



The Involvement of Political Parties in the politicization of the South African Local Government bureaucracy: the political-bureaucratic relations between municipal managers, politicians and political parties

By

PHILANI FRANK RONALD MNCWABE

209519224

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Social Science in Political Science.

School of Social Sciences

College of Humanities

2020

Supervisor by

Mr Sandile Mnguni

DECLARATION

I, Philani Frank Ronald Mncwabe, know that plagiarism is to use another's work and present it as my own, and that this is a criminal offence.

I do declare that each significant contribution to and quotations in this dissertation from the work(s) of other people has/have been attributed and has/have been cited as such.

I do declare that this thesis is my own work.

I have not allowed, and will not allow, anyone to copy my work with the intention of passing it off as their own work.

Signature of Candidate

Date

As the candidate's Supervisor I have approved this dissertation for submission:

Mr. Sandile Mnguni

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere and profound gratitude to indlozi laseMncwabeni, eMabovini, naleko Phungula, for the protection and guidance through this course. I wish to express my profound appreciation to my uncle and aunty: Fr. Mthuthuzeli Mncwabe and Nombulelo Mncwabe for the support and guidance through out my journey of life. Many thanks to my football brothers (UKZN-PMB), SASCO comrades and SRC colleagues, family members, and other too numerous to mention. A special appreciation to Dr. Siyabonga Dlamini and my supervisor, Mr Sandile Mnguni for the advise and guidance in this journey. Finally, I acknowledge my late father and mother: Thandizwe Mncwabe and Senzeni Phungula, may their gentle souls rest in peace.

ABSTRACT

Several studies (Wilson 1887; Goodnow 1900; Weber 1946; Holcombe and Gwarteny 1989; Rahman 2014; Muhdiarta, Haning, and Ahmad 2017; Dasandi, and Esteve 2017, etc.) show that politicization of the bureaucracy is on the increase globally and as such these studies have been devoted to analysing the causes and impact of politicization on the bureaucracy. Such involvement in the appointments and functioning of the bureaucracy has been argued to be at the centre of controversies in the poor performance, mismanagement, and maladministration of government institutions. Politicization and political control of the bureaucracy have been primarily studied; the generally held perspective that the bureaucracy's politicization is at the core of public sector corruption, service delivery backlogs, governance and administrative failures, lack of accountability and transparency, and poor financial management.

Aside from South Africa's transition to democracy that is globally respected without a revolution, the country also inherited a racially politicalized bureaucracy. As part of transformation, reform, and gaining political control over the bureaucracy, the African National Congress government established the cadre deployment policy as an instrument for party loyalists to occupy prominent positions in the sector. While the politicization and political control of the bureaucracy is a global phenomenon and is believed to be the cause of state maladministration, this study attempted to gain some insight into the reasons and causes for the politicization of the appointments and functioning municipal managers in South Africa by political parties. The study also explored the impact on political-bureaucratic relations in the metro municipalities in South Africa, focusing on metros that are highly politically unstable, and such instability directly impacts the role and functioning municipal managers.

The study provided a historical perspective on the development of the local government, and the reviewed literature revealed that the politicization and political control of key officials in the local government has a long history in South Africa. The study drew from the literature on the Dichotomous and the Inseparable Political-Bureaucratic relationship theories in conceptualizing and analysing political parties' involvement in the bureaucracy's politicization and political control. The focus of the theories is on municipal managers' influencing functioning, especially in the awarding of tenders and the appointment of municipal staff. The use of political-bureaucratic relationship theories in exploring theoretical perspectives within the field of political sciences and public governance underpins the relationship between

political parties and politicians representing the political in government and the relationship between politicians and bureaucrats.

The study found a significant connection between the politicization of appointments as rewards for loyal supporters using the qualitative research approach and secondary literature. The study also found that there was also a significant correlation between the bureaucracy's politicization and poor municipal performance, corruption, and maladministration. Further to this, political contestations and in-fighting also impact the appointments and functioning of municipal managers. As the study was limited to a desktop analysis, it is recommended that further empirical study should be undertaken on the politicization and political control of government institutions in South Africa.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere and profound gratitude to indlozi laseMncwabeni, eMabovini, naleko Phungula, for the protection and guidance through this course. I wish to express my profound appreciation to my uncle and aunty: Fr. Mthuthuzeli Mncwabe and Nombulelo Mncwabe for the support and guidance through out my journey of life. Many thanks to my football brothers (UKZN-PMB), SASCO comrades and SRC colleagues, family members, and other too numerous to mention. A special appreciation to Dr. Siyabonga Dlamini and my supervisor, Mr Sandile Mnguni for the advise and guidance in this journey. Finally, I acknowledge my late father and mother: Thandizwe Mncwabe and Senzeni Phungula, may their gentle souls rest in peace.

ACRONYMS

AGSA	Auditor General South Africa
ANC	African National Congress
COSATU	Congress of South African Trade Unions
DA	Democratic Alliance
EFF	Economic Freedom Fighters
IFP	Inkatha Freedom Party
NEC	National Executive Committee
PEC	Provincial Executive Committee
REC	Regional Executive Committee
SAHRC	South African Human Rights Commission
SALGA	South African Local Government Association

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACRONYMS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND OF STUDY	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY	1
1.3 THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	2
1.4 PROBLEM STATEMENT AND SCOPE OF STUDY	3
1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY	4
1.6 RESEARCH AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	5
1.7 RESEARCH QUESTION.....	6
1.8 THE CONCEPTUALIZATION OF KEY TERMS	7
1.9 STRUCTURE OF THE STUDY	11
1.10 CONCLUSION	12
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	13
2.1 Introduction.....	13
2.2. Political-bureaucratic relations in Western Democracies	13
2.2.1. United States of America	14
2.2.2 France.....	14
2.3 Political-bureaucratic relations in Africa	15
2.3.1. Nigeria.....	16
2.4. Political-bureaucratic relations in South Africa	16
2.5 THEORIES ON POLITICAL-BUREAUCRATIC RELATIONS.....	17
2.5.1 Separate or dichotomous political-bureaucratic relations	18
2.5.2 Inseparable political-bureaucratic relations.....	19
2.6 POLITICAL PARTIES ON THE POLITICAL-BUREAUCRATIC RELATIONS.....	24
2.7 CONCLUSION	25
CHAPTER THREE: POLITICAL-BUREAUCRATIC RELATIONS IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT	26
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	26

3.2 THE LEGISLATIVE GUIDELINES ON THE POWERS AND FUNCTION OF MUNICIPAL COUNCILS AND POLITICAL EXECUTIVE	27
3.3 REASONS AND CAUSE FOR THE POLITICIZATION OF MUNICIPAL MANAGERS	29
3.3.1 Access to Political and Administrative Power over a Municipality.....	30
3.3.2 Party politics, political competition and gatekeeping	31
3.4 CHALLENGES ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE	33
3.4.1 Governance and Administration challenges.....	34
3.4.2 Political-bureaucratic power relations.....	36
3.5 IMPACT OF THE POLITICAL CHANGES IN THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE METROS	37
3.5.1 Ekurhuleni Metro	38
3.5.2 Nelson Mandela Bay Metro.....	39
3.5.3 Tshwane Metro.....	41
3.5.4 Johannesburg Metro.....	42
3.6 CONCLUSION	43
Chapter Four: Research Methodology	45
4.1 Introduction.....	45
4.2 Research Methodology	45
4.2.1 Justification for the use of Qualitative Research	46
4.3 Research Approach and Design.....	47
4.3.1. Descriptive Approach	48
4.3.2 Exploratory Approach.....	48
4.4 Data Collection.....	49
4.5 Data Results and Analysis	49
4.6 Discussion of Findings	51
4.6.1 Nature of Political-Bureaucratic Relations.....	51
4.6.2 Performance of Municipalities affected by politicization.....	51
4.6.3 Political killings linked with the politicization.....	51
4.7 Limitations of the Study	52
4.8 Conclusion	52
CHAPTER 5: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION	53
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	53
5.2 SUMMARY	53
5.3 OUTLINE OF CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS	55

5.3.1 Recommendations 55

5.3.2 Recommendations for future research..... 57

5.4 CONCLUSION 57

BIBLIOGRAPHY 58

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND OF STUDY

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This study's primary objectives and focus are to shed light on the nature and extent of politicization on the appointments and function of municipal managers with the South African local government sphere. This chapter discusses the study's introduction and background, the problem statement and scope of the study, the purpose and significance of the study, the research objectives as well as the research questions, and the conceptualization of key terms and structure of the study.

1.2 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

The issue of politicization of bureaucracies has been at the centre of controversies in government institutions' mismanagement and maladministration. As such, political scientists and public governance scholars have become increasingly interested in the manner and extent of the influence of politicians and political parties and the use of political control mechanisms in the appointments and functioning of the bureaucracy. The actions of politicians and political parties that are mentioned are an enduring phenomenon and challenge internationally.

Those who practice the politicization of bureaucracies are often sighted to use undemocratic and inimical practices to appoint government officials that are politically preferred (Kopecký et al. 2016:416). The practice is also viewed as political patronage through rewarding loyal members' positions in the bureaucracy: in most cases, this is viewed as a key obstacle to the social, political, and economic development of states. Thus, the argument is that politicization produces poor quality and poor performing state institutions; it also promotes public sector corruption and the government institutions' micro-management by politicians or political parties (Ban 2014:3).

Hence, various studies have looked at the politicization of the bureaucracy and the appropriateness of the relationship between politicians and public servants: differing views have emerged on the bureaucracy's politicization. Most of the studies advocate for the neutrality and separate operation of the bureaucracy, and the others call for the involvement of politicians in the function and operation of the bureaucracy.

This study takes a similar approach by seeking to add to the scholarly debate on having either having politicized or depoliticized bureaucracies to enhance government functioning.

Thus, the study seeks to explore and discuss the mechanisms used by political party structures in the politicization and political control of municipal managers and other senior staff; and how such politicization impacts the political-bureaucratic relations and the functioning of municipalities. Furthermore, this research intends to focus on how political parties' involvement impacts the political and administrative stability of municipalities. Secondly, the challenges of municipal maladministration, mismanagement of funds, corruption, municipal in-fighting, and poor service delivery are linked to the bureaucracy's politicization are also the focus of the study.

The study, therefore, attempts to look at the practices of politicizing the municipal bureaucracies within the South African local government sphere. Since politicizing the bureaucracy is based chiefly on appointing politically suitable candidates rather than merit, the practice has been viewed negatively (Booyesen 2017:333). The study further presents a discussion on both the negatives and positives linked with the practice of politicizing the bureaucracy, focusing on the South African local government sphere. As such, the study seeks to contribute to the body literature that explores the various mechanisms that political parties use to control state capacity, through the politicization of the bureaucracy by either cadre deployment or political appointment of managers that are loyal to the political party, and also influencing administrative and political decisions.

1.3 THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

As part of the study's contribution to the body of literature, the study employs the Dichotomous and Separate Relationship Theory and the Inseparable Relationship Theory as theories that address politicization and the practices of political control on the bureaucracy. Based on the theories, the study specifically explores the politicization of the bureaucracy in the South African local government sphere and its contribution to the existing challenges in the sphere. Since political parties' involvement in the politicization and political control over the political government and the bureaucracy provides a different dimension on the practice. The study uses the Politicised-bureaucracy model to analyse political parties' role and behavior in the politicization of the bureaucracy.

