to rest a conflict situation is properly reflected in this project using peace education theory. These solutions as prescribed in this work are very applicable to the case of South Africa since she is not experiencing any full scale war.

Relative deprivation theory takes a thorough look at the various levels of group relations and comparisons, thereby leading this study to the exposure of divergent feelings of deprivation in South Africa. Similarly, Frustration-Aggression Theory not only reveals deprivation, aggression and frustration but it also takes this project to an all new higher level by contributing immensely to the revelation of the deep seated reasons behind the xenophobic violence in South Africa and the patterns of aggression involved. Meanwhile, Peace Education Theory paves way for a clear cut strategy to achieve lasting peace in South Africa.

2.4 Conclusion

It is important to note that Xenophobia is a global phenomenon which is by no means limited to South Africa (Saideman and Ayres, 2008:155-160, Burnside, 2009, Roemer et al., 2007:237-247, Fetzer, 2000:30). But there are certain similarities in the nature of xenophobic violence in South Africa and what is reported of xenophobia in Africa and the rest of the world. Xenophobia appears to be a condition prevalent in developed/developing economies that are faced with economic challenges, social vices and immigration (Fetzer, 2000:30, Mikulich, 2009:4, Soyombo, 2008:94-95, Tshabalala and Dibetle, 2008:4, Human Rights Watch, 1998:125). The rate at which the world has become globalised has ended up creating another problem of human rights abuses such as xenophobic violence (Landau, 2011:11-15, Harrison, 2005:11-13, Boutros-Ghali, 1996:87-89). But xenophobia in Africa if not properly handled can destabilize the continent as black Africans seems to have the tendency of bearing grudges and acting it out at the slightest opportunity.

However there seems to be a very strong relationship between “afrophobia” and racism in South Africa as racism have become internalised amongst black Africans resident in South Africa (Bloch and Heese, 2007:13, Muzumbukilwa, 2007:4). However these racist ideas which provided the nourishment for “afrophobic”

sentiments and violence were evidently borrowed from the defunct apartheid regime in South Africa. This situation is suggestive of the fact that post-apartheid South Africa is still fairly connected to the ideas of their Ester-while colonial masters (Nkomo et al., 1995:263-264, Dodson, 2010:4). Beyond this it points to the fact that South Africa's modern democracy was still very young with very weak structures. Impliedly a total severance from the old apartheid mentality remains yet to be fully achieved (Dodson, 2010:4). Thus the novel migration of black African foreigners into South Africa was ill timed, a situation which exposed them as victims of various forms of aversions in a state in dire need of reconstruction (Sekgala, 2009:20, Orfanos, 2009:20, Olukoju, 2008:45, Steenkamp, 2009:442-443).

Meanwhile, it must be clearly noted that apartheid discriminatory policies and racist ideas were the bane of social stability in South Africa. The idea of keeping black South Africans in isolation from the rest of the world as was practised by the defunct apartheid regime contributed to the level of distrust and fear black South Africans have for black African foreigners. It is partly as a result of this and ignorance that black African migrants were viewed to be different but similar and malicious (Nkomo et al., 1995, Dodson, 2010:8-9, Crush, 2008:31-32). This fear was compounded by various complications surrounding idogeneity in South Africa (Neocosmos, 2006:29-31). Beyond this the degree of frustrations, violence, deprivation and poverty experienced by black South Africans who were just liberated from the claws of an oppressive apartheid regime, was enough to psychologically condition their minds for suspicions and possibly hatred for African immigrants (Steenkamp, 2009:442-443, Sonneborn, 2010:91-92,56,68-69). Unfortunately, the situation becomes more precarious with the perception of African foreigners as economic competitors and the subsequent involvement of some African migrants in certain criminal activities (Bearak and Dugger, 2008:15, Solomon, 2003:102-103 and 105, Everatt, 2011:22, Nyamnjoh, 2006:42).

According to Heywood (2002:3-5), the art of politics involves different patterns of agreements and disagreements, It is the art of exercising control by implementing collective decisions in a state. Just like the nature of violence experienced during apartheid South Africa, the several cases of afrophobic violence experienced in South Africa from 1994-2008 were attempts to exercise control (Matsinhe, 2011:306-310,

Sonneborn, 2010:56-74, Du Toit, 2001:81-83, Neocosmos, 2008:587-593, Gqola, 2008:213-221). To this end, it then follows that the origin of the May 2008 major xenophobic violence in South Africa can be traced to a meeting of hostel dwellers in Alexandria (located in Gauteng) some of whom are members of political parties, is indicative of an act of politics. More so, since the early phases of the violence was preceded by meetings in or around hostels occupied by political party members in different locations. For the initial May 2008 major xenophobic violence to erupt around hostels occupied by some political party members ahead of the 2009 general elections, speaks to the notion of campaign, strategy, organisation, co-ordination and an attempt to exercise control (Ndlovu, 2008b:5, Mhlana et al., 2008:10). Unfortunately, the arrival of some black African immigrants in South Africa was so ill timed that it left them easily exposed as a fertile political play ground to test run the already existing boiling emotions of some poor black South Africans (Crush, 2008:44-51, Petros et al., 2006:67-77, Michela, 2008:23, Human Rights Watch, 1998:4-5). However, the reality is that some black African immigrants (as at the period in question) were actually guilty of some of the crimes they were generally accused of, thus exasperating the already precarious situation (Solomon, 2003:105, Nyamnjoh, 2006:42). However, data available to this study clearly suggests that the percentage of black African migrants guilty of criminal activities in the country as accused, remains very minimal compared to South Africans (Nyamnjoh, 2006:46, Solomon, 2003:105, The Citizen, 2008:3, Neocosmos, 2008:590).

Meanwhile, it is obvious that some of the organizers of the initial meeting(s) and community leaders in Alexandria where the violence originated meant no harm to black African migrants (Sinwell, 2011:134-138). However, since South Africa already has a culture of violence borrowed from the defunct apartheid regime, coupled with different irking socio-economic frustrations and an alarming rate of black illiteracy; meetings and protests became easily hijacked by certain negative political forces (Sinwell, 2011:137, Sonneborn, 2010:56,79-80 and 68-69, Du Toit, 2001:81-83, Aitchison and Harley, 2006:96, Danso and McDonald, 2001:118, Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4, Monson and Arian, 2011:35-36, Vromans et al., 2011:90, Monson, 2011:181-182). These four political forces have been identified in this project to mean: **a.** the forces of ancient apartheid politics **b.** elite politics **c.** ‘identity politics’ **d.** politics of entitlement and **e.** ‘the politics of exclusion’.

Beyond these, the violence was encouraged by certain existing weaknesses within the structures of government, the law and the inability of the judiciary to secure convictions against most alleged perpetrators of the xenophobic violence that left 62 people dead in May 2008, including South African citizens (Monson and Arian, 2011, Klaaren, 2011:135, Alcock, 2008:5, Mboyisa and AFP, 2008:3). Thus the violence soon became properly interpreted in certain quarters as an easy method of securing personal properties, wealth and the attention of South African government to the plight of poor black South Africans. Impliedly within a given space of time, well nurtured by the culture of impunity and violence, xenophobic violence in South Africa metamorphosed into a weapon of the poor against the seemingly uncaring elites and government of South Africa (Evans et al., 2009:1 and 4, Everatt, 2011:22, Joubert, 2008b:3, Rossouw, 2008:11, Sekgala, 2009:20, Orfanos, 2009:20, Rondganger, 2008b:13, Pillay, 2008:97-100, Johnston, 2008b:31). Thus conclusively, it can be argued that xenophobia/afrophobia in South Africa is a metamorphosis of the regular culture of violence and ‘politics of exclusion’ experienced in the country over the years; a final by-product of the defunct apartheid regime (Matsinhe, 2011:296,310, Du Toit, 2001:78,81-83, Udeh et al., 2013, Roemer et al., 2007:244).

In this regard, the application of these three theories to this project helps to clearly unravel the complexity surrounding “afrophobia” in South Africa as well as illuminate the inter-disciplinary nature of this project. This theoretical framework reveal that the xenophobic violence experienced in South Africa (1994-2008) goes beyond the microcosm of violence to embrace broader and complex issues that are woven around some psycho-social triggers involved in societal interactions. Although there might be similarities and relationship between theories, they are all distinct, hence in their different approaches help to explain, predict or present solutions to the “afrophobic” violence experienced in South Africa.

CHAPTER THREE

DEVELOPMENT EFFECTS OF XENOPHOBIA IN SOUTH AFRICA

3.1 Introduction

Taking into account a record of certain xenophobic violence experienced in South Africa from the period 1994 to 2008, this chapter carried out an enquiry into the underlying causes, effects and development implications of xenophobia in South Africa. It not only analysed the different dimensions of xenophobia in South Africa but it also evaluated the implications of xenophobia for the reputation of South Africa in the international community as well as its national and international relations.

3.2 National and Socio-Economic Effects of Xenophobic Violence in South Africa

In order to capture some of the socio-economic effects of xenophobic violence in South Africa, a critical but cursory look at a contemporary global economic system needs to be carried out. The Global economy as we know it today is woven around the concept of globalisation which involves various movements of people and South African capitalist economy is by no means insulated from this contemporary global economic system (Nyamnjoh, 2006:1-4 ,230-236, Human Rights Watch, 1998:2-30, McGowan and Ahwireng-Obeng, 1998:165-195, Olowu, 2008:299, Nwonwu, 2010:149-152). In order to explain this global development, an analyses/definition of globalisation becomes necessary. Thus globalisation can be defined as, the ‘melting down’ of various national borders to enhance global interconnection of transactions in goods and services, businesses and most importantly international relations, a situation which brings the world into a ‘global village’ that is made up of borderless states and societies which are in one way or the other; more or less, interdependent on each other. Based on this fact that the world has become globalised, some national economies thrive on international relations (which incorporates

immigration/globalisation), but many African countries are yet to benefit positively from this development mostly due to poverty and underdevelopment (Nwankwo, 2002:69-88, Nwankwo, 2003:33-67, Offiong, 2001:1-7, Boutros-Ghali, 1996:87-90, Nyamnjoh, 2006:230-236, Nwonwu, 2010:149-152). This precarious economic development that has spread through many African nations is mostly as a result of corruption, mismanagements, conflicts, neo-colonialism, globalisation and the actions of the apartheid government (in the case of some frontline states), a situation which ultimately resulted in mass migration of people in pursuit of greener pastures (Nwankwo, 2002:76-88, Boutros-Ghali, 1996:87-89, Offiong, 2001:3-16, Muzumbukilwa, 2007:3-4 and 24-25, Nyamnjoh, 2006:1-4 and 230-236, Human Rights Watch, 1998:22-30, Olowu, 2008:304, Trimikliniotis et al., 2008:1323). While many African countries are yet to benefit from globalisation, South Africa has more or less benefited from it mostly due to her economic strength and political stability. Based on these, South Africa became a destination for many skilled and unskilled black African economic and refugee migrants (Nyamnjoh, 2006:1-4, Human Rights Watch, 1998, Chossudovsky, 1997:397, McGowan and Ahwireng-Obeng, 1998:165-195, Muzumbukilwa, 2007:24-25). But despite the fact that globalisation has its advantages it also possesses some shortcomings which amongst others involves an increase in human right abuses all over the world (Harrison 2005:11-13; Boutros-Ghali 1996:87-89). Unfortunately in the case of South Africa apart from human rights abuses like it is elsewhere, globalisation seems to have come under threat from 'the politics of exclusion' (Nyamnjoh, 2006:3-5 and 230-236, Udeh et al., 2013, Roemer et al., 2007:244).

Apparently, the nuances of this latest global economic development remains yet to be captured by some black South Africans who have for years been kept in the dark by the defunct apartheid oppressive government (Nkomo et al., 1995:269-274, Nyati, 2008:15). Due to illiteracy, poverty and ignorance mostly resulting from several years of isolation and deprivation, the realities of globalisation and African brotherhood appears to be beyond the realms of their comprehension. Hence based on apartheid experience and allegedly acquired anti-African orientation, the presence of black African immigrants meant yet another threat to the socio-economic wellbeing of some poor black South Africans (Nkomo et al., 1995:269-274, Danso and McDonald, 2001:115-137, Aitchison and Harley, 2006:96, Steenkamp, 2009:443-444, Nyati,

2008:15). Based on this the much celebrated African brotherhood and “African Renaissance” turned out to be naturally replaced with “afrophobic” attitudes and statements towards other Africans (Neocosmos, 2006:12). Although a few black African immigrants may compete for jobs with some poor black South African, some of them were self-employed and very skilled to the point of providing employment for some poor black South Africans. Arguably, the “afrophobic” attacks further created both lack of skilled workers in the country as well as unemployment for some poor black South Africans (Danso and McDonald, 2001:124-125, Cox, 2008:3). Since globalisation also entails the free flow of people, culture and ideas, the “afrophobic” attacks nearly left the South African tourism business in ruins as some tourists, investors and prospective investors avoided South Africa (Adeleke et al., 2008:143-144, Ferreira and Mtshali, 2008:16, Oloyede, 2008:116). Incidentally, some tourists out of fear for their safety cancelled out their trips to South Africa and the country continued to lose huge sums of money. South African private business owners were not spared as they continued to lose money due to the mass exodus of black African immigrants who were forced out of the country by some rabid mobs. These African immigrants constituted of tax payers, consumers and business associates, while among those that fled the country out of fear for their safety where many other foreign business owners (Rondganger, 2008a, Ferreira and Mtshali, 2008:16, The Citizen Reporter and SAPA, 2008:3, Oloyede, 2008:116, Olukoju, 2008:4).

Furthermore, in the contemporary globalised world, tourism not only boosts businesses but has also turned out to become one of the fastest growing businesses in the world. The nature of the businesses is such that it thrives mostly in a peaceful/serene environment and can be easily threatened by violence since tourists and investors are security conscious (Adeleke et al., 2008:143-144, The Citizen Reporter and SAPA, 2008:3). Over the years tourism has become one of the major sources of income, employment and foreign exchange earner for South Africa until it came under threat by the “afrophobic” violence of 2008. Since “afrophobia” affected tourism, businesses such as resorts, hotels, restaurants, airlines and cruise lines will not be spared, a situation which is unhealthy for national economy (Adeleke et al., 2008:144).The “afrophobic” violence not only jeopardised tourism but it also hindered the free flow of people, businesses, ideas and ‘cross-cultural fertilization’ necessary for development in a country that benefits from and is part of contemporary

global economic system (Adeleke et al., 2008:143-144, Cox, 2008:3, Rondganger, 2008b:13).

Conversely, the xenophobic violence reduced illegitimate business competition in some communities allowing some black South African businessmen and traders whose businesses might have come under strain from the business activities of black African migrants to improve on their transactions. Therefore the result of the violence was that some black South Africans became economically empowered as some of them having acquired some businesses skills from black African migrants, managed to take over some businesses initially dominated by black African foreigners (Human Rights Watch, 1998:133, Rossouw and Kharsany, 2008:6, Olukoju, 2008:48). Since the violence lead to massive loss of human resources it can be argued that some local companies lost skilled workers as a result of the violence, since some of their workers who were black African foreigners were affected by the violence (Nyati, 2008:15, Olukoju, 2008:48). However, this crisis possibly created an opportunity for some poor black South Africans who may have been languishing in penury to be considered for employment by any of the affected companies.

Consequently, the violent attacks and looting of businesses owned by black African migrants further created hardship and unemployment in the country as some of these businesses actually provided employment and services to some poor black South Africans in their respective communities (Cox, 2008:3, Danso and McDonald, 2001:124-125, Monson, 2011:180-181). Accordingly, some South Africans irrespective of their race were very apologetic to black African foreigners affected by the violence and willingly offered massive humanitarian assistance in cash and kind (Smillie, 2008:1, Smillie and Radler, 2008:1 and 10, Rasool, 2008:13, Botes, 2008:8, Badat, 2008a:2, Badat, 2008b, Naki, 2008b:5, Serrao, 2008a:1, Serrao, 2008b:1). Meanwhile, in certain cases some South Africans assisted in reintegration of displaced people into their communities/neighbourhood, as this was the situation in places like Khayelitsha, Reiger Park and Masiphumelele (Rasool, 2008:13, Hartley, 2008:1, Botes, 2008:8, Sowetan, 2008a:14). Some South Africans, irrespective of their race or colour were ashamed of the actions of their fellow citizens and responded quickly with a protest march against the xenophobic violence (Dodson, 2010:3, Reuters, 2008b:4). Similarly, some others were reported to have even assisted community leaders and the police in retrieving some stolen items belonging to non-local black

Africans (Hartley, 2008:1, Monson, 2011:180-181). Monson (2011:180-181) is of the view that some black South Africans living in Madelakufa II strongly opposed the “afrophobic” violence and even went ahead to draw the attention of police to the violence in their area. A set of respondents in this community were of the following view that:

When foreigners were chased, there was a big problem (sic). We were hungry. There was no food. [...] I am glad they are back, and thanks to the police, who responded swiftly. These people make it possible for us live; without them we are nothing (sic). [...] take it from us—we remove foreigners in our area; we went days without food when their spaza shops closed (sic). We nearly died of hunger. Foreigners will never [again] be touched in this area (Monson, 2011:180).

South Africa under the influence of globalisation has successfully increased her economic presence in Africa as well as allowed increase in inward free flow of goods, services and people irrespective of attempts by government to forestall these. The movement of people, goods and services in Johannesburg remains a typical example, despite the fact that all these have recently come under threat by xenophobia (Nyamnjoh, 2006: 232-233). Meanwhile, before now some black African migrants freely mingled with and taught some black South Africans some business tricks and the art of self-employment. It is possible that this out-brake of violence might have endangered these businesses and brotherly relations. This is possible since some black African migrants now view black South Africans with grave suspicion/distrust and *vice versa* (Hosken, 2008:7, SAPA, 2012b:2, Dube, 2008:3, Molefe et al., 2008b:1 and 4, Ncana and la Grange, 2008:4, Steenkamp, 2009:445-446). Development such as this is quite unhealthy for nation building as such sentiments and suspicion might find its way across the borders of South Africa to endanger South African—African relations. During the oppressive apartheid regime Africans were perceived as comrades during the anti-apartheid movements, only to become labelled as miscreants at the fall of the apartheid regime due to “afrophobic” sentiments (Steenkamp, 2009:442, Matsinhe 2011). There are some black Africans who are South African citizens by birth, marriage or naturalisation, some of whom were on the target list of the rampaging mobs because of their country of origin, skin pigmentation, accent or

bodily features. This situation formerly created intra-racism and further deepened social distrust and divisions among black African communities in South Africa (Johnston, 2008c:13, Steenkamp, 2009:445-449, Badat, 2008d:1, Makhafola, 2008b:5). Government's poor response to the crisis further generated distrust for the South African government amongst some black African migrants. Some Somali, Eritrean and Ethiopian refugees were reported to have preferred to die of starvation than receive humanitarian assistance from South African government whom they perceived as untrustworthy. While some Somalis attempted suicide by jumping into the cold icy waters around the Cape of Good Hope (Hosken, 2008:7, Steenkamp, 2009:445, Kapp, 2008:1987, Masombuka, 2008:4). Some South African families were not spared by the effects of the violence as there were some black South Africans married to black African migrants some of whom the violence succeeded in threatening their marriages, matrimonial homes, family peace and unity (Badat, 2008d:1, Makhafola, 2008b:5). Thus the violence not only further deepened identity conflict in the country but it also strengthened inter-group consciousness, ethnic sentiments and intra-racism in South Africa (Steenkamp, 2009:445, Molosankwe, 2008:3, Ndaba, 2008:6, Kalamane and SAPA, 2008a:3).

Unfortunately, one of the greatest problems generated by the xenophobic violence in South Africa was an unexpected outbreak of humanitarian crisis, as black African foreigners fleeing from the violence took refuge in police stations and churches. This development turned out to become an embarrassment to the government as the magnitude of the violence against other African nationals was allegedly unexpected (Msomi et al., 2008a, SAPA-AFP, 2008b:10, Oloyede, 2008:107-108, Carter, 2008:6, Msomi et al., 2008c:1, Meintjies and Msomi, 2008:1, Rondganger et al., 2008:1). Most irritating and embarrassing was the fact that the violence not only effected refugees in South Africa but rather it also made refugees out of some black South Africans in their own country (Gifford, 2008:3, Ndaba, 2008:6, Posthumus, 2008:7, Johnston, 2008c:13). It was reported that some refugees who were re-traumatised by the violence they experienced in the country attempted suicide since the "afrophobic" violence only proved to be another nightmare for those of them fleeing violence for safety in South Africa (Hart and Yung, 2008:1, Joubert, 2008b:3). Some of these black African migrants who actually fled their countries to seek protection and safety in South Africa either lost their lives or loved ones and properties to the "afrophobic"

violence. Thus the violence turned out to become a ‘double tragedy’ for some of them who had already lost their loved ones and properties to conflicts in their home countries (Joubert, 2008a:10, Sennett et al., 2008:22, Joubert, 2008b:3).

The xenophobic violence exposed certain weaknesses in South Africa’s new democratic structures as the government was found to be sluggish in responding to the violence (Msomi, 2008:1). To make matters worse, it was alleged that there was certain re-structuring that took place within the structure of the SAPS in 2006 before the major violence of 2008, which almost wrecked the ability of the police to control the violence. The restructuring was reported to have affected the specialist crime combatting units of the police, reducing the number of active police officers in the unit to almost minimal. This restructuring was reported to have grossly incapacitated the effort of the police to contain the violence, and this is more in the sense that the special unit was said to be responsible for combating riots, public disturbances and crimes. When eventually the violence went beyond the control of the over stretched South African Police (SAPS), South African government was forced to deploy the military (South African National Defence Force) as a backup to quell the conflict (Flanagan, 2008:3, Vos, 2008:3, Msomi et al., 2008a:1). This development created a sense of a breakdown of law and order in the country which might have sent a dangerous signal to would be investors and business owners in South Africa. This also suggests that South African government might have also been forced to spend more money on emergencies and national security beyond her anticipation, a development which might have negative effects on national economy in the not so distant future.

Within 14 days the “afrophobic” violence had spread round seven provinces and soon afterwards it was found spreading all over the nine different provinces of South Africa (Oloyede, 2008:107-108, Olowu, 2008:297, Mail and Guardian, 2008:1). With South Africa recording the highest number of casualties (21 lives) out of the violence that raged mostly for two weeks claiming not less than 62 lives, most of which were African nationals, it becomes obvious that xenophobic violence undermines South African national security (Oloyede, 2008:107-108, de Lange, 2008a:1). But beyond this, the violence placed a question mark on South Africa’s freedom charter which clearly stipulates that ‘South Africa belongs to all who live in it’ (Rasool, 2008:13, Sonneborn, 2010:52).

3.3 Impact of Xenophobic Violence on South Africa's Foreign Relations, Diplomacy and Global Image

The major explosion of xenophobic violence of 2008 had not less than citizens of nine different African countries affected by the violence, of which included: Burundi, Democratic Republic of Congo, Malawi, Mozambique, Nigeria, Rwanda, Somalia, Zambia and Zimbabwe. Sixty two people lost their lives to the violence of which 21 of them were confirmed to be South African citizens (Oloyede, 2008:108, de Lange, 2008a:1, Olukoju, 2008:45). Unfortunately those affected were mostly citizens of the same countries that assisted South Africans during the anti-apartheid movements. This situation can be very embarrassing for the affected African countries, especially for those whose citizens lost their lives during the major xenophobic violence of May 2008 which erupted while the continent was gearing up for Africa's Day celebration (Bell, 2008:2, SAPA-AFP, 2008a:3, Meintjies and Msomi, 2008:1). Most embarrassing was the fact that some of the citizens of these same African countries also lost their lives in their home countries while aiding South Africans during their liberation struggle (Leshilo, 2008:10).

Although the government of these countries mostly continued to display maturity in their relations with South African government, some of their citizens were reported to have been filled with distrust and disgust for both South African government and its citizens (Seale, 2008:3, SAPA-AFP, 2008b:10, Rondganger, 2008a:6, Rondganger, 2008b:13, Fauvet, 2008:3, The Star, 2008b:13, Mashaba et al., 2008:5, Fuphe, 2008b:5, SAPA, 2008b:5). This development undermines the security of black South Africans travelling or living abroad, especially to other African countries, as the violence in South Africa had the potential of provoking retaliation in other African countries (de Lange, 2008b:10, The Star, 2008c). This situation is very worrisome since it can pose a threat to future South African foreign relations.

With the 2008 major "afrophobic" violence in South Africa assuming an unprecedented level in the history of xenophobic violence in Africa, the beautiful rainbow nation's image becomes wrongfully projected to the international community as a nation of mob, rogues, criminals, racists and xenophobes (Oloyede, 2008:107-108, Olukoju, 2008:39 and 44, SAPA-AFP, 2008b:10, Onah, 2011:267 and 274,

Ntyintyane, 2008:32). The result of this is that in the course of negotiations and bargaining with other nations of the world, South Africa might always end up under the severe pressure of protecting her image, thus placing the nation in a regular defensive position. This situation, rather than strengthening South Africa's foreign policy weakens it, and thus her bargaining power becomes compromised. This development poses a grave danger to South Africa's strength of diplomacy and foreign relations. For instance, the recent Nigeria-South African diplomatic row which had the Nigerian government accusing South African government of being xenophobic is an indication of the damage the violence had done to the good image of the country in Africa (AFP, 2012, Patel, 2012, Govender, 2012, SAPA, 2012a). It was reported that following the label of "a xenophobic nation" from the Nigerian government after South African government allegedly, hastily deported some Nigerians in March 2012 for allegedly possessing fake yellow fever cards. South African government among other speculated reasons was reported to have been placed in such an uncomfortable position that she was compelled to tender an unconditional apology to the Nigerian government. This is irrespective of the fact that it was reported that Nigerian government was also alleged to be at fault for not putting a stop to the so called fraudulent Nigerian yellow fever cards that reportedly found their way across South African borders (AFP, 2012, Patel, 2012, Govender, 2012, SAPA, 2012a).