The aim is to assess the political control practices of the ruling political parties within municipalities concerning senior municipal officials' appointments and functions: by exploring whether the bureaucracy's politicization is the right approach to improve service delivery and the relations between politicians and municipal officials. The study will use existing literature to apply the conceptual knowledge of this study; as part of adding to the scholarly debate

around politicization and political-bureaucratic relations and also to recommend the ideal role that political parties can play in the development of competent and efficient local government bureaucracy, and the strengthen political-bureaucratic relations within municipalities.

1.4 PROBLEM STATEMENT AND SCOPE OF STUDY

According to Rhodes (1996), the relationship between government officials and the political government (politicians) has been a frequent source of tension. To limit such tension, the political parties in various countries have exercised several strategies to control government officials' appointments. In the ideal conception, these political parties want a politically suitable bureaucracy: that can administratively suppress opposition parties, develop and implement policies and programs that are pro-political-party, and ensure smooth working relations with the political principals. Thus, supporters of this perspective advocate for the bureaucracy's politicization and the strengthening of political control by political actors. Reddy (2016) concurs and argues that "that ruling parties politicized the bureaucracy to ensure that the political and administrative leadership share the same political ideology and vision to facilitate the policies and service delivery" (Reddy 2016: 338).

Booyesen (2017) further points out that such is South Africa's case; it is the ruling parties in most municipalities that influence senior municipal managers' appointments. She also acknowledged that while the African National Congress leads in most municipalities, it is not the only political party that practices politicization and political control (Booyesen 2017:364). Cameron (2003) concurs by pointing out that the Inkatha Freedom Party and Democratic Alliance also use the same strategy in the municipalities they lead. However, the challenge with the bureaucracy's politicization has received several criticisms and has been linked with several failures in the local government sphere (Cameron 2003:51).

Hence, one of the criticisms against the bureaucracy's politicization is the consistent diminishing interface between the administrative management, political leadership, and the ruling party (Muhdiarta et al. 2017: 794). Also, there is a lack of a clear distinction and separation between the political party as an organization and the political party in government. Such a lack of a clear separation can destabilize or undermine the legitimacy and functioning of municipalities and has been linked to the multifaceted challenges that confront the local government sphere.

Part of the challenges linked to the challenges is the incompetence and disorganization of the senior managers, lack of accountability and transparency, skill shortages and drain, corruption,

and maladministration (Koma and Kuye 2014:95). De Visser et al. (2009) further points out that most of the appointments made on political suitability rather than skills, knowledge, qualification, and experience; and such appoints are linked with the challenges of service delivery backlogs, leadership and governance failures, corruption, and fraud, poor financial management, and poor performance management.

As such, this study seeks to analyze the nature of politicization and the interactions between political parties, politicians, and senior municipal officials within the local government sphere. The aim is to investigate the methods and mechanisms used by political parties to influence municipal officials' appointments and the relationship between politicians and municipal officials. Also, part of the study's task is to look at the clash and interface of the values, roles, and interests of political parties, politicians in governance, and the municipal leaders.

Therefore, to improve service delivery and the local government sphere's functioning, the study proposes the need to reconcile that the clash of interest and roles between bureaucrats, politicians, and political parties through the assessment of political party influence in the political-bureaucratic relations and the function of municipalities. Thus, the study seeks to posit remedies that could improve the local government sphere and consequently reduce the bureaucracy's politicization with little consideration of the senior municipal managers' needed skills, expertise, and experience.

1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY

As perceived, politicization presents several challenges and problems to effective functioning and service provision in most municipalities. Several challenges are suggested to be caused by politicization and politicized political-bureaucratic relations: all these challenges cannot be addressed in one research. Furthermore, not much research has focused on the politicization of the government in South Africa. Most of the limited research has focused on the politicization of the political positions in government departments, focusing on the politicization of the appointment of senior government officials such as Directors-General, Deputy Directors-General, and Heads of Departments.

What is important is that not much research has focused on the politicization of the local government administration positions; especially, on the direct involvement of political parties in the political control of the municipal administrations. Thus, this study's significance is to explore the nature of the involvement of politicians and political parties in the local government sphere within the scope of the theories that the study used. Furthermore, to explore and analyze

the impact of the involvement on the political-bureaucratic relations; especially, the challenges that plague the sphere linked with the politicization of the bureaucracy and how to improve on the effective delivery of services within the sphere through political-bureaucratic relations.

Based on the literature on politicization and the political control of municipal managers' appointments and functioning in the South African local government sphere, especially in the used cases of the metro municipalities. The researcher will be in a better position to recommend which approach should on the political-bureaucratic relations. As the focus of the study is aimed at improving the functioning and the performance of the local government sphere across the country; thus, by addressing the relations between the governing political parties in municipalities and between the municipal politicians and the municipal officials, the effectiveness and efficiency of municipalities can improve.

More importantly, as the study looked at the role of political parties in the politicization of the bureaucracy and the political-bureaucratic relations, the study also reflected on the gaps and challenges that impede the effective and efficient functioning of the local government sphere. Thus, through the realization of the study's aims, the study seeks to add value to the existing body of literature on the aspects of improving service delivery, good governance and administration, and the improvement in the quality of appointed municipal officials.

1.6 RESEARCH AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

This study aims to provide an in-depth insight into municipal managers' politicization in the South African local government. Instead of the provincial or national government sphere, the focus on the local government sphere is based on the fact that it is the sphere of government that foot soldier of government that is closest to the people. Therefore, it is generally viewed as the implementing agent by the people, and whenever citizens are aggrieved by poor service delivery that protest to the municipality or ward regardless of the nature of services. Furthermore, it is the only sphere of government that is intrinsically multi-sectorial: with the mandate to bring together a variety of sectoral issues based on citizen demands, provincial and national government policy, programs, or projects (Atkinson 2002).

Therefore, the key mandate of local government through municipalities is to provide a decent quality of life and restoring communities' social and economic aspirations: this is the foundation for building a democratic, integrated, prosperous, and non-racial society (Ngcobo 2012: 20). Thus, focus on the involvement of political parties in politicization and the political

control of municipal managers' appointments and administrative functioning is aimed at improving the performance of the sphere.

In discussing the politicization and the political-bureaucratic relations, the study aims to improve the local government sphere; especially, in the provision of quality services, decreasing service delivery protest, and improving good governance and political stability in municipalities.

In conducting the study, the researcher will be guided by the following objectives:

1. To gain an understanding of politicized bureaucracy that is contextual to the involvement of political parties
2. To explore theoretical perspectives within the field of political sciences and public governance which underpin the relationship between political parties and politicians representing the political in government; the relationship between politicians and bureaucrats;
3. To determine the role played by political deployment or political appointments concerning the challenges in local government
4. To look at the possibility of establishing an ideal model that can serve as a vital tool for improving service delivery and leadership quality.

1.7 RESEARCH QUESTION

As already mentioned, the available literature on the topic has predominantly focused on the role and influence of political parties on members' deployment and function in political administration positions such as the mayor, municipal executive committee, municipal council, municipal speaker, etc. Not much research has focused on the politicization of the South African local government sphere; or the analysis of the relationship between politicians, the senior managers, and the political parties.

With several challenges that exist in the local government sphere that is linked to the politicization of the bureaucracy, this study aims to contribute to the growing knowledge body within the field of political sciences and, to some extent, public governance on politicized bureaucracies and the models that can be used to examine the relationships. Thus, the research plans to address the following questions:

1. In what way do the political-bureaucratic relation theories allow for political parties' involvement in the political-bureaucratic relationship?

2. What are the interest and reasons for the political parties' politicization of municipal manager's positions or the political government?
3. To what extent is the politicization perceived to affect service delivery's quality, efficiency, and effectiveness?
4. How can municipal efficiency be improved with the proper utilization of politicization of the bureaucracy or the creation of politically neutral bureaucracy?

1.8 THE CONCEPTUALIZATION OF KEY TERMS

This part defines key concepts essential to understanding better the politicization of the bureaucracy and political- bureaucratic relations.

1.8.1 Politics

The term 'politics' has no generic definition and has many meanings to different people; however, ancient Greek scholars such as Socrates and Aristotle defined the term as the 'Master of Science,' which aims to make citizens happy. At the heart of politics the creation of a governing institution; thus, scholars such as Weber (1946), Locke (1689), Dahl (1947), and Heywood (2002) have defined it as the 'art or science of governing,' which consist of the distribution of resources and exercise of power. Weber (1946) further added by characterizing politics as a struggle for power to control public affairs and the use of various means to maintain power.

Since the term politics cannot be separated from the use of power, Dahl (1947) also argued that power through politics is at the core of modern state political systems with the control, the influence, or authority over the human relationship in a state. Heywood also adds in support to Dahl, "that at the heart of politics are the debate, argument, and disagreement, this he links to the principles of conflict and cooperation at the heart of politics (Heywood 2017:58)." Heywood concludes that politics practiced in all social settings and institutions, which he breaks down into four categories when it comes to governance: "politics as the art of government; politics as public affairs; politics as compromise and consensus; and politics as power and the distribution of resources (Heywood 2017: 58).

On the other hand, Rahman (2015) viewed politics as "a power game and the politicians are the main actors or players in the power game." He further expressed the view that politics is about governance, with the politicians exercising state power on how the people are ruled and administering and regulating the affairs of the state (Rahman 2015:28). Hanekom et al. (1990)

referred to politics as the "aspiration for or retention of power over a jurisdictional area and its inhabitants by individuals or groups of individuals, inherent in which is the authoritative allocation of values in order to regulate or accommodate conflict within the community concerned (Hanekom et al.1990:126-127)." This study assumes that the group referred to are political parties made up of politicians who in most democratic states contest for state power.

In relation to the political and electoral system used in South Africa, political parties contest for power and govern through their elected members; even at local government ward councilor elections are contested through parties or independent candidates. More importantly, municipal council elections are contested through the proportional electoral system and based on the seats won; the municipal council is elected through political party representation. This government system views political parties as key actors in the contestation to access, retain and exercise power.

1.8.2 Bureaucracy

Krause and Meier (2005) argue that the study of bureaucracy within political science is as ancient as the study of politics. The literature on the bureaucracy dates back to the great empires and civilizations of Mesopotamia, Babylonia, Egypt, Persia, Greece, and Roma (Farazmand, 2009: 2-3). As such, these ancient civilizations considered the bureaucracy" as a state apparatus that is involved in law and order maintenance, policy implementation of developmental and monumental works (Rahman 2015:29). The bureaucrats were the scribes who interpreted the law and governed over the harvest and the allocation.

Kenneth Thompson (1970) argues that while bureaucrats' role and function developed with the advancement of civilizations, the English thought that the word 'bureaucratic' was a French 'disease.' It was French novelist Balzac *Les Employés* (1836) writing on the French state bureaucracy that provided the needed understanding when he used the French word 'bureaucratie,' which is a combination of the Word' bureau' which means a desk, office, or government department and the Greek word 'Kratos' meaning to rule. Heady, citing Pete Blau, explains the bureaucracy compliment the above: referred to the bureaucracy as "organization that maximizes efficiency in administration or an institutionalized method of organized social conduct in the interests of administrative efficiency" (Heady 2001:73).

In forming the modern organizational system of governance, the bureaucrats are also referred to as administrators or managers. Weber (1947) advocated that the bureaucracy is administered professionally, formally, and to be a rational structure of authority with incumbents with a high

competency level. Sager and Rosser (2009) share the classical perspective that politics and administration are two clear, distinct, and separate spheres. Since politics is concerned with the struggle for power and hegemony, policy development is based on political parties' activities and the differing opinions and values on governing.