Meanwhile, before now South Africa has always prided herself as a leader in Africa with her national anthem extoling African virtues. South Africa was revered all over Africa and the world as a protector of human rights; her newly found democracy was widely celebrated all over the world as worthy of emulation in Africa. Unfortunately, the xenophobic violence seems to have withered this euphoria and almost damaged this pride (Joubert, 2008a:10, Olukoju, 2008:48, Sonneborn, 2010:52,92-101, SAPA-AFP, 2008a:3, Nell, 2008:229-230, Hastings, 2008:13). More so, with South Africa being at the forefront of the campaign for New partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD), one of the founding members of the African Union (AU), member of the Southern African Development Commission (SADC) and a signatory to various human rights-related treaties like the refugee protection treaty adopted during the OAU (Organisation for African Unity) summit in 1969; the damage done to her image by the "afrophobic" violence can be said to be colossal (Olowu, 2008:304-305,

Nwankwo, 2002:87-120, Olukoju, 2008:48). The violence smeared the image of South Africa's foremost nationalists and freedom fighters like Archbishop Desmond Tutu and Nelson Mandela who are both widely respected all over the world as Noble Laureate and legendary human rights activists. While Nelson Mandela, besides being South Africa's first democratically elected president is also respected all over Africa and the world as a symbol of freedom and hope in Africa (Tau, 2008:3, Hastings, 2008:13, Onah, 2011:267, Nell, 2008:229). "afrophobic" violence in South Africa not only means an impediment to globalisation but a violation of international law on human rights, a situation which might have compelled certain African states like Nigeria to view South Africa with suspicion. This is more in the sense that the "afrophobic" violence was a betrayal of the spirit of African brotherhood (Ubuntu) and Pan-Africanism that marked the relations between South Africa and other African states (Olowu, 2008:296-318, SAPA-AFP, 2008b:10, The Star, 2008c:9, AFP, 2012, Patel, 2012, Govender, 2012, SAPA, 2012a).

Accordingly, South African government embarrassed by the violence apologised to some affected African States while vowing to get to the root of the matter (Asagba, 2011:152, Oloyede, 2008:108, Sowetan, 2008c:5). The implication of this is not only that "afrophobic" violence in South Africa threatens her relations with other African states. But rather the danger also lies with the fact that this violence may have also succeeded in placing South Africa in such an ugly situation that she has to always struggle with protecting her image amongst the committee of nations. However, the "afrophobic" violence of 2008 remains a wakeup call for other African governments to develop their countries and provide for their citizens in order to relieve South Africa of the pressure of immigration (Olukoju, 2008:49, SAPA-AFP, 2008b:10, Seale, 2008:3, Mashaba et al., 2008:5, SAPA-AP, 2008).

Fortunately, the acquisition of AU (African Union) chairperson by South Africa through Madam Nkosazana Dlamini-Zuma is an indication that South Africa is making progress in advancing her foreign policy in Africa, irrespective of the fact that this development is still not without its challenges. It is also possible that one of the reasons why South Africa went ahead to vie for this extolled position in Africa is to enable her further improve on her image in Africa as a leader, as she forges her foreign policies and interest in African development (Mail and Guardian, 2012, SABC, 2012, Nwankwo, 2002:87-120, Solomon, 2003:153).

3.4 Conclusion

It is without doubt that the “afrophobic” violence of May 2008 tainted the good image of South Africa before the international community and brought so much embarrassment to the government (Olukoju, 2008:48, Olowu, 2008:304-305, Meintjies and Msomi, 2008, SAPA, 2008b:5). But one other thing that remains obvious is that the national outbursts of emotions and massive humanitarian assistance received by black African immigrants from sympathetic South Africans (of all races), implies that some South Africans are shocked and ashamed of the actions of some of their countrymen (Rasool, 2008:13, Botes, 2008:8, Badat, 2008a:2, Naki, 2008b:5, Crush, 2008:9, Serrao, 2008b:1, Serrao, 2008a:1, Smillie and Radler, 2008:1 and 10, Smillie, 2008:1). This is an indication that the “afrophobic” violence against black African immigrants does not necessarily mean that black South Africans dislike black African immigrants. For instance, Mangosuthu Buthelezi the former minister of the department of home affairs and the leader of Inkatha Freedom Party (IFP) who has acquired notoriety for his infamous inflammatory statements that criminalised black African migrants, was later found to be shedding tears for the victims of 2008 afrophobic violence (Neocosmos, 2008:588, Danso and McDonald, 2001:132).

Therefore all these might as well be indicative of the fact that the total outcome of the campaign was least expected by the alleged initiators. However the major violence of 2008 carried out mostly against black African immigrants cannot be completely referred to as xenophobia. This is in view of the fact that the major targets of the mob were black African migrants, as people of other races who were also migrants were not targeted. Moreover violence in which South Africa recorded the highest number of victims and the number of people ejected from RDP houses by mobs in Alexandra were mostly South Africans still does not prove the violence of May 2008 to be xenophobic. However, this could be indicative of the fact that the mobs may have actually, as reported, found it difficult to distinguish between citizens and non-citizens. If this then is a fact, such a development might have aided the aversion of an impending possible genocide (Molefe et al., 2008b:4, Oloyede, 2008:108, Worby et al., 2008:16, Sinwell, 2011:137).

CHAPTER FOUR

BUILDING PEACEFUL (INTRA-RACIAL) GROUP RELATIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA

4.1 Introduction

A thorough assessment has been carried out on this chapter of various attempts made so far by the civil society and government towards enhancing peaceful group relations in South Africa. Since finding lasting solutions to intra-group violence remains absolutely vital to this project, this chapter paved way for this objective by also carrying out an evaluation of the outcome of these previous attempts towards conflict prevention and management of intra-group relations in South Africa. This was done in order to assess their possible impacts on the society and to proffer better solutions that will assist conflict resolution, prevention and management of intra-group relations in South Africa.

4.2 Tactical Approaches Adopted by both the State and Civil Society to Manage and Prevent “Afrophobic” Violence in South Africa

Most government and civil society responses and strategies against “afrophobic” violence were short term measures and do not provide for long term prevention of further “afrophobic” violence (Sinwell, 2011:147, Amisi et al., 2011:79 and 82). However unlike the government, civic organisations carried out a more thorough analysis and assessment of the situation to determine the root causes of “afrophobic” violence, which they among others identified as : poverty, inequalities, crime, unfair business competition, governments inability to fulfil its promise of delivering RDP houses to all poor citizens, political propaganda, corruption (especially at local government level), capitalism and a high level of unemployment. Incidentally, the most persistent factors identified to be responsible for the violence were poverty and unemployment. These assessments were made possible mostly through interviews,

research and community engagements on the part of civil society and researchers (Amisi et al., 2011:62,65,74,75,79-81, Sinwell, 2011:132-146).

4.2.1 Sustaining Peaceful Intra-group Relations in South Africa, the South African Civil Society Perspective

In Alexandra during the major “afrophobic” violence of May 2008, community leaders were said to have protected foreigners by negotiations with the rampaging armed mob, warding off “afrophobic” attacks, and even went as far as recovering some of their stolen properties (Sinwell, 2011:134-135). In some cases, security meetings were organised by community leaders to protect black African migrants from being consumed in the conflict. Some black South Africans volunteered to work with security guards to provide safety for some poor black African foreigners dwelling in their midst. While in Marlboro, community leaders advised black African foreigners to take refuge in police stations having anticipated the violence would soon get out of control (Sinwell, 2011:135-136).

Meanwhile, the moment the situation seemed to have calmed down, various leaders in the Alexandra community called for a general meeting to dissuade residents from continuing with the violence, but to no avail. Hence community leaders decided to decentralise meetings in order to communicate more effectively to residents in smaller groups. Other strategies adopted were to organise meetings in the community with community leaders, religious leaders, sports leaders and councillors in attendance. This strategy worked more effectively as the organisers earned the respect and attention of community residents (Sinwell, 2011:137-138). Beyond this, the further involvement of political leaders, civic organisations and community police forum (CPF) offered no breeding space for sabotage and malice, as informants duly notified the police of any strange gathering (Sinwell, 2011:139). Meanwhile, religious leaders took up the challenge of preaching against violence in their various churches and communities and effectively engaged in spreading their anti-xenophobic campaigns through various Christian forums and ministries (Sinwell, 2011:138).

Furthermore, since the outcome of peace meetings conducted by leaders in Alexandra and civil society organisations revealed that government’s poor service delivery was a serious issue faced by the community, political leaders of both The IFP and ANC in Alexandra having put aside their differences, both worked in harmony on the

complaints of poor service delivery in Alexandra urging residents to embrace peace. Civic organisations and political leaders, having identified the root causes of the violence, enlightened residents on how to alternatively go about reaching the government regarding their various frustrations in the community void of violence (Sinwell, 2011:138-139 and 141-143). While other non-governmental organisations such as Agisanang Domestic Abuse Prevention and Training (ADAPT) and Lifeline engaged in counselling both the victims of violence and HIV patients in the communities (Sinwell, 2011:140). Civil society also assisted with the reintegration of displaced black African migrants into their various communities. The reintegration process in Durban for instance was reported to have been hampered in some cases by poor coordination and lack of coherence in the process. In some cases, failure with the reintegration processes were as a result of poor communication and enlightenment of host communities regarding the processes and plight of black African migrants and refugees in their communities. To make matters worse, some of the challenges faced and reported by some local community residents, some of which were the reasons behind the violence, still remained unresolved even as reintegration processes were going on.

However, despite these challenges, some successes were recorded in the reintegration processes in Durban and elsewhere in the country at the end of the violence (Amisi et al., 2011:80, Rasool, 2008:13, Hartley, 2008:1, Botes, 2008:8, Sowetan, 2008a:14). While many NGOs and sympathetic South Africans offered massive humanitarian services in the forms of gifts, money and unreserved apologies to the displaced for their harrowing experiences. All these went a long way to assist the displaced and brought calm to the situation while paving way for a possible reintegration of these displaced people with their various communities (Smillie, 2008:1, Rasool, 2008:13, Botes, 2008:8, Badat, 2008a:2, Naki, 2008b:5, Serrao, 2008b:1, Serrao, 2008a:1).

Incidentally, one of the most effective long term measures adopted to forestall further xenophobic violence in the country was the attempt by African Diaspora Forum to enhance “cross cultural fertilization” between black South Africans and black African immigrants. This was made possible by attempting to cement relations between South Africans and black African immigrants, engaging in African cultural exchanges, encouraging development of relationships and mutual understanding amongst Africans, discouraging public xenophobic utterances/policies and fostering integration

of various African communities through cultural exhibitions. Beyond these, the organisation attracted about eighteen representatives of various African countries to help facilitate the programme, while it at all levels engaged various South African institutions on promoting African relations. This attempt on cultural integration remains very vital as black African immigrants and black South Africans can actually better understand each other when both parties understand and learn to respect each other's culture and traditions. In the same vein, the youth branch of the organisation took the campaign against xenophobia to the media by initiating television and radio discussions. But unfortunately the organisation later became dysfunctional following the death of a key initiator and member of the CPF (Sinwell, 2011:140-141).

Another strategy with a long term effect on prevention of further xenophobic violence in the country is that which was adopted by the APF (Anti-Privatisation Forum). The members of this organisation having identified capitalism and the ANC's so-called neo-liberal policies as responsible for sustaining high rate of poverty and inequalities in South Africa, embarked on a mission to forestall policies aimed at promoting capitalism in the country. But the objectives of this organisation did not see the light of day as members of this organisation failed to come up with policies aimed at tackling the nefarious activities of capitalism or providing better alternatives to ARP (Alexandra Renewal Project) (Sinwell, 2011:131 and 147).

However, the APF, churches and labour unions like some other civic organisations, succeeded in conducting an anti-xenophobia march and awareness campaign to discourage people from participating in xenophobic violence. Less than two weeks into the major xenophobic violence, thousands of people turned out in the streets of Johannesburg bringing traffic to a halt carrying different placards and marching across the streets condemning xenophobic violence. Beyond this the APF also issued a press statement against xenophobia in South Africa. But the problem with this organisation is that it lacks leadership coherence as members of this organisation outside Alexandra pursue different agenda from those of members resident in Alexandra (Amisi et al., 2011:67, Sinwell, 2011:146-147, Reuters, 2008b:4). The issue of leadership coherence seems to be a general problem that plagued the activities of NGOs in managing the humanitarian crisis that was triggered in the event of the major 2008 xenophobic violence. This is so in the sense that some NGOs were faced with misunderstandings and disagreements between and among themselves, a

development which ended up further complicating matters (Schwarer and Mwelase, 2010:35). However, the WPF (Women for Peace) moved beyond providing food for victims of xenophobic violence in Alexandra to creating employment opportunities, specifically to empower black South Africans. This, some members of the organisation argue, will help distract some black South Africans from becoming envious of successful black African migrants thus helping many black South Africans to imbibe self-confidence by acquiring more skills (Sinwell, 2011:139-140). But the problem remains that this organisation cannot do much in creating employment without the assistance of government with general job creation in the country.

Another dimension to tactical approaches against “afrophobic” violence is that which is found in StreetNet, an organisation that brings together both local and foreign street vendors. Their approach is such that they simply discourage their members from partaking in any xenophobic violence or attitude. Members report cases that are earlier dealt with before they get to crisis point (Amisi et al., 2011:78). This is indicative of the fact that an anti-xenophobic campaign can be successfully carried into trade unions where anti-foreigner sentiments might be rife due to trade competition. But the question remains, how far has this campaign been carried out to other trade unions, as this might be a very isolated case of anti-xenophobic campaign in trade unions in the country.

However, most encouraging is the fact that Lawyers for Human Rights and the KwaZulu-Natal Refugee Council (KZNRC) promote legal and human rights of black African migrants. While KZNRC goes further to enlighten refugees about their obligations/responsibilities to their host communities and South Africa at large (Amisi et al 2011:77-78). Such an attempt, if consistent, remains a step in the right direction as it will help enlighten refugees on how to properly behave in South Africa, their host country. Schwarer and Mwelase (2010:10) reveals that many black African migrants (particularly refugees) have no clue regarding the content of the South African constitution and as such do not know their rights in the country. Therefore any attempt by a legal organisation to protect their rights will go a long way to assist them to understand how to survive in the country.

4.2.2 Attempts by South African Government on Prevention of “Afrophobic” Violence and Maintenance of Peaceful Intra-group Relations in South Africa

There are mixed feelings regarding government’s intervention in the xenophobic violence as the police was poorly structured to handle the violence, while military deployment to assist the police prevented further spread of the violence and was rather too late as the violence had already gathered enough momentum (Flanagan, 2008:3, Vos, 2008:3, Msomi et al., 2008a:1). In Durban, Schwarer and Mwelase (2010:9-10) reported that some Metro Police officers manhandled some refugees who ended up taking refuge in the city hall by whisking them away from the building; a development which certain analysts viewed as rather encouraging to xenophobic violence in South Africa.

However, some other reports clearly indicated that South African government latter carried on with what seems to be the African Diaspora Forum initiative of promoting cultural integration and intra-racial harmony amongst black African communities in South Africa. The government did this by setting aside every 24 September to nationally celebrate Heritage day, 25 May to celebrate Africa’s day and 21 March for Human Rights day national celebration. These days are either nationally celebrated as public holidays or meant for cultural displays (Religious Leaders Training on Conflict Resolution, 2013). But the problem with this initiative is that some black African foreigners (like the Congolese) are not comfortable with the idea of culturally integrating with South Africans (Schwarer and Mwelase, 2010:33).

However, this approach requires some good publicity to continue to be very effective and attain higher credibility as the South African public may not in actuality, comprehend the deep meanings of such ceremonies beyond the rhetoric. Thus beyond the rhetoric of nationally celebrating some of these days as public holidays, a lot of practicalities and publicity are required to make these days, informative, educational and worthwhile. This is where government needs to come and assist by thoroughly involving the media. Unfortunately, Schwarer and Mwelase (2010:16-28) contend that government failed to liaise with NGOs to map out anti-xenophobic programmes with long term effects and as such, certain efforts made by NGOs became exercises in futility. Another major hindrance to the efforts of some NGOs is the problem of communication. This development arose out of the fact that most employees of NGOs

dealing with refugees were mostly South Africans and this created some complications especially for planning on programmes with long term effects (Schwarer and Mwelase, 2010:20). Incidentally this is where government and the civil society should have liaised to recruit some black African migrants to assist these NGOs with interpretation and communication.

4.3 Conclusion

Currently there seems to be no concrete efforts made by the government to properly establish anti-afrophobic programmes with long term effects as the efforts made by government so far were mostly to manage, on a short term basis the major afrophobic violence of 2008. Although there are efforts made by the civil society to manage afrophobic violence in South Africa and prevent its future re-occurrences, failure on the part of government to properly liaise with them in their anti-afrophobic programmes, mostly rendered civil society efforts into only philanthropic and short term crisis management campaigns. This is basically in the sense that little achievements were recorded in the areas of planning, execution and sustenance of anti-afrophobic programmes with long term effects capable of preventing further re-occurrences (Sinwell, 2011:147, Amisi et al., 2011:79 and 82, Schwarer and Mwelase, 2010:15-18, 28-32 and 35).

However, no matter the different approaches adopted by civil society to handle afrophobic violence in South Africa, without support from government on tactical long term measures like the provision of housing, tackling unemployment in the country and engaging in poverty alleviation; efforts by civil society will not go far enough to prevent further re-occurrences of afrophobic violence in South Africa (Sinwell, 2011:141, Amisi et al., 2011:81).

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary

Having carried out a thorough analysis of available data, this concluding end of the study brought together the outcome of these analyses in order to extract the main findings of this research. Consequently, this led to certain policy recommendations that not only provided practical solutions to “afrophobic” violence in South Africa but paved way to promoting and cementing good relationship between non-local black Africans, black South Africans and South Africans in general.

5.2 Conclusions

It is indeed without doubt that at the very centre of the causes of xenophobic violence in South Africa lies RDP houses and jobs (Radler, 2008:3, Nyamnjoh, 2006:31). However, most aggravating is the fact that some South African politicians indulge in making unfulfilled promises to poor black South Africans while recklessly blaming black African migrants for their failures (Johnson, 2008:4, Mackenzie, 2008:13). Most unfortunately is the fact that South Africa’s racial hierarchy of social benefits adds more frustrations to the lives of black South Africans who continue to find themselves at the very bottom of South African social stratification ladder (Laing and Pather, 2008:1 and 4, Nkomo et al., 1995:263-282).

Compounding the entire situation is the complexities surrounding nationality and identity in South Africa, a situation which ultimately led some black South Africans into perceiving black African migrants as a threat to their identity, future and interests (Neocosmos, 2006:22-31). The total outcome of all this is that xenophobic violence becomes perceived by some black South Africans as a struggle for survival (Orfanos, 2009:20). Surrounded with these frustrations, the violence appears to have become the final resort of the common man to draw the attention of government to the highly

deplorable living conditions of the poor, in a racially charged society (Sinwell, 2011:141-144, Nkomo et al., 1995:261-280, Solomon, 2003:102-103, Oloyede, 2008:108-109, Orfanos, 2009:20, Sekgala, 2009:20, Human Rights Watch, 1998, Matsinhe, 2011:309). Irrespective of these, xenophobic violence remains illegitimate in the sense that whatever might be the situation, there is certainly no justification for any form of violence/crime against black African foreigners in South Africa (Johnston, 2008a:4, Mancotywa, 2008:12).

Unfortunately, the new democratic government of South Africa cannot be entirely blamed for the violence in the country as her structures of governance are still very young to entirely resolve the legacy created by over 300 years of apartheid rule (Luthuli, 2008:9, Sonneborn, 2010, Amisi et al., 2011, Nkomo et al., 1995:261-282, Dubow, 1995, Mnisi, 2008:11). Incidentally, the continuous existence of apartheid structures in South Africa continues to complicate the relations between black South Africans and black African migrants. The problem appears to have started when some black South Africans who have patiently waited for the actualization of some ambiguous promises made to them by some of their elusive elites to no avail, witnessed first-hand, the success of black African migrants. Some of these black African immigrants, on arrival in South Africa succeeded in economically challenging the *status quo* with their informal business acumen, trading prowess and professional skills. This newly found successes probably exposed some black African migrants to the anger and envy of some South Africans who on comparing their conditions with theirs (black African migrants) held their government responsible for their plight. Based on this, some black South Africans alternatively sort the attention of South African government by laying siege on black African migrants (Nyamnjoh, 2006:68-231, Everatt, 2011:22, Sekgala, 2009:20).

Incidentally, the humanity of the black race in South Africa has over centuries been shattered and relegated to the background in different ways such as using very negative connotations, labelling, racial classification and dehumanising treatments, all of which might have succeeded in inflaming the possible dissention of the success of black African migrants in South Africa. Unfortunately, this novel relationship between some black South Africans and black African migrants proves that some of these age long apartheid ideas and practises have actually ended up being

consciously/unconsciously lifted into post-apartheid South Africa by some black South Africans (Matsinhe, 2011). These complications in black African relations in South Africa was also exacerbated by high level of black illiteracy and poverty as some black South Africans neither understood the implication nor the plight of refugees in South Africa (Danso and McDonald, 2001:118, Aitchison and Harley, 2006:96, Everatt, 2011:21, Everatt, 2008-2009:3, Mnisi, 2008:11, Crush, 2008:33-35). Meanwhile, a thorough analysis of the history of violence against black African foreigners reveals that the so called xenophobic violence in South Africa is by no means a spontaneous reaction since there has been different cases of xenophobic violence from 1994-2008 (Crush, 2008:44-54, Matsinhe, 2011:307-308). This is an indication that frustrations and grudges have actually built up over the years only to be let loose at the slightest given opportunity, a situation which clearly explains the magnitude of the violence of the 2008 xenophobic violence.

However, xenophobic violence cannot be said to be peculiar to South Africa as it is rather a global phenomenon that has been well promoted by the advent of globalisation, a development which appears to threaten African regional stability (Nwankwo, 2002:69-88, Nwankwo, 2003, Offiong, 2001:1-7, Boutros-Ghali, 1996:87-90, Harrison, 2005:11-13, Campbell, 2003:74, Soyombo, 2008:94-95, Pedahzur and Yishai, 1999:101-102, Matsinhe, 2011:302, Crush and Ramachandran, 2010:214-217, Bauman, 1989:227-228, AFP, 2012, Patel, 2012, Govender, 2012, SAPA, 2012a, Nyamnjoh, 2006:230-236). Nonetheless, a situation whereby the number of people ejected from RDP houses by the rampaging mob in Alexandra from where the major violence erupted in May 2008 were mostly South African citizens and South Africa recorded the highest number of casualties in the major violence of 2008, all points to the fact that the labelling of the violence as entirely xenophobic remains questionable (Oloyede, 2008:108, Sinwell, 2011:137). Moreover, since the violence has in its target, mostly black African migrants in a heterogeneous nation like South Africa, it becomes impossible to conclude that the nature of the violence was indeed entirely xenophobic (Sichone, 2001, Landau, 2004, La Grange, 2008b:1, Hassim et al., 2008:213-219).

In view of these, there are different dimensions to afrophobic violence in South Africa. These include: the criminal element, ethnic dimension to the violence, intra-racism, political gambits, the culture of violence and apartheid legacies in South

Africa (Du Toit, 2001:170-173, Nkomo et al., 1995:261-280, Worby et al., 2008, Landau, 2011:12, Steenkamp, 2009:443-444, Saunders and Southey, 2001:195, Matsinhe, 2011:301-304, Rossouw, 2008:11, Gevisser, 2008:16-17, Phaahla and Darley, 2008:22, Tshabalala and Dibetle, 2008:4).

However, taking into cognisance the fact that the whole episode of xenophobic violence that took place in the year 2008 initially started as peaceful gatherings and protests for poor government service delivery, it then becomes obvious that the intentions of some of the organisers of the first set of protest(s) were hijacked by some negative forces. These forces may have capitalised on the above mentioned weaknesses of the poor unenlightened masses to unleash violence in Alexandra and beyond (Sinwell, 2011:137 and 141, Landau, 2011:12, Mhlana et al., 2008:10, Crush, 2008:44-54, Ndlovu, 2008b:5, Bearak and Dugger, 2008:15, Krog, 2008:1, Tromp, 2008:1). Overtime and nurtured by an atmosphere of impunity and weaknesses in the judicial system, the violence gained momentum and spread all over the country concentrating mostly on informal settlements (Mhlana et al., 2008:10, Johnston, 2008b:31, Klaaren, 2011:135, Evans et al., 2009:1 and 4). For the same reason of not bringing to justice most of the perpetrators of the violence, the xenophobic violence soon becomes interpreted as an easy means to illegally acquire wealth and curry government's attention (Klaaren, 2011:135, Evans et al., 2009:1 and 4, Everatt, 2011:22, Joubert, 2008b:3, Rossouw, 2008:11, Sekgala, 2009:20, Orfanos, 2009:20, Rondganger, 2008b:13, Pillay, 2008:97-100, Johnston, 2008b:31).

However, what remains unclear is the reason why the violence was resisted by local black South African residents in some areas but yet became easily rooted in some other places. Different reasons have been advanced for this by researchers, of which the most popularly accepted is that the violence was easily rooted were there were poor existence of government structures such as law enforcement agencies (Sinwell, 2011:143-147). But one thing that remains very clear is that the violence took place mostly in areas where black South African residents were experiencing high level of poverty and deprivation (Sinwell, 2011:143-147, Mhlana et al., 2008:10). One obvious fact is that there is a possibility of a "third force" involvement in triggering the violence as alleged by government, as some South Africans ended up being very ashamed of the actions of their fellow countrymen (Okolo, 2008:3, Memela, 2008:8, Naki, 2008a:9, Stewart et al., 2008a:1 and 4, Mhlana et al., 2008:10, Mashaba,

2008b:4, Sowetan, 2008c:5, Everatt, 2011:26, Sinwell, 2011:140, Tau, 2008:3, Msomi and Meintjies, 2008:1 and 4, Msomi et al., 2008c: 1 and 4, Mogale, 2008:15, Crush, 2008:9). Perhaps this is more in the sense that the ANC and IFP have in the past recorded a history of violence in Alexandra from where the major violence of 2008 initially erupted (Sinwell, 2011:138).