Thus, the bureaucrats should be politically neutral implementers of policy with expert technical competencies. Cameron (2003) concludes that Weber's notion of the bureaucracy's key features are professionals selected based on merit and competence rather than political preference.

This classical notion of the bureaucracy by Weber advocated for the bureaucracy's need to be administratively professional in implementing policy and the execution of its other duties while being shielded from the political inference. Waldo (1968), differing from Weber on the separation and distinction between politics and the bureaucracy, argues that while bureaucrats have detailed knowledge and technical expertise, that report to politicians and influence policies. He concludes that politicians and bureaucrats need to have a mutual-interactive relationship (Waldo 1968:147-152). Since the research is trying to understand the nature of the political parties' involvement in the politicization and political control of the appointment and function of municipal managers. The study used both the definitions of the bureaucracy as a creation by politicians to serve in the implementation of policies: also as professionals with expert technical experience and competence that should not be subject to politics.

1.8.3 Political Control

According to Moe (2006), it is challenging to study political-bureaucratic relations without using political control either as a concept or theory. The term political control refers to the means and mechanism to which the political authorities use to control the bureaucrats' relationship and function, and he suggests that the relationship can be understood as one of a principal and an agent (Moe 2006:3). Now at the heart of the debate around political-bureaucratic relations is about limiting the political control over the bureaucracy by politicians (Bach et al. 2015:3).

Balla (1998) thus suggests that political control as a concept refers to the dictatorship of politicians in the administrative and financial decisions, the policy direction, and the distribution of resources. Ban (2014) further adds that the concept fits well when analyzing the use of principal-agent relations in governments. Moe (2006) thus concludes that there are various methods used to political control the bureaucracy; however, the most known and widely practiced strategy is the bureaucracy's politicization.

1.8.4 Politicization

In terms of literature, the term politicization is mainly referred to as the politicization of the bureaucracy, yet the terms also apply to the politicization of various institutions such as businesses, interest groups, schools and universities, government, etc. Gherghina and Kopecký (2016), in support, argue that most of the literature on politicization is on government, bureaucracies, political parties, and state institutions. It is worth noting that a growing body of literature seeks to analyze and discuss the various methods and means by which government institutions are politicized and subjected to political control.

Politicization is as a result of the influential interest groups or the government of the day assuming that for the effective functioning of government and the implementation of policies; it needs a civil service that is sympathetic towards the policies of the interest group or government, or a civil service that is part of the interest and actively supports the government (Cloete et al. 1997:20). With the key interest of the study on the involvement of political parties, it takes the approach of viewing politicization as suggested by Gherghina et al. (2016:408) as the appointment of party personnel is the intention to reward members for their political support, and the gaining control of state institutions of policy implementation (Gherghina and Kopecký 2016:408).

Madumo (2016) defines the bureaucracy's politicization as enforcing politics or giving a political tone and character to an institution. A simple way to view politicization is to consider the practice as a substitution of the merit criteria in the bureaucracy's appointment and functioning with the political criteria. The strategy is to appoint officials in key decision-making positions to promote overt or implicit the political interest of the political party or the government of the day (Cloete et al. 1997:21). Thus, the bureaucracy's politicization mostly happens through appointments and career developments of the public officials that are subject to the political will of the political party or the political government (Madumo 2016:83). The scholar concludes that for such appointments, there has to be indirectly or directly some form of political influence, interference, or manipulation that dictates the appointment processes and the functioning of those appointed in that particular government institution (Madumo 2016:83).

Boräng, Cornell, Grimes, and Schuster (2014) further add that politicization is based on the degree to which political agents control the bureaucracy, and the primary way of gaining control is through the appointment of members loyal to the party into key positions of decision-

making and influence. These scholars also conclude that the reasons for the appointments are based on political grounds rather than knowledge, skills, and experience (Boräng et al. 2014:6). Thus, the study on the politicization of the bureaucracy will provide an insight into the challenges and tensions in South African politics between the political control of municipalities and the competence; and most importantly, to shed light on the tools and mechanisms used for controlling the bureaucracy and the role of political control in the provision of tenders to the companies the political parties favour them.

1.9 STRUCTURE OF THE STUDY

Chapter One: Introduction and Problem statement

This chapter intends to contextualize the research by offering the background and outline of the research problem; the research questions, the aims, and the objectives of the study will be addressed and set out. The chapter thus also attempts to provide the conceptualization of key terms and the structure of the study.

Chapter Two: Literature review and theoretical framework:

This chapter looks at the general literature on government bureaucracies' politicization; the literature review looks at the various politicization practices from Western states, African states, and South Africa. The chapter further provides an in-depth discussion on the politicization of the South African local government sphere. More importantly, the chapter discusses the theories on political-bureaucratic relations and politicization. Thus the chapter discusses the various theories and models that view the relationship between politicians and bureaucrats as either dichotomous or inseparable.

Chapter Three: Political-Bureaucratic Relations in the South African Local Government

This chapter considers the perceptions towards the involvement of political parties and the challenges in the local government sphere linked to the practice of politicizing the bureaucracy. The chapter thus attempts to understand the nature of politicization, political control, and political-bureaucratic relations issues in the South African local government sphere; this chapter discusses the processes, means, or mechanisms that political parties or the political government use to politicize the appointment and function of a municipal manager in a municipality.

Chapter Four: Research Methodology:

This chapter presents the research methodology, and the research is a desktop analysis of the different dimensions and methods used in the politicization of senior municipal managers in the South African local sphere. Since the topic explores the behavioral aspect of political parties and the relations between politicians and bureaucracy, the exploratory and descriptive research method will be used. Also, through content analysis, the chapter seeks to find a correlation between political parties' involvement and municipal performance.

Chapter Five: Recommendations and Conclusions

This chapter provides the summary and recommendations that municipalities or the whole local government sphere can consider in trying to limit the negative impact of politicization or establish a political neutral bureaucracy that is responsive to the political imperatives of the political parties based on the party manifesto. The chapter seeks to recommend the alternative practices that can be practiced by political parties and the political structures in municipals in their relationship with the municipal officials and on how the politicization of the bureaucracy can lead to a complementarity functioning of the local government sphere. The aim is to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of local government.

1.10 CONCLUSION

In summary, this chapter has provided an introduction and background on the study, with its intention to discuss methods and means political parties use to politicize the local government bureaucracy and examine the nature of government's political-bureaucratic relations. Part of the chapter included identifying the research problem and question, the aims and objectives of the study, and the historical background into the development of the local government sphere in South Africa. The next chapter will then provide a theoretical framework on the politicization of bureaucracies and political-bureaucratic relations.

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the political-bureaucratic relations and the various means of control practiced by politicians on the bureaucracy. The chapter expands on the nature of the governance, political system, and political parties in the political control over the bureaucracy. The chapter also explores the relationship between political control, accountability, and responsibility of political office-bearers to political parties; and the nature of interactions between the political office-bearers and public officials.

It is also the purpose of this chapter to provide a general overview nature of the politicization of the bureaucracy; the focus is on the factors and institutions that influence the political control and the interactions between the bureaucrats and politicians. This is achieved by surveying the theoretical literature on political-bureaucratic relations: the chapter focuses on the dichotomous relationship theory and the inseparable relationship theory. Through the lens of these theories, the chapter looks at the involvement and dominance of political parties on the government's political control, especially in the local government sphere.

2.2. Political-bureaucratic relations in Western Democracies

Most studies on political-bureaucratic relations, politics, and governance are based on western democracies, as they are founders of modern democracies and systems. According to Rahman (2015), these studies have been key in formulating general assumptions, principles, practices, and theories concerning politics and governance. This perspective is also supported by, Aberbach, Putnam, and Rockman (1981), who argued that western democracies are trendsetters on the dilemma between specialization and professionalization of the bureaucracy and political control. For years the dilemma of undue political control in western democracies has remained a challenge.

From the above theoretical discussion, it can be said that political parties' role in the political-bureaucratic relations in government needs to be examined more deeply by political scientists and public administration. The different models and the principle-agent theory shape the theoretical underpinning on politicization, political control, and the nature of the political-bureaucratic relations. However, the notable things about the shift from a dichotomous relationship to an interface relationship are the challenges of bureaucratic autonomy, performance, sound governance, and political control. Scholars such as Blom-Hansen et al.

2018, suggest that the most significant challenge that still stands is on how to create the ideally balanced model of governance based on the political-bureaucratic relations influence, bureaucratic political control, and undue influence of the bureaucracy on the political agenda.

2.2.1. United States of America

The United States of America is one of the most studied countries on balancing political control and bureaucratic expertise and professional influence on the political agenda (Blom). Thus, scholars such as Woodrow Wilson (1887), Goodnow (1900), Max Weber (1947), etc., are considered as the pioneering scholars on the political-bureaucratic relations and have used the USA as a case study. To better understand the nature of the political- bureaucratic relations, we need to understand the American political system, which has the president's executive authority; thus, instead of a parliamentary system, it has spoils systems (Peters 2005:149). Pfiffner (1987) concludes that the spoils system's function is to ensure the implementation of the new president's policies and programs.

The same political systems apply in states and cities; however, according to Blom-Hansen et al. (2018) it is only those that hold the merit-based administrative position for a long tenure are considered as bureaucrats or career executives; and those that are most subject to the survival of the political principal are considered as political appointees. The USA's presidential system enables the president to make over three thousand political appointments in the bureaucracy; such politicization of the bureaucracy is suggested to be aimed at having a bureaucracy that is an agent of the political agenda of the principal (Stempień 2012:102).

Pfiffner (1987) believes that whenever new presidents take office, there is always a high level of distrust and enmity towards the bureaucrats, and as such, the political appointees serve as the agents of the president. Gailmard (2012) suggests that in such a relationship, the political appointees aim to have political control over the career executives' functioning, thus making them agents of the political principal. Based on the relations between the presidents and the bureaucracy, scholars such as Woodrow Wilson and Max Webber advocated for a dichotomous relationship.

2.2.2 France

In France's context with a Parliamentary system of governance, it has a hybrid model in terms of the relationship between politicians and bureaucrats (Quermonne and Rouban 1986:399). Thus, the relationship is more of mutual interaction between politicians and the bureaucrats; therefore, the bureaucracy is somehow de-politicized and with reasonable political control (Gherghina and Kopecký 2016:410). There is also a high presence and influence of the majority political party in the bureaucracy's functioning, through the backing of the Presidents in the

parliamentary processes and creating a complementary and politically responsive bureaucracy (Rouban 2007:478). Frezza and Goessen (2012) conclude that the politicization of a high administrative position in France has become a common rule; however, the appointments are based on administrative merits.

While the United States of America and France have different political systems and have different approaches to political-bureaucratic relations, these countries represent the general approaches of developing countries on the political-bureaucratic relations. In these countries, the political and administrative culture is centered on good governance inspired by the New Public Management reforms (Rouban 2007:473). This is supported by Dasandi (2014:11-12) analysis on political-bureaucratic relations: that developed countries tend to promote good governance through the appointment of civil servants with high technical, managerial, and administrative skills, who enjoy more autonomy and less political control; however, in developmental and the predatory state there is a shift towards increased politicization that is on political loyalty rather than on merit, less autonomy of the bureaucracy with high political control.

2.3 Political-bureaucratic relations in Africa

The discussion on the political-bureaucratic relations in the African continent in the context that African states are either developmental, underdeveloped, or predatory states. Also, the discussion extends to the key facts that affect the political-bureaucratic relations is the colonial legacy in Africa and the political interference in the recruitment, functioning, and promotion of the bureaucracy (Dasandi 2014:3). On the side of political interference, (Igbokwe-Ibeto 2019) concludes that across the continent, there is a blurring differentiation between the bureaucracy and politicians; since recruitment, functioning, and promotion are centered on political loyalty and clientelism.