Beyond this, the atmosphere of xenophobia and fear was encouraged by some politicians, political demagogues and certain media 'sensational publications' which either encouraged or triggered the violence in different parts of the country (Crush and Ramachandran, 2010:218, Smith, 2008-2009:26, Human Rights Watch, 1998:20-21 and 123-125, Neocosmos, 2008). This is despite different warnings from some politicians, religious leaders, nationalists and well-meaning South Africans like Archbishop Desmond Tutu and the then premier of Mpumalanga, Matthews Phosa (Human Rights Watch, 1998:126-127, Kunda, 2009).

However, contrary to regular practises in some other countries in Africa and around the world, the permission granted by South African government and some citizens to allow the integration of refugees and asylum seekers into various local communities (both before and after the xenophobic violence), and the massive amount of humanitarian assistance received by black African foreigners from many South Africans, all speak volumes about the true nature and humanity of most South Africans (African Peer Review Mechanism, 2010, Monson and Arian, 2011:179-181, Crush, 2008:9, Religious Leaders Training on Conflict Resolution, 2013, Amisi et al., 2011:77 and 80). Beyond the permission, the fact that hundreds of thousands of black African immigrants are working, studying and living successfully in South Africa, some since 1994 is a positive indicator of acceptance of foreigners such that allows them to be integrated when they are law abiding. This should perhaps be a worthwhile prospect for future studies. The strategy of integration of black African migrants into various communities in the country remains very important for proper 'cross cultural fertilization' and enhanced interaction to take place between the two groups. In actuality, this is an indication that if the needs and basic concerns of black South Africans are properly taken care of by the government, black South Africans can actually live in harmony with black African migrants (Amisi et al., 2011:81). This is very vital in the sense that further damage to black South African relations with black African immigrants not only possesses a grave danger to South African national

security, development, racial integration and foreign policy, but rather there is a possibility that it can jeopardise regional peace and stability.

5.3 Policy Recommendation

In view of these, it is advisable for South Africa to embark on more pro-active measures to prevent further damages to her reputation by embarking on policies that will enforce racial, inter/intra group integration and peace in the country. To help protect her image and prevent further xenophobic violence, South Africa needs to embark on a very dynamic foreign policy that will not only help her neighbours sustain political and economic stability, but will help her expand her business frontiers. This will not only help reduce the attraction of migration to South Africa, but will also assist job creation for both South Africans and citizens of other African countries within and beyond the shores of South Africa (Mboyisa, 2008c:3, The Star, 2008c:9). Thus with the aid of globalisation, the much desired goal of maintaining regional stability and establishing good ‘cross cultural fertilization’ will be acquired.

The best place to start enforcing policies of national and racial integration is with the schools and tertiary institutions where both citizens and foreigner will be compulsorily required to go through peace education, learning of local languages of choice or community service before graduation. Students in tertiary institutions, both South Africans and foreign nationals (but most importantly black African migrants), must for the sake of national and regional integration be compelled by the law to embark on at least eight months of compulsory but voluntary national service before or immediately after graduation (<http://www.nysc.gov.ng/about/objectives.php>,2013, <http://www.nysc.gov.ng/about/about.php>,2013, <http://www.nysc.gov.ng/>,2013, <http://anambracorpers.pishondesigns.org/history.html>, 2010). These voluntary but compulsory nationwide services in schools, companies and government departments must be carried out in such a manner that people are not posted to serve in areas where they are racially compatible as doing so will be counter-productive.

Therefore to enhance racial/cultural integration and to reduce stereotypes to a minimum, government must come up with a national strategy of posting students/graduates with different racial backgrounds to work in areas with higher concentration of citizens with opposite racial backgrounds. However, this must be

carried out under government sponsorship with adequate provision of security services already making sure students/graduates have acquired proper peace education as their services might be required in dealing with matters of conflict in their areas of voluntary service. Beyond the essence of national integration, these compulsory but voluntary services will help provide black South Africans the opportunity of acquiring some working experience to better their opportunities of gaining employment after graduation.

Most importantly, government needs to adopt more practical measures to on a larger scale encourage education of black South Africans, making sure they also compulsorily learn and practise peace education within and outside schools applying the above stated strategy. A good approach towards actualising this will be to make education compulsory for all South Africans, while at the same time encouraging high income earning South Africans to financially assist with provisions of scholarships. Beyond this, government needs to source for foreign partnership in scholarship education of poor black South Africans. Farmers in the country can be encouraged to provide free food stuffs monthly to some local community dwellers or farmworkers while government provides subsidised food market stores around certain local communities or cities. Government needs to partner with NGOs to establish skill acquisition centres, security and sporting events in informal settlements and local/rural communities around the country in order to keep more local residents busy, empowered and secured (Sinwell, 2011:136,138-140).

Besides the provision of jobs and housing for South African citizens, which is very vital, government needs to partner with NGOs to ensure that adverts for scholarship admissions are taken into both communities and informal settlements. Providing education, jobs and housing for as many South Africans as possible will help to deal with inequalities in the society; however it remains very necessary that government totally dismantles all apartheid structures that are based on racial hierarchy of social benefits. A situation whereby people of different races earn different levels of income or social benefits based on the colour of their skin can actually encourage racism in the society to a very alarming rate. This is more in the sense that it will make South Africans to become unusually racially conscious (Nkomo et al., 1995:263-266). Dismantling these racial hierarchies will deal a terrible blow to racism in South

Africa. It will help promote/advance equality, diffuse tensions and suspicions (especially among black community) in the society thereby generally promoting peace and unity in the country (Nkomo et al., 1995:275).

Furthermore, these initiatives need to be continuously supplemented with what Neocosmos (2008:587) describes as 'the politics of peace'. This according to Neocosmos (2008:587,592-593) implies that all the political propaganda which has instilled fear among some South Africans such as alleging an impending invasion by aliens and a declaration of war on those who are perceived to be different, needs to be abandoned and replaced with a 'politics of inclusion'. Among others, this includes: regular television and radio discussions on how to deal with xenophobia, an anti-xenophobia march and awareness campaigns in the streets of major cities, and getting rid of discrimination and treating everybody resident in the country equally as stated in the freedom charter (Neocosmos, 2008:593, Sinwell, 2011:140, Nyamnjoh, 2006:3-4). Politicians need to be legally discouraged from heating up the polity with reckless and inflammatory statements that will endanger black African migrants. A sure way to get this done is to improve and encourage research in the study of political science in various universities in the country.

A very tactical way of getting this 'politics of peace' to the main root of the society is to encourage monthly/regular community and neighbourhood meetings of which each neighbourhood/community at the end of every year organises an end of year party. This will help bring people together and enhance peaceful coexistence, but for this to be more effective, it is important for government to by any means legally necessary, discourage the idea of people living separately according to racial categories. This is in the sense that this idea, which was the fulcrum of the divide and rule strategy of the defunct apartheid regime, became the bane of racial equality and social justice in South Africa. People of different races living and holding monthly meetings together in neighbourhoods with the support of religious leaders will help promote inter-racial/intra-racial marriages, peace, unity, equality, security and reduce to a minimum the existence of racism, intra-racism, out-groups and negative perceptions of out-groups. This policy will rather than divide and differentiate people according to racial groups, will rather bring closer together people of different races and encourage better understanding and interaction of different racial groups.

On the other side, government, police, the legislature and the judiciary needs to be properly strengthened and equipped to deal with matters relating to xenophobia/hate crimes. The use of inflammatory and obnoxious words that depicts racism or racial classifications such as “amakwerekwere”, if not so, ought to be abolished. Similarly, political and media propaganda that criminalises African immigrants needs to be discouraged with a national ‘politics of inclusion’ (Nyamnjoh, 2006:3-4). Beyond making hate crimes punishable by law, the government needs to fully support NGOs in campaigns for the protection of human rights in South Africa, in extension the rights of immigrants (Amisi et al., 2011:77, Crush, 2008:41). This is very compulsory if xenophobic violence in South Africa is to be put into check since it will act as a deterrent to people with both criminal intents and malicious ideas.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- About the Scheme* [Online]. Nigeria: National Youth Service Corps. Available: <http://www.nysc.gov.ng/about/about.php> [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Adeleke, B. O., Omitola, A. A. & Olukole, O. T. 2008. Impacts of xenophobia attacks on tourism.16.Available: http://reference.sabinet.co.za/webx/access/electronic_journals/ifepsyc/ifepsyc_v16_n2_a9.pdf.
- AFP. 2012. Nigeria accuses SA of xenophobia after vaccines row. *Mail and Guardian*.
- African Peer Review Mechanism. 2010. *Second report on the implementation of South Africa's APRM programme of action* [Online]. The Presidency Republic of South Africa.Available: <http://www.thepresidency.gov.za/MediaLib/Downloads/Home/Publications/AfricanPeerReviewMechanism/aprm2ndreport.pdf> [Accessed 8 May 2013].
- Aidan, M. 2010. 'There is no difference between citizens and non-citizens anymore': Violent xenophobia, citizenship and the politics of belonging in post-apartheid South Africa. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 36, 641-655.
- Aitchison, J. & Harley, A. 2006. South African illiteracy statistics and the case of the magically growing number of literacy and ABET learners. *Journal of Education*, 89-112.
- Alcock, S. S. 2008. Why the police blew it: Specialist riot cops numbers were halved in 2006, despite a report warning it was a mistake. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.1 and 5.
- Amisi, B., Bond, P., Cele, N. & Ngwane, T. 2011. Xenophobia and Civil Society: Durban's structured social divisions. *Politikon: South African Journal of Political Studies*, 38, 59-83.
- Anambra Corpers.com: Everything you need to know: The NYSC history [Online]. Nigeria: National Youth Service Corps. Available: <http://anambracorpers.pishondesigns.org/history.html> [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Asagba, R. B. 2011. The Logotherapist View of Xenophobia and Violence in South Africa. *Ife Psychologia*, 151-169.
- Babbie, E. R. & Mouton, J. 2006. *The practice of social research*, Cape Town, Oxford University Press Southern Africa.
- Badat, N.-J. Y. 2008a. Gratitude at R1,5m for victims of attacks. *The Star*, June 13, p.2.

- Badat, N.-J. Y. 2008b. R1,5m for xenophobia victims. *The star*, June 9, p.3.
- Badat, N.-J. Y. 2008c. Tribalism a big worry for MEC. *The Star*, May 26, p.3.
- Badat, N.-J. Y. 2008d. We won't let anything happen to the foreigners. *The Star* May 26 p.1.
- Banton, M. 1987. *Racial theories*, New York, Cambridge University Press.
- Bauman, Z. 1989. *Modernity and the holocaust*, New York, Cornell University Press.
- BBC News. 2008. *S African Chinese 'Become Black'*, *BBC News* [Online]. BBC. Available: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/7461099.stm> [Accessed 2 April 2012].
- Bearak, B. & Dugger, C. 2008. An ugly hatred: Marauders strike as the powerless make scapegoats of the defenceless. *Sowetan*, May 21, p.15.
- Bell, T. 2008. The week proudly SA turned to barbarism. *Business Report*, May 23, p.2.
- Berker, S. & Carlton, D. 1996. *Racism, xenophobia and ethnic conflict*, Durban, Indicators Press.
- Berkowitz, L. 1989. Frustration-aggression hypothesis: Examination and reformation. *Psychology Bulletin*, 106, 59-73.
- Bloch, J. & Heese, S. 2007. *I am an African: Stories of young refugees in South Africa*, Claremont, New Africa Books (Pty) Ltd.
- Bloem, W. 2008. En passant. *The Star*, June 9.
- Botes, P. 2008. Till human voices wake us: As the attacks fanned across Gauteng, Mail and Guardian reporters and photographers followed the story. Here, in their own words, are some of the heartbreaking, and heartening, situations and people they encountered. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23- 29, p.8.
- Bourne, L. T., Lambert, E. V. & Steyn, K. 2002. Where does the black population of South Africa stand on the nutrition transition? *Public Health Nutrition*, 5, 157-162.
- Boutros-Ghali, B. 1996. Global Leadership after the Cold War. *Foreign Affairs*, 75, 86-98.
- Bowser, P. B. (ed.) 1995. *Racism and anti-racism in world perspective*, London, New Delhi: Sage Publications
- Burnside, J. 2009. *Australians are xenophobic* [Online]. Available: <http://www.smh.com.au/opinion/politics/australians-are-xenophobic-20091105-hzix.html> [Accessed 24 December 2012].
- Campbell, E. K. 2003. Attitudes of Botswana Citizens towards immigrant: signs of xenophobia? *International Migration*, 41, 71-111.
- Carter, C. 2008. Opposition gets a last dig at Mbeki over several crises. *The Star*, p.6.
- Carton, B., Laband, J. & Sithole, J. (eds.) 2008. *Zulu identities: Being Zulu, past and present*, Scottsville: University of Kwazulu-Natal.

- Cashmore, E. & Jennings, J. (eds.) 2001. *Racism essential readings*, London: Sage publications ltd.
- Chossudovsky, M. 1997. Exporting apartheid to Sub-Saharan Africa. *Review of African Political Economy*, 24, 389-398.
- Chronicles of fear. 2008. Mail and Guardian, May 23 to 29, p.5.
- Coplan, D. 2008. Crossing borders. In: Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) *Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Cox, A. 2008. Deputy president pleads for Ubuntu. *The Star* May 26, p.3.
- Crush, J. (ed.) 2008. *The perfect storm: The realities of xenophobia in contemporary South Africa*, Cape Town: Southern African Migration Project.
- Crush, J. & Ramachandran, S. 2010. Exporting apartheid to Sub-Saharan Africa. *Review of African Political Economy*, 24, 389-398.
- Dambrun, M., McDonald, D. A., Crush, J., Taylor, D. M. & Alain, M. 2006. The relative deprivation--gratification continuum and the attitudes of South Africans towards immigrants: A test of the v-curve hypothesis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91, 1032-1044.
- Danso, R. & McDonald, D. A. 2001. Writing Xenophobia: Immigration and the Print Media in Post-Apartheid South Africa. *Africa Today*, 48, 115-137.
- Davies, J. A. 1959. A formal interpretation of the theory of relative deprivation. *Sociometry*, 22, 280-295.
- De Klerk, F. W. 2009. SA needs jobs, not BEE: The gap between the haves and the have-nots hasn't narrowed since 1994, says FW de Klerk. *The Times*, July 16, p.19.
- De Lange, D. 2008a. 21 SA citizens died in xenophobia strife. *The Star* June 13, p.1.
- De Lange, D. 2008b. Fear of backlash against Bafana and others. *The Star*, May 22, p.10.
- Dibetle, M. 2008. 'Are the Chinese black?': This week black business groups joined forces in rejecting Chinese South Africans' access to BEE, seeing it as an affront to the black struggle. Monako Dibetle interviewed Chinese South Africans about their experience of apartheid and tested opinion in Soweto: 'Ching chong' like the k-word: Chinese South Africans lived an oppressed twilight existence under apartheid, never really accepted by any group. *Mail and Guardian*, July 4, p.14.
- Dlamini, P. 2008a. Uneasy calm returns to Reiger Park. *Sowetan*, May 22, p.8.

- Dlamini, P. 2008b. Winnie says sorry: Provincial ANC leaders visit victims of violent attacks in Alexandra. *Sowetan*, May 15.
- Dodson, B. 2010. Locating xenophobia: Debate, discourse, and Everyday Experience in Cape Town, South Africa. *Africa Today*, 56, 2-22.
- Du Toit, P. 2001. *South Africa's brittle peace: The problem of post-settlement violence*, New York, Palgrave.
- Dube, M. 2008. More hate attacks loom as aliens flee. *The Citizen*, May 19, p.3.
- Dubow, S. 1995. *Scientific racism in modern South Africa*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Eliseev, A. 2008. Hunting for foreigners: Under the cover of darkness gangs throw off the mask of civilisation in search for blood. *The Star*, May 15, p.15.
- Evans, S., Ncana, N., Pather, S., Mahlangu, D. & Swart, W. 2009. There is no excuse for the targeting of foreign nationals—President Jacob Zuma: The hate is back: Police evacuate foreigners and arrest 99 as xenophobic violence returns. *The Times*, July 22, p.1 and 4.
- Everatt, D. 2008-2009. That violence was just the beginning...views on 'Foreigners' and the May 2008 xenophobic violence as expressed in focus groups staged at the time. In: Everatt, D. (ed.) *South African Civil Society and Xenophobia: Case Studies and Synthesis Reports*.
- Everatt, D. 2011. Xenophobia, State and Society in South Africa, 2008-2010. *Politikon: South African Journal of Political Studies*, 38, 7-36.
- Fahmawi, S. I. 1987. *The dynamics of settler states: A case study of South Africa*. Doctoral Degree Thesis, State University of New York.
- Fauvelle-Aymar, C. & Segatti, A. 2011. People, space and politics: An exploration of factors explaining the 2008 anti-foreigner violence in South Africa. In: Landau, L. B. (ed.) *Exorcising the demons within: Xenophobia, violence and statecraft in contemporary South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Fauvet, P. 2008. Moz in bid to deal with mob violence survivors. *The Star*, May 23, p.3.
- Ferreira, A. & Mtshali, L. Z. 2008. Tourism likely to be first casualty: Overseas visitors are concerned about xenophobic violence across Gauteng. *Sowetan*, May 21, p.16.
- Fetzer, J. S. 2000. *Public attitudes toward immigration in the United States, France, and Germany*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Flanagan, L. 2008. SANDF asked to supply equipment, not troops. *The Star*, May 22, p.3.

- Flockemann, M., Ngara, K., Roberts, W. & Castle, A. 2010. The everyday experience of xenophobia: Performing the crossing from Zimbabwe to South Africa. *Critical Arts: A South-North of Cultural and Media Studies*, 24, 245-259.
- Frye, I., Farred, G. & Nojekwa, L. 2011. Inequality in South Africa. In: Jauch, H. & Muchena, D. (eds.). *Tearing us apart inequalities in Southern Africa*. Johannesburg: Open Society Initiative for Southern Africa.
- Fuphe, D. 2008a. Killed for hiring foreign workers. *Sowetan*, May 20, p.4.
- Fuphe, D. 2008b. We are all Africans here. *Sowetan*, May 20, p.5.
- Fuphe, D. 2008c. Zuma calls for calm as tempers flare up: Angry residents bay for blood. *Sowetan*, May 26, p.6.
- Gausset, Q., Kenrick, J. & Gibb, R. 2011. Indigeneity and autochthony: a couple of false twins? *Social Anthropology*, 19, 135-142.
- Gevisser, M. 2008. South Africa's second transition: Where is Mbeki's world elsewhere? *Mail and Guardian*, December 19, p.16-17.
- Gifford, G. 2008. Fearful crowd takes refuge in Joburg church: Worshippers at another church are attacked. *The Star* May 19, p.3.
- Girard, R. 1979. *Generative scapegoating* [Online]. Available: http://www.imitatio.org/uploads/tx_rtgfiles/Generative_Scapegoating_Girard.PDF [Accessed 21 February 2013].
- Gordon, S. L. 2010. 'Migrants in a 'state of exception': Xenophobia and the role of the post-apartheid state'. In: Mapadimeng, M. S. & Khan, S. (eds.) *Contemporary social issues in Africa: Cases in Gaborone, Kampala and Durban*. Pretoria: AISA. .
- Govender, S. 2012. Nigeria Slams SA as 'xenophobic'. *Times live*, March 11.
- Gower, P. 2009. Idle minds, social time bomb: Almost half of South Africa's young people have nothing to do...except rage against their circumstances, reports Primarashni Gower. *Mail and Guardian*, July 31 to August 6, p.3.
- Gqola, P. D. 2008. Brutal Inheritances: Echoes, Negrophobia and Masculinist Violence. In: Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) *Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Hanlon, J. 1986. *Beggar your Neighbours, Apartheid Power in South Africa*, Bloomington, Indiana University Press.

- Harber, A. 2008. Two newspapers, two nations?: the Media and the xenophobic violence. *In: Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press
- Harris, I. M. 2004. Peace education theory. *Journal of Peace Education*, 1, 5-20.
- Harrison, F. V. (ed.) 2005. *Resisting racism and xenophobia: Global perspectives on race, gender, and human right*, New York, Toronto Lanham: Altamira Press.
- Hart, A. & Yung, J. 2008. Refugees in mass suicide scare: Somali rescued after drowning bide. *The Star* June 9, p.1.
- Hartley, A. 2008. Sorry residents ask refugees to return. *The Star*, May 26, p.1.
- Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) 2008. *Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*, Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Hastings, M. 2008. Mbeki betrayed Mandela's legacy: The president's policies on Zimbabwe and poverty alleviation have plunged South Africa into crisis, writes Max Hastings. *The Star*, May 26, p.13.
- Heywood, A. 2002. *Politics*, New York, Palgrave macmillian.
- Ho, U. 2008. Chinese locals are black. *Business Report*, June 19, p.1.
- Hosken, G. 2008. Tension high among refugees in Pretoria camps: Intervention at ministry level being considered by local council. *The Star* May 30, p.7.
- <http://www.un.org/cyberschoolbus/peace/frame2.htm>. 2012. *About peace education, United Nations Cyberschoolbus* [Online]. Available: <http://www.un.org/cyberschoolbus/peace/frame2.htm> [Accessed 6 April 2012].
- Human Rights Watch 1998. *"Prohibited persons": Abuse of undocumented migrants, asylum seekers, and refugees in South Africa*, New York.
- Hyslop, J. 1995. White working-class women and the invention of apartheid: 'Purified' Afrikaner nationalist agitation for legislation against 'mixed' marriages, 1934-9. *The Journal of African History*, 36, 57-81.
- Jauch, H. & Muchena, D. (eds.) 2011. *Tearing us apart inequalities in Southern Africa*, Johannesburg: Open Society Initiative for Southern Africa.
- Johnson, C. J. 1951. Group Areas Act-Stage 1. *South African Law Journal*, 68, 286-305.
- Johnson, N. 2008. Copy-cat ethnic cleansing: Are political leaders using foreigners as scapegoats to deflect criticism from themselves? *Mail and Guardian*, May 16 to 22, p.4.

- Johnston, N. 2008a. Copy-cat ethnic cleansing: Are political leaders using foreigners as scapegoats to deflect criticism from themselves? *Mail and Guardian*, May 16-22.
- Johnston, N. 2008b. No consequences: Our culture of impunity', in Comment and Analysis. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23, p.31.
- Johnston, N. 2008c. 'Tell them we're from here': Nicole Johnston charts three days in the lives of a South African mother and son targeted as foreigners. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.13.
- Joubert, P. 2008a. Cape cops on full alert. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.10.
- Joubert, P. 2008b. Wiped out in SA: Pearlie Joubert reports the heart-wrenching tale of a Somali who lost six members in SA-where they fled for a better life. *Mail and Guardian*, October 3-9, p.3.
- Kalamane, K. 2008. Two killed in attack on aliens: Xenophobia: Mobs hunt down foreigners. *The Citizen*, May 13, p.1.
- Kalamane, K. & SAPA. 2008a. Joburg calls to halt xenophobic attacks. *The Citizen*, May 14, p.3.
- Kalamane, K. & SAPA. 2008b. Madiba warns South Africa...as Alex hatred turns tribal. *The Citizen*, May 14, p.1.
- Kapp, C. 2008. South Africa failing people displaced by xenophobia riots. *The Lancet*, 371, 1986-1987.
- Klaaren, J. 2011. Citizenship, xenophobic violence, and law's dark side. In: Landau, L. B. (ed.) *Exorcising the demons within: Xenophobia, violence and statecraft in contemporary South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Krog, H. 2008. Flames of Hate: Residents laugh as foreigner burns in his own blankets. *The Times*, May 19, p.1.
- Kumar, R. 1999. *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners*, London, Sage Publications.
- Kunda, J. L. 2009. Xenophobia in South Africa: Revisiting Tutu's handwriting on the wall? *A South-North Journal of Cultural and Media Studies*, 23, 120-123.
- Laband, J. 2008a. 'Bloodstained Grandeur': Colonial and imperial stereotypes of Zulu warriors and Zulu warfare. In: Carton, B., Laband, J. & Sithole, J. (eds.) *Zulu Identities: Being Zulu, past and present*. Scottsville: University of KwaZulu-Natal.
- Laband, J. 2008b. The rise and fall of the Zulu Kingdom. In: Carton, B., Laband, J. & Sithole, J. (eds.) *Zulu Identities: Being Zulu, past and present*. Scottsville: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.

- La Grange, B. 2008a. McBride gets call to beef up East Rand cops. *The Times*, May 21, p.4.
- La Grange, B. 2008b. Now refugees from violence face rape and extortion: Tents of shame. *The Times*, May 28, p.1.
- Laing, R. & Pather, S. 2008. the colour of money: Whites earn five times more than blacks in the same job--14 years after apartheid. *The Times*, May 9, p.1 and 4.
- Landau, L. B. (ed.) 2004. *Forced migrants in the New Johannesburg: Towards a local government response*, Johannesburg: University of Witwatersrand.
- Landau, L. B. 2011. Introducing the Demons. In: Landau, L. B. (ed.) *Exorcising the demons within: Xenophobia, violence and statecraft in contemporary South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Lawler, S. 2008. *Identity: Sociological perspectives*, Cambridge Malden, Polity Press.
- Lekota, I. 2008. Call to 'naturalise' African immigrants'. *Sowetan*, May 14, p.4.
- Lekotjolo, N. 2008. 2010 drug warning: UN report says South Africa has one of the highest abuse rates in the world. *The Times*, July 16.
- Leshilo, T. 2008. SA will not become: Appalling xenophobia riles man who spent years in exile fighting hand in hand with foreigners. *Sowetan*, May 29, p.10.
- Lever, H. 1976. Frustration and prejudice in South Africa. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 100.
- Liebscher, P. 1998. Quantity with Quality? Teaching Quantitative and Qualitative Methods in an LIS Masters' Program.
- Louw, L. & Kendall, F. 1986. *South Africa: The solution*, Norwood, Amagi Publications.
- Luthuli, N. 2008. Africa has let down its own people. *The Star*, June 9, p.9.
- Mabuza, K. 2008. All hell breaks loose. *Sowetan*, May 19, p.5.
- Mackenzie, C. 2008. Unfulfilled election promises lie at the root. *The Star*, May 21, p.13.
- Mail And Guardian. 2012. Dlamini-Zuma makes her case for AU chairperson. *Mail and Guardian* 15 July
- Makatile, D. 2008. Academics unpack causes of xenophobia. *Sowetan*, May 27, p.9.
- Makhafola, G. 2008a. 28 held at 3 hostels as SANDF, police team up. *Sowetan*, May 23, p.5.
- Makhafola, G. 2008b. Nothing like this in 100 years. *Sowetan*, May 15, p.5.
- Mancotywa, S. 2008. Nothing justifies this savagery. *The Star*, June 2, p.12.
- Mapumulo, Z. 2008. Now it's war. *Sowetan*, May 19, p.5.