For Miller (1975), the practice of politicizing the bureaucracy in Africa is based on the fact that several African states, when they gained their independence, wanted to create one-party states while others wanted one-party domination. Private international interest interests on the natural resources in African states also impact political-bureaucratic relations; thus, corrupt politicians need corrupt public officials administrator over the looting of resources (Mackenzie 2010:888). The scholar further adds looting of resources, clientelism, and corruption has led to some of the African states being classified as predatory states with military rule and military bureaucracy (Mackenzie 2010:888).

2.3.1. Nigeria

Among African countries, Nigeria is considered a political, military, and economic powerhouse in the continent. This is why a brief analysis of the country's political-bureaucratic relations would establish a dominant position on the nature of political-bureaucratic relations in the continent. Salami (2016) claims that the colonial legacy of Nigeria is one of the key factors that should be noted when studying the political-bureaucratic relations: for the colonial masters developed a bureaucracy that enabled them to successfully loot and extract both the financial and material resources without any accountability and the absence transparency. He further adds that this has created a culture of an uncooperative, corrupt, and incompetent bureaucracy that is recruited based on the corrupt interest of the private or politicians; on the other hand, this also created a culture of corrupt, greedy, wasteful, and parochial politicians (Salami 2016:180).

Agboola (2016), in support of the above, argues that after 30 years of being a predatory state, the democratically elected General Obasanjo continued with the entrenchment of both political and bureaucratic corruption. Due to political violence, the administration organized along ethnic and religious lines; the bureaucracy's recruitment was also based on ethnic and religious lines. Ojo (2016) concludes that most political-bureaucratic relations are based on a blurry distinction between politicians and bureaucrats: with the appointments centered on tribalism, nepotism, clientelism for extortion, and embezzlement of resources.

2.4. Political-bureaucratic relations in South Africa

Like Nigeria, South Africa also has a history of colonialism that ended in 1910 with South Africa under the Union of South Africa's governance system. Ginwala (1990) argues that from the analyses of the ANC, South Africa in 1910 become colonialization of a special type; for only the white society enjoy political power, racial privileges, the lion's share of the economy and land; while the black society was subjected to extreme national oppression, poverty, super-exploitation, a complete denial of basic human rights, and political domination. Leacock (1910) further adds that this formation of the Union and created the selection of the bureaucracy was still based on gender, race, the political, and the economic interests of the majority (British or Boer descendants) in that particular region. With the introduction of apartheid in 1948, South Africa had two different bureaucracies: the white administration and the native administration. Evans (1997) points out the selection and appointment to the public service during this era were based on having an administration that would serve and advance the apartheid ideologies.

Cameron (2010) views such a form of politicization by the administration as a mechanism by the apartheid to exercise bureaucratic control and have a politically responsive bureaucracy. Such tight control of the bureaucracy also impacted the merit appointments, for the appointments were subject to race and ideological simulation with the regime. Posel (1999) characterized the apartheid bureaucracy as Afrikaner, ill-educated, intolerant, boorish, and yet very powerful. He concludes that the politicization of the bureaucracy was the 'Afrikanerization' of the bureaucracy by the National Party; for even white liberal senior officials were replaced with loyal Afrikaner nationalist (Posel 1999:104)

With the collapse of apartheid, the African National Congress (ANC), due to the Afrikaner administration's mistrust, set on the objective to have a new constitution and public service that was no longer entrenched in the ideals of apartheid or that could threaten the very existence of democracy. Therefore, the ANC prioritized having a bureaucracy that was politically reliable and stable (Hyslop 2005:784); while the ANC was set on removing the Afrikaner officials, the 'sunset-clause' protected these officials until 1999(Cameron 2010:683).

From there, Booysen (2017) argues the ANC deployed its members into various key positions in the public service to play the inter-mediatory role between the organization's political mission and the shaking of things in the public service. Cameron (2010) concludes that the politicization of the bureaucracy by the ANC is spelled out in its Cadre Policy and Deployment Strategy. Therefore, this further entrenched the political patronage culture and the blurring distinction between politicians and bureaucrats since senior public officials' appointments, retention, and functioning are based on political party suitability.

2.5 THEORIES ON POLITICAL-BUREAUCRATIC RELATIONS

Presumably, with the key terms conceptualized in the first chapter and through the theorization of the political-bureaucratic relations: the study can better understand and discuss the politicization and political control on the appointments and administrative function of municipal managers. Since the study is within the field of political sciences, it essential to understand that a key element of politics is about 'who gets what, when, and how; as such political scientists are concerned with examining the conflictual and consensual administration of public affairs by political actors. At the same time, public administration and governance are considered concerned with implementing policies and governance.

The study is concerned with politics and governance, especially concerning political parties' involvement and bureaucrats' behavior towards politicians and political parties. As Rahman (2015) correctly suggested that "probably the most elemental and theoretical debatable issue for millennia to political scientists and public administrations is that – what will be the apt relationships between the politicians and the bureaucrats (Rahmans 2015:41)." The study now looks at the theories that discuss political-bureaucratic relations. The theories around political-bureaucratic relations are divided into two different schools of thought: the dichotomous lead by Weber; and Waldo's interface.

2.5.1 Separate or dichotomous political-bureaucratic relations

The founding fathers of the separate or dichotomous relationship are Wilson, Weber, and Goodnow: who advocate that administrators (bureaucrats) needed to be shielded from the interference of politics. Wilson, cited by Tahmasebi and Musavi, wrote: "The field of administration is a field of business. It is removed from the hurry and strife of politics: that the administration lies outside the proper sphere of politics. Administrative questions are not political questions. Although politics sets the administration tasks, it should not be suffered to manipulate its offices (Tahmasebi and Musavi 2001:131)."

Tahmasebi and Musavi(2001) thus suggest that the administration needs to be shielded from political interference. The primary concern for these scholars was the corrupting and politicizing involvement of party organizations in the administrative affairs, argues Tahmasebi and Musavi (2001:132). Sharing a similar line of thought, Goodnow (1900) argued that the function of politics in government is to express the popular will, with the administrators' role to execute the popular will through the state machinery. He concluded that certain aspects of the administration need to be shielded from politics (Goodnow 1900:9-10).

This argument's rationale is that the political control over the administrative function produced an inefficient administration, for the concern is compliance with the political party's wishes rather than the execution policy (Goodnow 1900:83). Weber also draws a sharp distinction and separation between politicians and administrators: firstly, those civil servants should not participate in politics instead administer the implementation of policies impartially and remain politically neutral (Weber 1946: 27). Since bureaucrats are appointed on a specific set of competencies, where specialized professionals work on written rules, politics threatened

administrators' impersonality and impartiality. Scott Furlong suggests that scholars such as Wilson and Goodnow focused on the bureaucracy's autonomy in the policy implementation processes (Furlong 1998:39-40).

However, critics of the dichotomous politics and bureaucratic relations model by Weber and Wilson assumed the bureaucrats had a blind and neutral allegiance to politicians. Robert Mertons (1940), offering his critic, developed a 'dysfunctional bureaucracy' his argument based on the bureaucracy becoming obsessed with procedural compliance. This was a bureaucracy that Weber ignored; a bureaucracy loses focus of its purpose within government: implementing policies and service; thus, the bureaucracy becomes a rigid bureaucracy (Mertons 1940:95).

Chipkin and Lipeitz (2012) are supported also argued that the increasing criticism came when the bureaucracy failed to live up to its characterization from Weber and Wilson; instead, it became "too rigid, routinized and process-bound administrations, and it was unable to meet the increasingly diverse needs of fast-changing societies.... Weakness both in terms of the efficiency-the traditional bureau was wasteful, indeed easily prone to rent-seeking and it lacks political accountability (Chipkin and Lipeitz 2012:3)." Bosworth in his article 'A manager is a Politician' argues that "by placing the manager directly in public view, accentuates public interest in how this kind of bureaucrat operates as a political leader (Bosworth 1985:216)." To conclude the criticism of the dichotomous relationship between politicians and bureaucrats, Rohr (1989:35-36) offers a deductive argument:

- "1) Politics can be defined variously as "the authoritative allocation of values" as the determination of "who gets what, when, and how".
- 2) Public administration is involved in all of these activities;
- 3) Therefore, public administration is involved in politics and can rightly be called political itself."

2.5.2 Inseparable political-bureaucratic relations

Several contributions have been made toward the study political-bureaucratic interface by different scholars; Dwight Waldo still stands as the most prominent. Waldo (1987), taking a rather critiquing perspective of the Weberian bureaucracy, argued that it is empirically difficult to separate the administration from politics, and vice versa, for both, have the function to serve the state will (Waldo 1987:91). Werlin (2001) recognized that Waldo's critics are based on the

premise that Weber, Wilson, and Goodnow fail to recognize the role of political theories in public administration theories; thus, these scholars advocated for the separation of politics from the administration.

Waldo further suggested that public administration scholars ignore the combined role of public administration in executing politicians' decisions with creativity, innovation, and professionalism with obedience (Werlin 2001:290). His perspective was public administration, and political science scholars need to understand the classical definition of politics, "the relationship of leadership to followership for the purpose of governance (Wolin, 1960:66)." Sotiropoulos (1994) also agrees by stating that such a relation viewed politicians and bureaucrats' interplay. As politicians being 'energizers,' they formulate state will vision and conception of policy, and while bureaucrats' equilibrators' based on expert knowledge equilibrate and accommodate conflicting interest in the execution of the state will.

For Waldo (1978), this is the nature and interrelation of politics and administration relations in which the process of institutionalizing the values of allocation of resources and the pursuit of power needs a strong relationship between politicians and administrators. The involvement of politics in the administration should not be viewed as an interference and vice versa; instead, essential ingredient to the effective execution of state will (Waldo 1987: 91). Scholars such as Riggs (2001) argue that Waldo advocated for a reciprocal relationship between politicians and bureaucrats; this was to avoid the bureaucracy's rigid role, the lack of accountability of bureaucracy, and the poor public service that exists. Both politicians and bureaucrats work together to pursue good governance and efficiency in service provision; both parties support each other mutually (Svara 2001:179).

The values of allocation of resources cannot be imposed, nor can power be pursued without regulating frameworks (Werlin 2001:291). With these overlapping roles between politicians and administration, there is a possibility of conflictual or cooperative relations between these actors (Jacobsen 2001: 22); however, Moore (1995) suggest that based on the concept of political management as political-relations can be either the politicked-bureaucracy model, complementary or collaborative model.

2.5.2.1 Politicised-Bureaucracy Model

Mafunisa (2003), analyzing the politics-administration interface's conceptualization, characterizes the politicized bureaucracy model as having no diction between the political party and government inclusive of both the political government and the administration. The political

party structures impose the appointment and the administrative decisions; more importantly, the appointments are on the allegiance to the ruling political party, and sometimes the skills, knowledge, experience, and expertise are ignored.

Doullah (2016) acknowledges that the politicization of the bureaucracy differs from country to country based on the degree, the nature, and the extent of politicization on the political system. The scholar offers a different perspective to Mafunisa, suggesting that while the Politicized-Bureaucracy Model is about the extent and degree of involvement or influence; however not all forms of influence or involvement are done consciously, and such actions are not limited to the ruling party, the opposition parties can also politicize the bureaucracy (Doullah 2016:30).

The Politicized-Bureaucracy Model's fundamental underpinnings are about the nature and extent that politicians control the bureaucracy through the appointment of political party members or allies into key strategic positions. The challenge with the bureaucracy's politicization is that it is linked to increased government corruption, bribes, and kickbacks aimed at the politicians' financial and political interests (Doullah 2016:31).

Now the key challenge with the Politicized-Bureaucracy Model is that it is argued to impair the bureaucratic skills. For the appointments, functioning and dismissals are based on the political criteria rather than merit system, and thus, due to the political pressure from the politicians and the weak incentives for the development of the bureaucrats, this creates a high turnover of the bureaucrats (Boräng et al. 2014:7).

This challenge contributed to the negative perception of the Politicized-Bureaucracy Model universally, for it reviewed as a substitution of merit-based criteria appointment and functioning of the public service with the use of the political criteria, and this is argued to negatively impact on the government's efficiency and public trust of government (Ban 2014:4). It is important to note that not all politicians or political parties in the politicized bureaucracy model seek to control the bureaucracy for corrupt reasons.

2.5.2.2 Complementary Model

It is clear from the literature that the above theories see that Political-Bureaucratic Interface is essential to the development and the strengthening of sound governance. However, a useful approach is still needed to help us better understand that in what way politicians and bureaucrats should relate. Svara (2001) suggests that it is crucial to consider the complementary model to understand how politicians and bureaucrats should relate.

The model deals with the reasoning that both politicians and bureaucrats have the common interest to pursue good and sound governance; this interest is based on having competent policies and having a responsive public service (Svara 2001:180). The interest of both play a significant role in understanding how the relationship should be.

Waldo advocated that politicians always want to be in a relationship that can enhance their political action.

This means that through the complementary model, each participant's benefits are clearly outlined and what each will gain. Svara (2001) notes under these models, both the politicians and bureaucrats understand their distinct but overlapping roles; therefore, the relationship between the two stakeholders is reciprocal and interdependent. The relationship is consensual and cooperative: politicians set the political and governance direction, probe areas on the poor performance of policies, and attempt to fine-tune the concern areas and maintain oversight over the bureaucrats and implementation. While the focus on the expert and professional capacity in policy-shaping; and provide technical content in the process implementation, service delivery, and good governance (Carboni 2010:90). With this model, a high level of political control cannot exist without undermining the bureaucracy's professional function (Carboni 2010:90). The model is based on the following key characteristics:

- interdependence along with distinct roles;
- compliance along with independence;
- respect for political control along with a commitment to shape and implement policy in ways that promote the public interest;
- deference to elected incumbents along with adherence to the law and support for fair electoral competition; and appreciation of politics along with support for professional standards (Svara 2001:179).

Demir (2009) concludes that the model "accepts overlapping roles and reciprocal influences between elected officials and public administrators in governance (2009:887)." This model reconciles the noted contradictions and weakness of the dichotomous model; by recognizing the interdependent and reciprocal influence of politicians and administrators (Svara 2001:179). A great deal of the models is based on mutual respect and support, where one complements and completes the other to create a whole (Carboni 2008:1).

This model also considers environmental changes in the governing processes; they could expand politicians' political control and weaken the independence of administrators or increase the influence of administrators in both political and administrative decisions and weaken the

oversight role of politicians (Svara 2001:180). In the complementary model, politicians are the ones that set direction to the nature of the relationship, which is simply the interface between political control by politicians and the professional interdependence and independence of administrators (Svara 2001:180). The type of government system and the influential power of political parties or politicians as individuals determine the level of administrators (Fukuyama 2013: 359-362).

2.5.2.3 Collaborative Model

As had already been noted above, the relationship between politicians and bureaucrats needs not to be distinct and separate; instead, they need to have overlapping interdependent roles. Thus, Dasandi and Esteve (2017) suggest that the collaborative model is good, especially for developing and developmental states- governments are actively promoting socio-economic development, and the dependence on democracy is development. The nature of the engagement and the factors that shape the engagements are fundamental for development; these scholars proposed that a politicized or political pro bureaucracy is ideal. As such in the collaborative model, there is a very low separation between politicians and bureaucrats: with the influence of the bureaucrats in policy formulation, direction, and implementation; and politicians ensure that the bureaucrats are subordinate, share the same political background, and carrying out the roles to serve the political interest of politicians (Dasandi and Esteve 2017:232).

Cameron (2003) suggests that bureaucrats needed to "politically suitable and acceptable," and that does not seem to be dismissed in this model. However, in this model, the bureaucrats are still highly skilled and are selected on merit based on professional expertise and knowledge; they need to be also politically suitable and acceptable. Dasandi and Esteve conclude these are key characteristics of the collaborative model:

- "Core group or "cadre" of developmental elites consisting of senior politicians and bureaucrats.
- The unusually high degree of bureaucratic influence in proposing and design of policies.
- An esprit de corps among the political and bureaucratic elites based on development objectives.
- Shared class and education backgrounds of political and bureaucratic elites.
- Coherent and meritocratic bureaucracies.
- Movement between bureaucratic and political positions.
- Bureaucracy subsumed within the dominant political party" (Dasandi and Esteve 2017: 233).

2.6 POLITICAL PARTIES ON THE POLITICAL-BUREAUCRATIC RELATIONS

The majority of research on the politicization of the bureaucracy and political-bureaucratic relations argues that the interface or dichotomous relationship is simply between political office-bearers in government and the bureaucrats. The relationship is dependent on the nature of institutional arrangements of the state. Allern et al. (2011) view institutional arrangements were "the bureaucracy and meritocratic systems, the less are party organizations, cabinets and individual politicians able to reach into the state and make politically slanted appointments." The perspective shared by these scholars is that political parties can influence both the appointment and functioning of bureaucrats; their focus, however, is on patronage and non-patronage appointments (Allern et al., 2011:2).

The key question is, in what ways and means do political parties utilize to influence the appointment and functioning of bureaucrats? Is the influence aimed at attaining political control over the bureaucracy or create a complementary model of governance? The complementary model supporters advocate that bureaucrats and politicians share the same political ideals; what differs is the role and responsibility.

The role of politicians is to come with their political manifesto and oversee the operation of the bureaucracy in implementation; on the other hand, the role of bureaucrats is to provide the necessary technical and expert support in the process of policy development and implementation, provide professional services in line with the principle of governance independent from political pressure. Contrary to the above model is the political control model, this model suggests that through the political office-bearers appoint senior management and general staff to control bureaucrats and the civil service (Mafunisa 2003:88).

The sharp distinction between these models: is that in the complementary model's appointment, and the function of bureaucrats is based on the meritocratic system first; in the political control model, the appointments are made allegiance to the ruling party, and in most cases, the appointments are on based on the merit system. Schreurs et al. (2012) argue that to understand better political-bureaucrats relations, both political science, and public administration scholars need to consider the third-party influence, as they have significantly shaped and changed the political-bureaucratic relations and functions.

This study looks at political parties as the third party that either influences or directly control political-bureaucrat relations to create a complementary political-bureaucratic administration. The description of political parties supports this approach by the Electoral Institute of Southern Africa as: "organized groups that are formed with the sole purpose of articulating and aggregating the interest of the group, contesting control over state power and government, and directing a country's development process in with their ideological orientations and their framework" (Matlosa and Shale 2008:20).

Political parties can be defined as interest groups focused on exercising government power through the winning of the political office; thus acting as the voters' representatives in their control of governance, how they rule, and they allocate and distribute resources, according to Heywood (2003). This means that political parties are accountable to the voters; thus, any politician deployed by the political party in public office is accountable both to the political party and the citizens; with the interest of retaining governing power of the state machine, during the election, they once again go back to the voters needing their vote.

2.7 CONCLUSION

From the above theoretical discussion, it can be said that political parties' role in the political-bureaucratic relations in government needs to be examined more deeply by political scientists and public administration scholars. The different Inseparable Theory models shape the theoretical underpinning on politicization, political control, and the nature of the political-bureaucratic relations. However, one notable thing is that the shift from a dichotomous relationship to an interface relationship still has issues of bureaucratic autonomy, performance, sound governance, and political control of bureaucrats. The challenge still stands on creating the ideal balanced model of governance based on political-bureaucratic relations.

CHAPTER THREE: POLITICAL-BUREAUCRATIC RELATIONS IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The previous chapters have alluded that politicization and political control over the bureaucracy by political parties or political agents have been viewed with negativity and perceived to be at the centre of government maladministration, corruption, and service delivery failure. Thus, in an attempt to understand the nature of politicization, political control, and political-bureaucratic relations issues in the South African local government sphere; this chapter discusses the processes, means, or mechanisms that political parties or the political government use to politicize the appointment and function of a municipal manager in a municipality. The chapter examines politicization practices in the local government sphere, specifically on municipal managers from post-2001 and till currently. The chapter uses reported cases of politicization and existing literature on the topic to discuss such a phenomenon. The chapter is made of five sections and is guided by the following research questions:

- What are the interest and reasons for the political parties' politicization of municipal manager's positions or the political government?
- To what extent is the politicization perceived to affect the quality, efficiency, and effectiveness of service delivery?

The first section covers the legislative guidelines for the employment and function of municipal managers concerning the powers and function of the political government and the external political party structure. The second section discusses the causes and reasons for political or patronage appointments of municipal managers by political parties or political agents. The third section discusses the challenges on the local government sphere that are argued or perceived to be linked with the challenge of politicization and political control and how these challenges impact the local sphere's performance and function. The fourth section covers the changing nature of politics in the local government sphere and how this impacts the political relations within the sphere, focusing on coalition governments, especially in the metro municipalities. The fifth section concludes the chapter.

3.2 THE LEGISLATIVE GUIDELINES ON THE POWERS AND FUNCTION OF MUNICIPAL COUNCILS AND POLITICAL EXECUTIVE

While the literature on the political-bureaucratic relations is somehow limited in terms of the legislative guidelines on the municipal council's powers and functions, executive mayors, and municipal managers in South Africa. Cameron (2010) suggests that the country's laws clearly define the roles and functions: starting from the Constitution, Municipal Systems Act, the Municipal Structures Act, and Regulations for the Appointment of Municipal Managers. The South African local government sphere has 257 municipalities, with each having a municipal manager: 8 metro municipal managers, 44 district municipal managers, and 205 local municipal managers (Pretorius 2017:38).

Due to politicization in the recruitment and function of municipal managers, it is reported that in 2018 only 94 municipalities had adhered to the legislative guidelines in terms of employing municipal managers with the relevant required qualifications, skills, and experience(Davis 2018). While more than 40 municipalities have acting-municipal managers: there could be reasons why municipalities are not adhering to the legislative guidelines and prescripts; however, this study considers the contravention of the legislative prescripts as politically motivated. Adding to the crisis is the high staff turnover and delays in making appointments, especially when municipal councils do not adhere to the guidelines and prescripts (Davids 2018).

In terms of the provisions of the Constitutions, sections (160) "stipulates the powers and functions of municipal councils are to make decisions concerning the exercise of all the powers and the performance of all the functions of the municipality; must elect its chairperson; may elect an executive committee and other committees, subject to national legislation; and may employ personnel that is necessary for the effective performance of its functions." Pasquini and Shearing (2014) argue that politics is central to municipal councils' functioning; therefore, most municipal councils' decisions are politically motivated. This includes the ability to employ persons, and the position of the municipal manager is by no means excluded; instead, it is one of the most- highly prioritized posts (Pasquini and Shearing 2014: 290).