- Marindze, A. C. 2010. *Diplomatic protection and xenophobic violence in South Africa: The case for reparation to Mozambican victims*. Masters of Laws (LLM) Masters Degree thesis, Makerere University.
- Maruping, R. 2008. 'I did not expect such a thing to happen'. In: Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) *Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Mashaba, S. 2008a. Community's xenophobia pledge. *Sowetan*, May 30, p.4.
- Mashaba, S. 2008b. I was paid to kill foreigners: Claims of third force surface. *Sowetan*, May 20, p.4.
- Mashaba, S., Dlamini, P. & SAPA. 2008. Tsvangirai visits victims of violence. *Sowetan*, May 23, p.5.
- Masombuka, S. 2008. Exiles boycott 'SA food'. *Sowetan*, May 30, p.4.
- Matsche, D.-N. 2001. Survey finds xenophobia rife in South Africa.
- Matsinhe, D. M. 2011. Africa's fear of itself: the ideology of makwerekwere in South Africa. *Third World Quarterly*, 23, 295-313.
- Mbola, B. 2008. *Chinese South Africans qualify for BEE* [Online]. BuaNews. Available: <http://www.southafrica.info/business/trends/empowerment/beechnese-180608.htm> [Accessed 2 April 2012].
- Mboyisa, C. 2008a. Attacks: Not us, says IFP. *The Citizen*, May 16.
- Mboyisa, C. 2008b. Bring "third force" proof: Holomisa. *The Citizen*, May 26, p.4.
- Mboyisa, C. 2008c. Zuma slams xenophobic riots: Settler troubles: Govt must sort them out humanly, legally. *The Citizen*, May 16, p.3.
- Mboyisa, C. & AFP. 2008. Mbeki's tv talk 'too little, too late': Anti-climax: President fails to redeem govt. *The Citizen*, May 27, p.1 and 3.
- Mcgowan, P. J. & Ahwireng-Obeng, F. 1998. Partner or hegemon? South Africa in Africa: Part two. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 16, 165-195.
- Meintjies, M. & Msomi, S. T. 2008. What a disgrace! Mbeki evokes spirit of Mandela, Luthuli to condemn xenophobic attacks. *The Times*, May 26, p.1.
- Memela, M. 2008. IFP will help to root out xenophobia. *Sowetan*, May 22, p.8.
- Mhlana, Z., Tolsi, N. & Alcock, S. S. 2008. 'Third Force' allegations abound. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.10.
- Michela, W. 2008. Lessons from a beleaguered continent. *New Statesman*, 137, 22-23.
- Mikulich, A. 2009. *U.S. xenophobia and racism—the presence of the past* [Online]. JustSouth Quarterly. Available:

<http://www.loyno.edu/jsri/sites/loyno.edu.jsri/files/USXenophobiaandRacism-Summer2009jsq.pdf> [Accessed 26 January 2013].

- Mkhwanazi, S. 2008. Plan to attack home Affairs. *The Star*, May 22, p.10.
- Mngxitama, A. 2008. We are not all like that: Race, class and nation after apartheid. In: Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) *Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Mnisi, P. 2008. State is not doing enough to educate people on refugees. *The Star*, May 15, p.11.
- Mogale, C. 2008. Exorcise the xenophobia demon. *Sowetan*, May 21.
- Molefe, M., Ncana, N., La Grange, B., Swart, W. & Mkhize, T. 2008a. Is this the new SA? Locals daub names on homes to avoid mobs mistaking them for foreigners. *The Times*, May 13, p.1.
- Molefe, M., Ncana, N., La Grange, B., Swart, W. & Mkhize, T. 2008b. The new SA: No foreigners allowed? *The Times*, May 13, p.1 and 4.
- Molosankwe, B. 2008. Foreigners fight over food, clothes and blankets: Life's a struggle at overcrowded police station. *The Star*, May 23, p.3.
- Monson, T. 2011. Making the law, breaking the law, taking the law into our own hands: Sovereignty and territorial control in three South African settlements. In: Landau, L. B. (ed.) *Exorcising the demons within: Xenophobia, violence and statecraft in contemporary South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Monson, T. & Arian, R. 2011. Media memory: A critical reconstruction of the May 2008 violence. In: Landau, L. B. (ed.) *Exorcising the demons within: Xenophobia, violence and statecraft in contemporary South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Morlan, G. K. 1949. A note on the frustration-aggression theories of Dollard and his associates. *The Psychological Review*, 56, 1-8.
- Msomi, S. T. 2008. ANC's Kgalema Motlante says faster police action would have saved lives: 'Too little, too late!'. *The Times*, May 23, p.1.
- Msomi, S. T., La Grange, B., Ncana, N., Swart, W. & SAPA. 2008a. Mbeki sends in troops: Tough new steps as violence spreads to two other provinces. *The Times*, May 22, p.1.
- Msomi, S. T. & Meintjies, M. 2008. Mbeki says attacks on foreigners 'absolute disgrace', SA is blemished. *The Times*, May 26, p.1 and 4.
- Msomi, S. T., Ncana, N., Mkhize, T. & Moeng, K. 2008b. 'We have no choices, nowhere to run'. *The Times*, May 16, p.1.

- Msomi, S. T., Ncana, N., Mkhize, T. & Moeng, K. 2008c. Zuma blasts attacks on foreign nationals: But not a word on the violence from Mbeki. *The Times*, May 16, p.1.
- Muzumbukilwa, W. 2007. *The impact of government migration policies on foreigners known as amakwerekwere in South Africa*. Master's Master's Degree Thesis, University of KwaZulu-Natal.
- Naki, E. 2008a. IFP denies link to township violence. *Sowetan*, May 27, p.9.
- Naki, E. 2008b. State to house victims of xenophobic attacks: Refugee camps in the pipeline. *Sowetan*, May 23, p.5.
- National Youth Service Corps: Official website [Online]. Nigeria: National Youth Service Corps. Available: <http://www.nysc.gov.ng/> [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Ncana, N. & La Grange, B. 2008. 'Kill the Shangaan': Authorities shy away from emergency rule as thugs start playing the ethnic card. *The Times*, May 21, p.4.
- Ncana, N. & Mkhize, T. 2008a. Winnie blames poor service delivery for persecution. *The Times*, May 15, p.1
- Ncana, N. & Mkhize, T. 2008b. 'Winnie: Violence born of desperation: Beggars for foreigners' forgiveness. *The Times*, May 15, p.1.
- Ncana, N., Nair, N. & Moeng, K. 2008. Police, army target hotels: Arrests continue as death toll rises and thousands flee. *The Times*, May 26, p.4.
- Ncube, C. 2012. *Xenophobia returns to haunt Gauteng: The influential South African Communist Party has condemned the latest round of attacks against foreign nationals, mainly Zimbabweans, in Gauteng*. [Online]. The Zimbabwean. Available: http://www.thezimbabwean.co.uk/news/zimbabwe/55847/xenophobia-returns-to-haunt-gauteng.html?utm_source=thezim&utm_medium=homepage&utm_campaign=listarticle&utm_content=textlink [Accessed 7 February 2012].
- Ndaba, B. 2008. Mother, daughter's fate highlights humanitarian crisis: Scores of fleeing immigrants trigger shortage of food at refuge. *The Star*, May 19, p.6.
- Ndlovu, N. 2008a. The 21st Century pencil test: Apartheid-era practice raises its head in Gauteng. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.7.
- Ndlovu, N. 2008b. 'They must leave or die...': Meeting between renegade residents' association and taxi drivers seems to have sparked violence. *Mail and Guardian*, May 16-22, p.5.
- Nell, I. 2008. The tears of xenophobia: Preaching and violence from a South African perspective. *Practical Theology in South Africa*, 24, 229-247.

- Neocosmos, M. 2006. From foreign natives to native foreigners: explaining xenophobia in contemporary South Africa. *Codesria Monograph Series*. Dakar: Council for the development of social research in Africa.
- Neocosmos, M. 2008. The politics of fear and the fear of politics: Reflections on xenophobic violence in South Africa. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 43, 586-594.
- Neuendorf, K.A. 2002. *The content analysis guidebook*, Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage.
- Ngwenya, N. 2010a. African expatriate communities in Africa: Their size, lifestyles and relationships with their sending state. *Africa Insight*, 40, 5-18.
- Ngwenya, N. X. 2010b. *Giants of Africa limp along-South Africa and Nigeria* [Online]. South African Institute of International Affairs. Available: <http://www.saiia.org.za/sa-foreign-policy-african-drivers-opinion/giants-of-africa-limp-along-south-africa-and-nigeria.html> [Accessed 17 May 2011].
- Nkomo, M., Mkwanazi-Twala, Z. & Carrim, N. 1995. the long shadow of Apartheid ideology: The case of open schools in South Africa. In: Bowser, P. B. (ed.) *Racism and anti-racism in world perspective*. London: Sage Publications.
- Nkosi, D. L. 2008. Give Zuma top marks for his resilience. *The Star*, September 22, p.13.
- Ntyintyane, L. 2008. The machete generation. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.32.
- Nwankwo, U. M. 2002. *Contemporary international system: The diplomacy of realism and idealism*, Owerri, Joe Nwamkpa Publishers.
- Nwankwo, U. M. 2003. *Globalisation: An approach to functional international relations*, Owerri, Reliable Publishers.
- Nwonwu, F. 2010. The neo-liberal policy, free movement of people and migration patterns in the Southern African Development Community. *African Insight*, 40, 149-168.
- Nyamnjoh, F. B. 2006. *Insiders and outsiders: Citizenship and xenophobia in contemporary Southern Africa*, Darker, London, New York, Codesria Zed Books.
- Nyasa Times. 2012. *Malawians seriously injured in xenophobic attacks* [Online]. Nyasa Times. Available: <http://www.nyasatimes.com/malawi/2012/02/02/malawians-seriously-injured-in-xenophobia-attacks> [Accessed 7 February 2012].
- Nyati, F. 2008. We must root out this scourge. *The Star*, May 28, p.15.
- Objectives of the scheme [Online]. Nigeria: National youth service corps: Official website. Available: <http://www.nysc.gov.ng/about/objectives.php> [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Offiong, D. A. 2001. *Globalisation: Post-neo-dependency and poverty in Africa*, Enugu, Fourth Dimension Publishing co. Ltd.

- Okolo, B. 2008. *The love/hate relationship between Nigeria and South Africa* [Online]. Nigeriaworld. Available: <http://nigeriaworld.com/articles/2008/may/261.html> [Accessed 4 January 2012].
- Olowu, O. 2008. Xenophobic violence in South Africa: an international and domestic legal perspective.16.Available: http://reference.sabinet.co.za/webx/access/electronic_journals/ifepsyc/ifepsyc_v16_n2_a21.pdf. [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Oloyede, I. B. 2008. Xenophobic attacks on black non-South Africans in South Africa: the communication imperatives. 16. Available: http://reference.sabinet.co.za/webx/access/electronic_journals/ifepsyc/ifepsyc_v16_n2_a7.pdf. [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Olukoju, A. 2008. Black on black : Xenophobic attacks and inter-state relations in post-independence Africa. 16. Available: http://reference.sabinet.co.za/webx/access/electronic_journals/ifepsyc/ifepsyc_v16_n2_a3.pdf. [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Onah, E. I. 2011. The Politics of Xenophobia: Race, National Groups and the Anti-Immigrant Violence in South Africa. *IFE Psychologia*, 267-279.
- Orfanos, A. 2009. Xenophobia is a struggle for survival. *The Times*, July 24, p.20.
- Patel, K. 2012. In tactical retreat, SA government apologises to Nigera. *Daily Maverick*, March 9.
- Peace Education Conference Meeting. 1998. Peace Education Theory. Peace Education Conference Meeting, 1998 Durban. Canadian Centre for Teaching Peace.
- Pedahzur, A. & Yishai, Y. 1999. Hatred by hated people: Xenophobia in Israel. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, 22, 101-117.
- Pelican, M. 2009. Complexities of indigeneity and autochthony: An African example. *American Ethnologist*, 36, 52-65.
- Petros, G., Airhihenbuwa, C., Simbayi, L., Ramlagan, S. & Brown, B. 2006. HIV/AIDS and 'othering' in South Africa: The blame goes on' *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 8, 67-77.
- Phaahla, M. & Darley, P. 2008. Tit for tat politics. *Mail and Guardian*, October 3-9.
- Pillay, D. 2008. Relative deprivation, social instability and cultures of entitlement. In: Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) *Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.

- Ponte, S., Roberts, S. & Van Sittert, L. 2007. 'Black Economic Empowerment', Business and the State in South Africa. *Development and Change*, 38, 933-955.
- Posthumus, N. 2008. Displaced to be moved to new Gauteng shelters. *The Star*, May 30.
- Rabinowitz, R. 2008. Lack of delivery partly to blame for the violence. *The Star*, May 21, p.13.
- Radler, M. 2008. RDP houses at core of the recent attacks: Housing officials say locals are acting illegally. *The Times*, June 9, p.3.
- Rasool, E. 2008. Spirit of the new SA has not been extinguished. *The Star*, June 19, p.13.
- Ratsatsi, P. 2008. Fleeing mom gives birth to triplets: Babies now without a home, food or clothes. *Sowetan*, May 22, p.8.
- Religious Leaders Training On Conflict Resolution. Workshop Training on Conflict Resolution 2013 Olwandle Conference Centre, Durban.
- Reuters. 2008a. Attack 'an absolute disgrace. *Sowetan*, May 26, p.6.
- Reuters. 2008b. Thousands march in Jo'burg. *The Citizen*, May 26, p.4.
- Richmond, A. H. 1994. *Global Apartheid: Refugees, racism, and the new world order*, Toronto, Oxford University Press.
- Roemer, J. E., Lee, W. & Van Der Straeten, K. 2007. *Racism, xenophobia, and distribution: Multi-issue politics in advanced democracies*, New York, London, Harvard University Press.
- Rondganger, L. 2008a. Tension has been brewing in the area for many years: Foreigners doubt that integration attempts will succeed amid hatred. *The Star*, May 16, p.6.
- Rondganger, L. 2008b. Two years of hard work lost in just seconds: They took everything he had, and told him he was lucky to be left with his life. *The Star* June 25, p.13.
- Rondganger, L. 2008c. Zuma grilled by militant audience. *The Star*, May 26, p.3.
- Rondganger, L., Radler, M. & Ngoiyaza, B. 2008. Refugee crisis Grows: 42 dead, 15 000 displaced-- and where are our leaders? . *The Star*, May 22, p.1.
- Rossouw, M. 2008. 'The Zuma factor: Locals sing umshini wam' as they attack foreigners. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.11.
- Rossouw, M. & Kharsany, Z. 2008. Where to from here?: Mandy Rossouw and Zahira Kharsany report on the plans to deal with the thousands of people displaced by the violence. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.6.
- SABC. 2012. *Dlamini-Zuma in Ethiopia ahead of her inauguration* [Online]. SABC. Available:

<http://www.sabc.co.za/news/a/2d8ffd804d109850a4bcbd7382fd7d0f/Dlamini-Zuma-in-Ethiopia-ahead-of-her-inauguration-20121310> [Accessed 11 December 2012].

Sachikonye, L. (ed.) 1995. *Democracy, civil society and the state social movements in Southern Africa*, Harare: SAPES Books.

Saideman, S. M. & Ayres, R. W. 2008. *For kin or country: Xenophobia, nationalism, and war*, New York, Columbian University Press.

Salgado, I., Robbins, T. & D'angelo, A. 2008. Oil spike to put most pressure on poor migrants: Baked beans to Rolls-Royce: Flying low cost. *Business Report*, May 23, p.2.

SAPA-AFP. 2008a. Politicians were out in force yesterday, trying to bring calm to a nation gripped by violence and intolerance: An African day of shame for South Africa. *The Star*, p.3.

SAPA-AFP. 2008b. SA and Nigeria discuss mob attacks. *The Star* May 22, p.10.

SAPA-AP. 2008. Refugees need help--Machel. *The Star*, June 12, p.6.

SAPA. 2008a. Army a police decision. *The Citizen*, May 21, p.3.

SAPA. 2008b. No compensation for Nigeria. *The Star* May 29, p.5.

SAPA. 2008c. SA's Chinese qualify for bee deals, court rules. *The Star*, June 19, p.5.

SAPA. 2008d. Xenophobic attacks 'a cause for shame'. *The Times*, May 15, p.4.

SAPA. 2011. *Polokwane shop owner robbed, set alight* [Online]. News24.com. Available: <http://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/Shop-owner-doused-with-paraffin-set-alight-20110810> [Accessed 7 February 2012].

SAPA. 2012a. South Africa apologises to Nigeria. *Sowetan Live*, March 8.

SAPA. 2012b. South Africans do not trust foreigners-study. *Sowetan*, October 10.

Saunders, C. & Southey, N. 2001. *A dictionary of South African history*, Cape town Johannesburg, David Philip Publishers (pty) Ltd.

Schwarer, S. & Mwelase, W. 2010. Young researchers philanthropy initiative: Stepping into the Breach: Philanthropic and civil society Responses to Xenophobia in Durban. In: Mottiar, S. & Sutherland, C. (eds.). Durban: Centre for civil society, University of KwaZulu-Natal.

Seale, L. 2008. Tsvangirai calls on displacees to go home. *The Star* May 23, p.3.

Seale, L., Smillie, S., Ngqiyaza, B. & Eliseev, A. 2008. Mob violence spreads to Diepsloot: Suburb resembles war zone as police battle to contain attacks on foreigners. *The Star*, May 16, p.3.

- Sekgala, S. 2009. Protest action is the best way to deal with ANC. *The Times*, July 24, p.20.
- Sennett, J., Sandler, D., Gobodo-Madikizela, P., Van Der Riet, J., Davies, J., Ackermann, T., Hill, A., Perkel, J., Raine, J., Canal, C., Dreyer, W., MCGibbon, N., Landman, M., Williams, F., Ponton, G. & Rogers, C. 2008. We Won't Be Bystanders. *Mail and Guardian*, August 8-14.
- Serrao, A. 2008a. Oppenheimers donate R1m to xenophobia victims fund. *The Star*, June 2, p.1.
- Serrao, A. 2008b. Thousands of rands pour into our operation reachout, as Vodacom pledges R400000: Thanks a million!: Here she is--Amanda smiles again. *The Star* May 29, p.1.
- Shapiro, I. & Tebeau, K. 2011. *After apartheid reinventing South Africa*, Charlottesville, London, University of Virginia Press.
- Shonisani, T. 2008. Panel to probe xenophobia. *The Citizen*, May 19, p.4.
- Sichone, O. 2001. The making of makwerekwere; East Africans in Cape Town. Interrogating the New Political Culture in Southern Africa; Ideas and Institutions.
- Sinwell, L. 2011. Obtaining 'Peace', Searching for Justice: Evaluating Civil Society and Local Government Responses to Xenophobia in Alexandra. *Politikon*, 38, 131-148.
- Smillie, S. 2008. Madiba donates R1m to fight against xenophobia. *The Star* May 30, p.1.
- Smillie, S. & Radler, M. 2008. The Star's readers answer our call to help victims of hate: Ubuntu!: SA CARES fund swells to nearly R600 000 on first day. *The star*, May 28, p.1 and 10.
- Smith, M. J. 2008-2009. The right to respond: A meta-review of the role of the South African Media's coverage of xenophobia and the xenophobic violence prior to and including May 2008. In: Everratt, D. (ed.) *South African Civil Society and Xenophobia: Case Studies and Synthesis Reports*.
- Solomon, H. 2003. *Of myths and migration: Illegal immigration into South Africa*, Pretoria, Unisa Press.
- Sonneborn, L. 2010. *The end of Apartheid in South Africa*, New York, Chelsea House Publishers.
- South African History Online. 1950. *The South African government passes the Group Areas act* [Online]. South African History Online. Available: <http://www.sahistory.org.za/dated-event/south-african-government-passes-group-areas-act> [Accessed 26 January 2013].
- Sowetan. 2008a. Sowetan says civic leaders must act now. *Sowetan*, May 14, p.14.

- Sowetan. 2008b. Sowetan says: 'Do unto refugees as you would them to you'. *Sowetan*, May 14 p.1.
- Sowetan. 2008c. Xenophobic violence: We know who's behind violence. *Sowetan*, May 21 p.5.
- Soyombo, O. 2008. Xenophobia in contemporary society: a sociological analysis. 16. Available:
http://reference.sabinet.co.za/webx/access/electronic_journals/ifepsyc/ifepsyc_v16_n2_a6.pdf. [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- Stacey, M., Carbone-Lopez, K. & Rosenfeld, R. 2011. Demographic change and ethnically motivated crime: The impact of immigration on anti-Hispanic hate crime in the United States. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 27, 278-298.
- Stapleton, T. J. 2010. *A military history of South Africa from the dutch-khoi wars to the end of apartheid*, California, England, Praeger Security International.
- Steenkamp, C. 2009. Xenophobia in South Africa: What does it say about trust? *Round Table*, 98, 439-447.
- Stewart, T., Ncana, N. & Msomi, S. T. 2008a. MEC doesn't think army needed yet. *The Times*, May 20, p.1 and 4.
- Stewart, T., Ncana, N. & Msomi, S. T. 2008b. Send in the troops: Human rights bodies call for radical action to end wildfire of violence. *The Times*, May 20, p.1.
- Tau, S. 2008. Mbeki condemns violence: President: Everyone must defend freedom, human life. *The Citizen*, May 26, p.1 and 3.
- Tejumaiye, A. & Oso, L. 2008. Mass media strategies to overcome xenophobic attitudes and behaviours. 16. Available:
http://reference.sabinet.co.za/webx/access/electronic_journals/ifepsyc/ifepsyc_v16_n2_a8.pdf. [Accessed 10 October 2013].
- The Citizen. 2008. Aliens 'will Fight Back'. *The Citizen*, May 20, p.3.
- The Citizen Reporter & Sapa. 2008. Xenophobes wrecking tourism: Panic: International markets balk over horror pictures. *The Citizen*, May 21, p.1 and 3.
- The Star. 2008a. ANC has put party first. *The Star*, September 22, p.14.
- The Star. 2008b. Foreigners on xenophobic attacks *The Star*, June 25, p.13.
- The Star. 2008c. This is a letter written by 9 pupils from the Oprah Winfrey leadership Academy for Girls: When will the hatred stop? *The Star*, May 26, p.9.
- The Times. 2009. Xenophobia might bite back. *The Times*, July 23, p.18.

- Trimikliniotis, N., Gordon, S. & Zondo, B. 2008. Globalisation and migrant labour in a 'Rainbow Nation': A fortress South Africa? *Third World Quarterly*, 29, 1323-1339.
- Tromp, B. 2008. Reiger park local laughs after 'necklacing'. *The Star* May 19, p.1.
- Tshabalala, T. & Dibetle, M. 2008. Inside the mob: Thembelihle Tshalala and Monako Dibetle speak to those who drove out foreigner'. *Mail and Guardian*, May 23-29, p.1 and 4.
- Tshetlo, T. 2008. Xenophobia epidemic spreads: East rand: Bloody weekend as killer mobs go on the rampage. *The Citizen*, May 19, p.4.
- Tutu, D. 2008. Archbishop emeritus Desmond Tutu's heartfelt plea: 'Please forgive us!'. *The Times*, May 21, p.1.
- Udeh, C. B., Uzodike, O. N. & Isike, C. A. 2013. Xenophobia, Intra-Racism and the Politics of exclusion: The Realities and Dynamics of Xeneophobic Violence in South Africa. *TOFAC 2013*. Lead City University, Ibadan: University of Ibadan.
- Vale, P. 2002. Migration, xenophobia and security-making in post-apartheid South Africa. *Politikon: South African Journal of Political Studies*, 29, 7-29.
- Van Der Dennen, J. M. G. 2005. *Frustration and aggression (F-A) theory* [Online]. Available: <http://rechten.eldoc.ub.rug.nl/FILES/root/Algemeen/overigepublicaties/2005enouder/A-FAT/A-FAT.pdf> [Accessed 21 February 2013].
- Vigneswaran, D. 2011. Taking out the trash? A 'garbage can' model of immigration policing. In: Landau, L. B. (ed.) *Exorcising the demons within: Xenophobia, violence and statecraft in contemporary South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Vos, U. 2008. Army of doubt: SANDF: 'Cash-trapped and overstretched. *The Citizen*, May 23, p.1 and 3.
- Vromans, L., Schweitzer, R. D., Knoetze, K. & Kagee, A. 2011. The Experience of Xenophobia in South Africa. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 81, 90-93.
- Webb, B. 2008. Xenophobia used by right-wing populists': Pahad slams media conduct, says journalists are lazy. *The Star*, May 22, p.10.
- Wimmer, A. 1997. Explaining xenophobia and racism: A critical review of current research approaches. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 20, 17-41.
- Worby, E., Hassim, S. & Kupe, T. 2008. Facing the other at the gates of democracy. In: Hassim, S., Kupe, T. & Worby, E. (eds.) *Go home or die here: Violence, xenophobia and the reinvention of difference in South Africa*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.

- Wray, Q. 2008a. Food spiral hurts rural poor most: Higher proportion of meagre income spent on meals: Price rises are steeper outside cities and towns. *Business Report*, May 30, p.1.
- Wray, Q. 2008b. Manuel vows to fix municipal mess: Minister blames weak politicians for poor service delivery. *Business Report*, June 6, p.1.
- Zondi, S. 2008. Xenophobic attacks: Towards an understanding of violence against African immigrants in South Africa. *Africa Insight*, 38, 26-30.