The reasons for the prioritization of the municipal manager position, according to Mashimate (2014), is that the municipal manager is the head of the administration and the driver of the vehicle by which the municipal council provides services to the citizens, and a key person in meeting needs political interest and expectations of the ruling party in a municipality. Cameron (2010) concludes that the municipal managers' politicization firstly creates unclear roles

between municipal managers and members of the municipal executives; secondly, due to the undue political influence and interference by political parties, there is an inappropriate relationship between political party structures and municipalities. Hence in most cases where there is an inappropriate relationship or involvement, there is also a contradiction of mandates, patronage, and corruption (Mehlape 2018:331).

Also, a key challenge in the legislative provisions, they do not speak about a municipal council's political interest. The Municipal Structures Act dictates that it is the prerogative and responsibility of the municipal council to appoint a municipal manager as the head of administration and the accounting officer of a municipality. Such a municipal council's responsibility is also outlined in the Local Government: Regulations on Appointment and Conditions of Employment for Senior Managers document: which indicates that the municipal council must appoint a selection panel for the appointment. It is important to note that the selection panel, similar to the municipal council, is a political structure with added administrative capacities. Since the chairperson in most instances is the municipal mayor, with at least one municipal councilor, and one person who is not councilor or member staff of the municipality that has the expertise or experience in the post.

The selection panel's responsibility consists of compiling the shortlist of the candidates: it conducts the interviews and makes commendations to the Municipal Executive Committee. The executive committee that the mayor chairs provides the municipal council with the recommended candidate: section (18) of the Regulations further stipulates that the municipal council must consider the executive committee's recommendations and decide on the appointment. Such function of the executive committee or executive mayor is also entailed in section (30) (5)(c) of the Municipal Structures Act: the committee should furnish a report to the council on the recommendation on the appointment and the condition of employment of the municipal manager.

Surty (2010) points out that based on the employer-employee relationship, the municipal manager's employment is hugely dependant on the opinion of the executive council or the mayor. Surty's (2010) perspective is based on the Politicized-Bureaucracy Theory; thus, the appointed municipal manager aims to complement the municipal council's political aspirations. Therefore, the municipal manager acts on behalf of the municipal council or mayor to execute the political mandate; the municipal council monitors and oversees the municipal manager's actions. Should the municipal manager act in a manner against the municipal council's political

interest: especially its chairperson (mostly the mayor), the municipal manager, according to the theory dismissed, and the municipal council looks for another politically suitable manager.

Van der Waldt (2015) argues that one of the Constitution's shortfalls is that it does not explicitly indicate the separation of powers within municipalities, and as such municipal councils and the municipal executive committees somehow politically interfere in the administrative affairs of the municipal managers. While the scholar does not link such political interference with the politicized-bureaucracy theory; however, he observed that municipal councils or the municipal executive committees impose several directives on municipal managers (Van der Waldt 2015:26).

Thus, for the proper implementation of such directives, the Politicized-Bureaucracy Model approach is used during municipal managers' appointments. The appointments are primarily influenced by the political suitability of the municipal managers (Cameron 2003:51). Therefore, municipal managers' appointment's political suitability: the municipal council intends to create a complementary municipal administration that will serve both the municipal council's administrative and political interests.

Demir and Nyahan (2008) suggest that generally, the legislative prescripts are not vocal on bureaucrats' appointment based on political suitability. These scholars further note that politicization allows the principals to have political control of the bureaucrats and the staff accounting to them (Demir and Nyahan 2008:84). Since the political government structures are in themselves agents of the external political party that is the principal, the political interest of the principal dictates for the appointment of the municipal managers; however, it is not always that the political government is acting in the interest or as per the directives on the external political party.

3.3 REASONS AND CAUSE FOR THE POLITICIZATION OF MUNICIPAL MANAGERS

According to Kopecký et al. (2013), most of the scholarly attention within the political sciences has been on increasing political patronage and political appointments within the bureaucracy as a global phenomenon. These scholars conclude that the reasons for the politicization of the bureaucracy globally are based on two: the need to have power and control of state institutions and the desire to reward local supporters of the party, activist, friends, or family members of politicians (Kopecký et al. 2013: 423).

In explaining political parties' behavior, Holcombe and Gwartney (1989) share a similar perspective by pointing out that the fundamental interest of the political party has power and control. Part of the behavior, according to Hope (1985), is the involvement, influence, or interference to any degree, directly or indirectly, overt or implicit in the appointment, promotion, or function of the bureaucracy in the political interest of the political party or individuals within the political party.

Such influence or involvement can be measured by analyzing the level of influence that the political party or individuals have in the decision-making processes of the bureaucracy and also the nature of the political-bureaucratic relations and the level of interaction; also the level of the bureaucracy in the political activities of the party and the politics of the political government; and the level of political involvement and interference in the functioning of the bureaucracy (Hope 1985:2). The scholars conclude that at the center of politicization is the quest for power- to offer employment, security, access to resources, and though the appointments increase the party's political power or individuals on the bureaucracy.

3.3.1 Access to Political and Administrative Power over a Municipality

Booyesen (2011) suggests that one of the reasons for the politicization of the municipal manager posts and other senior government positions by the African National Congress is about having a bureaucracy that will address the historical legacies of apartheid and lead the transformation agenda of the political party. Ndedi and Kok(2017), in support, adds that the deployment of cadres into these positions by the ANC is about having municipal managers that understand and appreciate the ideological orientation, policies, and political programmes of the ANC. Therefore, it is crucial to have a municipal manager that the political conviction to serve the intellect depth and skills to lead the ANC's transformation agenda through government, representing a complementary relationship between the political and administrative power in municipalities(Ndedi and Kok 2017:6). Such complementary relationship between the political and administrative leaders enables the political party to implement party policy and take political decisions on resource allocation.

Twala (2014) further adds that the African National Congress exercises its cadre deployment policy in both the political and administrative positions in government, including municipal managers and other senior managers' positions. He concludes that the rationale of the implementation of cadre deployment is to have power and control to accelerate the

transformation and development of the South Africa society; through the politicized municipal manager post, the party aimed to limit any form of sabotage or resistance and neutralize any political or administrative threat (Twala 2014:159).

The argument for such politicization by the African National Congress is that it ensures synergy, synchronization, and seamless interpretation and implementation of party policy into government programs. Thus, the best approach is for this to be conducted successfully by one of its own: who can best interpret and implement the party's aspirations. Cameron (2003) argues that the Democratic Alliance from 2001 has been exercising the appointment of municipal managers that are politically suitable and acceptable to the party in the municipalities it leads: the justification is that municipal manager posts are politically sensitive and are not simply bureaucratic. Uwizeyimana and Cloete (2003) also add that the Democratic Alliance politicized senior government officials' appointment in the Western Cape Provincial government and in Kwa-Zulu Natal, the Inkatha Freedom Party, when it leads a municipality applies the same strategy.

Furthermore, such political and administrative hegemony over municipalities by political parties also extends to the allocation or award of municipal tenders through the preferential procurement system. Munzhedzi (2016) argues that political parties can influence the tender bid and tender adjudication committees through the politicization of the municipal manager post and the Chief Financial Officer Position. Kopecký et al. (2013) suggest that such clientelistic use of power aims to control the granting of contracts to the party or politician's financial supporters, using close associates from personal enrichment or funding for the political party. Thus, political parties need municipal managers that are politically loyal and trustworthy.

3.3.2 Party politics, political competition and gatekeeping

Beresford (2015) refers to South African politics as bewildered with corruption, infighting, gatekeeping, neo-patrimonialism, rent-seeking, cronyism, and clientelism; this also includes the local government sphere. According to De Camara (2016), the political environment internally in the political parties is fragile with high levels of factionalism and infighting; and the use of the close proportional representation system is at the center of the factional contestations. Intra-party politics have a considerable influence and impact on the political and administrative government, even at the local government level. Olver (2018) describes rent-seeking as the use of the political arena for individuals' personal benefit; patronage as political power to determine use gets what and how mostly between the patron (political leader) and the supporters.

Beresford (2015) suggests that the spread of patronage-based relationships in the ANC has influenced the practice in other political parties; and links such spread to the growth of gatekeeper politics. A similar pattern of political behavior is also seen in the political party such as the Democratic Alliance, the Inkatha Freedom Party, and the Economic Freedom Fighters; however, such practices are at a small scale compared to the ANC. The reasons are based on political dominance and the size of the ANC.

The intra-party politics and the dominance of voting based on factions with political parties also extends to regional and branch politics. Mac Giollabhui (2018) describes the nature of intra-party politics, which consists of bribing delegates and external support from various business people to fund the political campaigns of the factions they support. The winning faction within the political party has a considerable influence or control of the proportional representation list for the municipal councils and based on the election outcomes, the members on the list gain access to state power.

In most cases, those given political power in the regions is awarded for their political support in the provincial and national contestations. Furthermore, the winning factions are considered gatekeepers since it is argued that the power to grant and deny access to resources and opportunities; includes bureaucrats' appointment. The appointment of bureaucrats also serves as part of ensuring that the gatekeepers' financial supporters are also rewarded through contracts and tenders.

As such, the political clientelist relations involve the distribution of resources for the gatekeepers' private gain and their supporters (Masenya 2017: 154). Thus, municipal managers' political appointment is either a reward for the political support of the political party or the faction within the political party for the distribution of resources to the patrons, or a person that will protect and promote the political survival of the winning faction or gatekeepers. Olver (2018), using the Nelson Mandela Bay Metro as a case study, suggests that the about 2 billion of the annual metro is contracted out to service providers; thus, for the appointment of several contractors by the metro, the city manager was routinely summoned to the ANC regional offices for a briefing and instruction. Thus, the contracts were subject to the approval of the ANC regional structure.

Olver concludes that in many councils, the appointment of staff and contractors is subject to intense political contestations and political considerations on the principles of loyalty and support (Olver 2018:281). The municipal managers' appointments are part of a political mandate or directive from the regional structures, and such politicization of the local

government management also extends to deputy directors and junior staff within the municipality.

3.4 CHALLENGES ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE

While anti-politicization scholars argue that politicization and political control of the bureaucracy by political agents corrupts, undermines, destroys, and erodes administrative efficiency government institutions. On the other hand, pro-politicization supporters generally view politicization and political control as a key tool that increases accountability of the bureaucrats to the politicians; ensures good relations and smooth implementation of the policy and programs. However, both sides agree that while the relationship between politicians and the municipal managers is multi-faceted and complex, political parties and the political government find various strategies to create a relationship without tension and conflict in a municipality.

Reddy (2016) also argues that political infighting, faction clashes, service delivery protests, and administrative failures in the South African local government are an order of the day. The primary factor is political nature, and the political and administrative government clashes cripple this sphere of government. He further adds that the majority party, a municipality, determines political and administrative power relations (Reddy 2016: 338).

Cameron (2003) thus suggests that such power is also subject to the external majority political party's political interest in a municipality. As such, municipal managers' appointments and functioning have become increasingly politicized by political parties over the last two decades. According to Nzo (2016), such an increase has also coincided with an increase in weak political leadership and political violence, corruption, patronage, rent-seeking, neo-patrimonialism, municipal maladministration, and a decline in service delivery and municipal efficiency.

While the scholar mentions several factors that may be possibly linked with the politicization of the appointment and function of municipal managers (Nzo 2016:98). Atkinson (2003) suggests that the country's political transition in 1994 has a massive factor in the local government administration's politicization. Since many experienced municipal managers represented the old regime and many left for the private sector and the application of the affirmative action policies.

This leads to too many appointments based on political patronage by the African National Congress (Atkinson 2003:5). Thus much on the power to make appointments, the appointment's motives, and the appointees' roles in subject to the ruling party according to the principle-agent theory. Such a perspective is supported by Panizza et al. (2018), who state that

the appointees serve at the discretion and subjects of the patron (politician or political party); such appointments are based on trust and loyalty between the patron and the appointee.

3.4.1 Governance and Administration challenges

According to Cameron (2010), the partisan control of the bureaucracy by political parties or politicians and the bureaucracy's function subject to the political control and interest of the political agents more than the administrative norms and the legislative guidelines has received much criticism even by the ANC. The replacement of merit appointments with political suitability and loyalty by the political agents, according to Mafunisa (2001) for the creation of a politicized bureaucracy model, remains the source of the problems of the local government sphere.

Koma and Kuye (2014) view the local government as being plagued with "the municipal mismanagement; lack of financial management and abuse of budget with poor audit outcomes; political infighting; corruption and nepotism; lack of infrastructure planning, investment, and maintenance; insufficient capacity due to a lack of scarce skills, high vacancy rates, poor performance management, and inadequate training and with also the challenges of provincial and national government escalating to local governance structures" (Koma and Kuye, 2014: 103). The challenge is the influence of regional or provincial political party structures in the appointment of personnel with very little to the required skills and expertise (Republic of South Africa 2014: 35).

Koma and Kuye (2014) further suggest that municipal managers view themselves as more accountable to the political party than the public through such politicization. This perspective is also held by Kok and Ndedi (2017), arguing most municipal managers believe that they are only accountable to the party and advance the parties' interests ahead of those of the public. Makanyeza et al. (2013) argue that such politicization is the cause for poor service delivery, a breeder of corruption and private gain; it also increases the lack of accountability and transparency in municipalities, increases the political influence and interference in the awarding of government contracts, and increases service delivery protest. Various media reports have reported on the rampant corruption and maladministration within the sphere linked with the political interference by political agents.

Natal Witness reported serious corruption and maladministration allegations by both the senior administrative and political officials in the UMzimkhulu municipality: the rampant corruption and abuse of resources by municipal officials, including municipal managers, are also alleged to be the reason for the political killing of prominent African National Congress councilor by Sindiswa Magaqa (Singh 2018). Furthermore, it is suggested that in most of the politicized municipalities, there is a lack-off separation between politicians and administrators; thus, the municipalities most have poor governance systems, most fail their municipal audits, and some get placed administrations (Madumo 2016:85). To further add to the crisis, politicization is also linked to fraud, corruption, and self-enrichment; year in-and-year-out municipal officials are arrested on fraud and corruption accounts.

In support of the above, the Auditor General's report on local government in 2012 also indicated that 115 of the 283 municipalities received unqualified, in the 2015-2016 AG reports, no municipality in Limpopo achieved a clean audit. Mdlongwa notes that lack of clean audits linked to the following challenges that hamper service delivery. The Auditor-General concludes that "the appointment of human resources without the technical skills and capacity slows rollouts of services; while corruption, maladministration, and financial mismanagement cripple the effectiveness of municipalities (AGSA 2016:12). Furthermore, another concern by the Auditor-General is the inadequate management of consultants, in which more than 800 million was spent on consultants (AGSA 2016:12). The appointment of consultants is also considered part of awarding supporters of the political parties or leading political figures.

To further add to the existing challenges, some of the consultant firms are either owned by prominent political figures or close relatives; or are sponsors of the political parties. The Auditor-General does conclude that most municipalities have weak planning and appointment processes, performance management and monitoring, and transferring of skills in municipalities (AGSA 2016:18). As such, the South Human Rights Commission reported that local government is still faced with the following challenges which negatively impact service delivery in municipalities and are a lack:

- "A) proper governance and budgeting, particularly in the implementation of and spending on projects
- b) Co-operative governance between departments jointly responsible for municipal services
- c) Capacity and skill (both in the sense of having adequate knowledge as well as a high vacancy rate in municipalities)

- d) Transparency in hiring external contractors and in holding contractors accountable for the quality of services delivered
- e) Understanding or implementation of a human rights-based approach to service delivery in respect of transparency and public participation
- f) Monitoring and evaluation of projects implemented by local government and
- g) Monitoring and evaluation of the utilization of funds allocated to local government (SAHRC 2015:7)"

3.4.2 Political-bureaucratic power relations

As can be deduced from the above, many of the factors that pose serious challenges to the local government sphere are linked to governance and administration. Key to achieving good governments has the right administrative staff; having the freedom to work effectively and efficiently without political interference while executing their duties. Boshoff (2011) argues that the local government sphere is highly politically contested terrains and as such party-political differences and relations are also a fundamental challenge to municipalities rendering quality and efficient services. The challenges include the removal of political office-bearers such as the Mayor, Speaker, and any of the Executive Committee members.

Such removals are mainly based on internal political differences or changes in political relations in a coalition- government. To further add to the challenges of political difference is the dismissal of municipal managers and S56 managers by newly established municipal council after removing existing: this is done to have managers loyal to the specific individuals or party that are ruling in the municipality. Loyalty is placed ahead of competency and performance excellence.

For Kayane (2010), such selections first weaken governance mechanisms, such as accountability, administrative capacity, and excellence in service delivery; instead, they become tools to facilitate corrupt and fraudulent practices. Laubscher (2012) correctly observed that based on the selection of incompetent managers, most "municipal have poor financial control and lack of accountability, leading to extensive corruption and financial mismanagement with detrimental consequences for effective and efficient service delivery" (Laubscher 2012:63). He further suggests that a key of contributory factor to the weak financial control is that there is a "tendency to appoint politically-connected individuals as municipal managers and senior managers at the local government sphere (Laubscher 2012:63).

Too many skilled professionals were being denied job opportunities in municipalities because they were outside the party political networks that have captured civil service jobs for patronage. As long as unqualified managers with political connections are being rewarded with excessive salaries and performance bonuses, it is evident that the municipalities will not succeed in providing effective and efficient service delivery (Laubscher 2012: 65).

3.5 IMPACT OF THE POLITICAL CHANGES IN THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE METROS

Pasquini and Shearing (2014) further argue that increased political competition, party politics, and political instability needs systematic and comprehensive literature on how these affect municipalities' overall functioning. As part of adding to the literature, the study discusses the recent political changes in the metro municipalities and how such changes affect city managers' appointments and functions. The rationale for selecting metro municipalities is on the hype and use of the metros as a critical indicator in analyzing the political change in the local government sphere, and those metro municipalities are category-A municipalities.

According to Isike and Onapajo (2017), the 2016 municipal elections dramatically changed South African politics' political landscape and the African National Congress's dominance grip on the Metro Cities. Simultaneously, there are several reasons for dramatic change, including the emergence of the Economic Freedom Fighters, rampant corruption, serious internal politics within the African National Congress, and the Democratic Alliance's fighting against president Zuma, the mastermind and godfather of corruption and state capture, etc.

As previously alluded to, there are various reasons for the municipal manager's politicization, and such reasons are primarily based on the increasing political competition among political parties and internally within political parties and the political survival amongst politicians. The outcome of the 2016 elections left the African Nelson Congress with three metros (Mangaung, Buffalo city, EThekweni); the Democratic Alliance has 1(Cape Town metro); the Nelson Mandela Bay, Ekurhuleni, Johannesburg, and Tshwane metro governed under the coalition or political cooperation government of the Democratic Alliance and the Economic Freedom Fighters and other small parties.

In the 2011 elections, the African National Congress enjoyed dominance in all metros except the Cape Town metro; the decline of dominance in the recent election led to the ANC's governing the Ekurhuleni Metro under a coalition/political cooperation. The coalition or political cooperation in the metros is a new phenomenon in the South African political

landscape, and as such, very little literature exists, especially in reference to the appointments, dismissals, and functioning of municipal managers.

3.5.1 Ekurhuleni Metro

The ANC's hegemony has steadily declined in the Ekurhuleni metro from 66 percent in the 2011 elections to 48% in the 2016 elections; this led to the ANC seeking another political party to govern. Thus to govern the metro, the African National Congress reached a coalition or political cooperation agreement with the African Independent Congress (AIC), which was based on the political interest in the re-incorporating of Matatiele into Kwa-Zulu Natal (Magubane 2018). Such an agreement directly impacted the Matatiele municipality and its people who want to return to the Kwa-Zulu Natal province.

The ANC national leadership needed to meet the demands of the people of Matatiele, to have the power and control over the metro.

It is important to note that the ANC's internal infighting and factions from national to regional level are key reasons why the ANC votes declined in the metro (Justesen and Schulz-Herzenberg, 2018). These scholars further add that service delivery maladministration, patronage politics, corruption, and heightened frustrations by voters all contributed to the ANC's decline (Justesen and Schulz-Herzenberg, 2018:1135). In the ANC's internal politics, pro-President Jacob Zuma's faction of Masina won the regional congress. With the president enjoying low favourability ratings and seen as the mastermind of state capture, several ANC members and supporters were not happy with the outcome and also against the nomination of Masina as a mayoral candidate for he was viewed as a Zuma-Gupta puppet.

As part of being a loyal supporter of the Masina faction, Dr. Imogen Mashazi was appointed as city manager, and what made the appointment credible is that Mashazi is a former Chief Finance Officer and acting-Manager of the metro (Buthelezi 2017). Even though she possessed the needed skills and qualifications, her appointment is seen as politically motivated by the mayor and the ANC regional political structure. It also alleged, "that the city manager is part of the looting of the municipal resources with the African National Congress regional and branch leaders" (Reporter 2018). Hence, the city managers' appointment should also be viewed as part of political survival and award for the support that Mashazi provided the Mashazi faction.

The city manager has been linked with seeking bribery from one of the contractors together with one of the ANC branch chairpersons (Reporter 2018). Since the metro is governed through

a political agreement and any coalition changes are likely to bring about administrative changes. Thus, if a new political leadership of the municipality was to emerge, which is likely to be DA and EFF led, it is likely to pursue the allegations against the city to ensure the possibility of an appointment of a city that will be more politically suitable. However, such a process is likely to meet too much resistance from ANC in terms of any political administration changes: for already one motion of no confidence on the mayor has failed.

3.5.2 Nelson Mandela Bay Metro

The ANC's decline in the Nelson Mandela Bay Metro was hugely influenced by the intra-party conflicts, contestations, and factional groups in the region. Ndletyana and Nomarwayi (2016) view the 'Polokwane factor' as the epitome of the intra-party conflicts and factions in the ANC: the Zuma faction no longer needed those supporting Thabo Mbeki to service in the administration either as public servants or political leaders. Such political infighting in the ANC resulted in the disruption and dysfunctionality of both the municipal administration and the municipal council in the 2011-2016 municipal term and the regional leadership's disbandment. The municipal manager's office in the administration became the most affected; thus, Ndletyana and Nomarwayi (2016) note that from 2009 the metro was operating under an acting municipal manager after Graham Richards vacated the post acrimonious conditions.

Furthermore, concerning the changes in the metro's political leadership during the same period, Mayor Nondumiso Maphazi was replaced by Mr. Zanoxolo Wayile as mayor. The reasons for the removal were political and factionally motivated: part of a strategy to rid the Mbeki supporters from the party and state power, thus Wayile supported the Zuma faction ascended to the mayoral office Maphazi of the Mbeki faction removed (Ndletyana and Nomarwayi 2016:577). Furthermore, the ANC's internal disagreements, especially between Nceba Faku (former mayor, member of the REC of the ANC, and provincial chairperson of COSATU) on the municipal manager's appointment, further added to the crisis. The REC preferred Sithembele Vatala, while Wayile favored Thabo Ndlovu and was determined to make the appointment; however, Vatala blocked the process with a court interdict.

It is also acknowledged that as a political retaliation to the action of Vatala, Wayile was appointed as acting municipal manager and dismissed Mr. Elias Ntoba in 2011. Challenges in the metro continued, as several executives, managerial positions had interim heads subject to the REC's preference (Ndletyana and Nomarwayi 2016:582).

All challenges lead to the decline of the ANC and poor performance of the municipal administrations: thus, the outcome of such political infighting led to the ANC losing the metro to the DA-EFF coalition government in the 2016 local government elections. According to

Mokgosi et al. (2017), coalition or political agreements in the South African local government are likely to increase. However, what is interesting about the coalitions in South Africa, is that they are subject to national politics, and the Nelson Mandela Bay metro is a perfect example of this.

Hence, in 2018 after the Economic Freedom Fighters leadership in the metro received a mandate from the national leadership remove DA's executive mayor Athol Trollip: due to difference in the expropriation of land without compensation issue, and that the mayor stood as a representative of white supremacy(Mahlati,2018). After the removal of Trollip as mayor and Mbulelo Manyati as a speaker, the African National Congress and the United Democratic Movement entered into a coalition: this resulted in UDM candidate Mongameli Bobani being the executive, major, and DA councilor Buyelwa Mafaya as municipal-speaker.

The intensity of the nature of the politicization also occurred with Mayor Athol Trollip took office; soon afterward, the DA-EFF lead coalition dismissed acting city manager Vuyo Mlokoti, as he was considered to be a pro-ANC manager. The mayor, in 2016, appointed Johann Mettler, who was the acting city manager and former Chief Financial Officer of the municipality. It is important to note that while Mr. Mettler has the required qualifications, skills, and experience to be a municipal manager, he was also politically suitable after serving as a municipal manager in the DA-led Drakenstein municipality. In addition to the above, Johann Mettler was also a candidate that the African National Congress could not vote against after rescuing the ANC-led uMsunduzi municipality in 2010.

After the removal of Trollip in 2018 and the changes in the coalition government, the metro was now lead by a UDM -ANC government. Due to the different political interests, the new mayor Mongameli Bobani dismissed Johann Mettler as municipal manager, and Pieter Nielson was appointed as acting manager (Lugunya 2018). Peter did not enjoy much time in the office; in May 2019, the executive mayor announced that city manager Peter Nielson was relieved from his acting duties. While Bobani cited medical reasons for the relief, Anna Reporter (2019) argues that the DA's criminal charges against Bobani and Nielson on corruption, especially on Integrated Public Transport System, are primarily the reasons. The Democratic Alliance also argued that Nielson did not possess the minimum qualifications and competencies and that his appointment was irregular (Reporter 2019).

Soon after Nielson's removal, Nolwandle Gqiba was appointed as the acting city manager; however, after three months, she was replaced by Noxolo Nqwazi. Furthermore, due to the political pressure to remove Bobani as mayor, the executive mayor took a unilateral decision to remove Nqwazi as acting manager and replaced her with Nobuntu Mgogoshe as acting city

manager (Kimberley and Nkosi 2019). Mgogoshe is argued not have needed competencies and expertise to act as a city manager according to the DA (Tandwa 2019); she also appointed a municipal accountant Karl Kramer as CFO, without having the required senior management experience as per legislations (De Kock 2019).

In terms of politics, the DA called for the metro to be placed under administration, the party also placed a motion of vote of no confidence in the executive mayor. The African National Congress supported the motion, Congress of the People (COPE), African Independent Congress (AIC), and the United Front, while the EFF abstained from voting (Kimberley 2019). After the ousting of Bobani as mayor, Mgogoshe was removed as acting city manager, and Noxolo Nqwazi was re-instated as the acting city manager (Kimberley and Nkosi 2019). Thsonon Buyeye is the interim mayor of the political and administratively embattled metro with several vacant executive directors and accounting managers posts (De Kock 2019).

It is clear that the political infighting within the municipality is the leading cause of the administrative instability; therefore, the political coalitions increase the lack of distinction between politics and administration and also increase the need to have greater political control over the administration. Such changes in the administration and vacancies in senior manager posts are likely to have a massive impact on the metro's inability to render services effectively.

3.5.3 Tshwane Metro

In both the Tshwane and Johannesburg metro, the Economic Freedom Fighters were the king-makers with 11 percent of the respective municipalities' votes. While the ANC has 41 percent in Tshwane and 44 percent in the Johannesburg Metro, and the DA 43 percent in the Tshwane and 38 percent in the Johannesburg metro.

In both metros, the EFF entered into a coalition with the DA to ensure that the DA runs the metros. As already mentioned on the political developments that impacted the change of the Nelson Mandela Bay Metro Mayor, Athol Trollip, the EFF had indicated that Tshwane mayor would be next.

The Mayor of Tshwane, Solly Msimanga faced motions of no confidence against him: it is just that the ANC and the EFF had not agreed on forming a coalition. With the increasing political fighting between the DA and the EFF and with the 2019 elections on the door, it was just a matter of time that the Tshwane political leadership would change. The EFF attempted to oust the Executive Mayor through a motion of no confidence; however, that failed; the EFF also decided to walk out on a motion of no confidence pushed by the ANC. The motion was based on the city manager Dr. Mosola implicating the mayor in a scandalous multibillion-rand

contract tender with Glad Africa (Andersen, 2018). In response, the mayor attempted to suspend the city manager on two accounts, which both failed.

The ANC also laid criminal charges on both the mayor and the city manager: for the embezzlement of city funds and the Municipal Structure Act's contravention in the awarding of the Glad Africa tender (Reporter 2018). The ANC further accused the mayor of awarding the illegal tender: so that the contractors can fund this campaign against the internal DA contestation for the federal chairperson position (Kgosana, 2018). In contrast, the Public Protector Report and the Auditor-General did not implicate the mayor.

It can be suggested that, indeed, the city manager's office has been politicized for the DA's internal political battles and for funding the DA in general. This became clearer when Msimanga soon stepped down as mayor and became the DA's premier candidate in the 2019 national elections. The city manager soon followed the mayor; as the city under mayor Stevens's leadership, Mokgalapa reached a mutual agreement to part ways with an R7-million golden handshake (Evans 2019).

The city council appointed chief audit executive Moeketsi Ntsimane as the acting city manager; however, the ANC was heavily criticized for it infringed on the independent and objective function of the internal auditing (Mahlokwane, 2019). With only serving three months as acting city manager, Ntsimane was removed. After his removal by the council, Ntsimane complained about the interference and undue influence of high profile-politicians on the city's administration while not mentioning any names. He further suggested that mixing politics with administrative functions cripples and compromises service delivery (Moatshe, 2019). The political leadership crisis was further exacerbated when Mayor Steven Mokgalapa was removed through a motion of no confidence by the ANC. Thus currently, the metro is under the leadership of acting mayor Abel Tau and acting city manager Makgorometje Makgata. The issue of the removal of Mayor Steven Mokgalapa has been sent to the courts to preside.

3.5.4 Johannesburg Metro

The political and administrative relations between the Johannesburg metro are good. Since the election of Herman Mashaba and the appointment of Dr. Ndivhoniswani Lukhwareni as city manager, the metro has enjoyed a term of good governance and improved service delivery. However, this does not mean the metro was not scandal-free: the mayor was alleged to have unduly used his influence in a multimillion-rand contract to an NGO (Field Band Foundation) that he once chaired (Koko 2019); also according to Amabhungane, the EFF was given the patronage of lucrative city tenders as a 'quid pro quo for the DA-EFF coalition, this includes as

billion rand fleet management deal to a company that makes payment to the EFF (Reddy and Brümmer 2018).

Internal Democratic Alliance politics that lead to the election of Dr. Hellen Zille as the federal chairperson has led to the resignation of party leader Mr. Mmusi Maimane and Johannesburg metro mayor Herman Mashaba. The impact of these resignations, especially the one of Herman Mashaba, opened space for the ANC to take control over the metro successfully; after three unsuccessful motions of no confidence in the mayor (Cilliers 2019). The taking control occurred when two DA councilors voted with the ANC; stating that "*the return of Helen Zille made the part more antagonist to redress*" (Feketha 2019).

While the political relationship between the EFF and the DA in the metro remains intact, the change in relations between the Inkatha Freedom Party and the ANC was also crucial in Geoff Makhubo's election as mayor. As Black (2010) correctly indicated, post-election coalitions are primarily unstable, are like increasing underhand dealings, corruption, and maladministration, for politicians are primarily obsessed with gaining access to resources and power. City manager Dr. Lukhwareni will likely be axed very soon, based on not being politically suitable.

3.6 CONCLUSION

This chapter aimed to explore and enhance our understanding of the complex nature of the political bureaucracy, the practices of politicization of the bureaucracy, and its impact on the local government sphere's functioning. Thus, this chapter has discussed political parties' practices, mainly the African National Congress, in politicizing municipal managers' appointments and functions. The chapter has presented an argument for the causes and reasons for the politicizations. Part of the reasons provided for the politicization of appointments is a quest for the legitimacy and hegemony on municipalities, and also intra-party politics and contestations are also a leading factor in the politicization of municipal managers.

This chapter further discussed literature on the current development of the metros, especially coalition governments, and the impact of the administration's changes. Thus, the need to have politically responsive administrators increases, especially in the coalition or political cooperation metros. The impact of these coalitions, whenever they are changes in political leadership, contributes to municipalities' administrative instability, especially with the dismissals of municipal managers and CFO's.

It is important to note that stable coalitions can build; the metros' development provides lessons to draw from for the next local government elections. The increase in coalition governments also increases the politicization of the appointment of municipal and senior managers. Therefore, the appointment of municipal managers as a reward for their political support

creates politicized bureaucratic relations. The following chapter will focus on the research methodology.

Chapter Four: Research Methodology

4.1 Introduction

The previous chapter introduced and discussed the various theories on political-bureaucratic relations and the practices of politicization and political control through the lens of the theories which this study used. The chapter also mentioned that due to the increasing criticism on the involvement of political parties or politicians in the bureaucracy's appointment and function, any form of political control is considered undue pressure to suppress the bureaucracy's neutrality and effectiveness. In line with the theoretical underpinnings from the previous chapter, this chapter presents the research process outline.

Thus, the chapter provides an in-depth account of the research methodology used in conducting this research and the rationale for using the chosen research method. It also discusses the research design and approach, data collection process, and the means to analyze the data; and ends with discussing the limitations of the study.

4.2 Research Methodology

McMillian and Schumacher (2010) provide a very general characterization of research, which includes developing methods of investigating a research problem consisting of processes that are well planned to collect and analyze data to answer the research questions. Creswell (2008) asserts that a research methodology's fundamental role is to be a strategy of inquiry, and such a strategy is supported by the research design, data collection, and data analysis. The purpose of the research methodology is to solve the research problem systematically, and as such researcher uses either a qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-research method (Kothari 2004:7). The overall purpose of a research methodology is to describe how the researcher collects and analyses data.

This study explored the methods used by political parties in politicizing and exercising political control over municipal managers; the purpose of the study was also to understand the rationale of political parties to politicize the bureaucracy and further explore the perceived impact of

politicization on the effectiveness and efficiency on the local government sphere. In accordance with the research objectives and the research questions, qualitative research was used.

4.2.1 Justification for the use of Qualitative Research

Van Deventer (2013) proclaims that qualitative research allows the researcher to understand and describe people and institutions' behavior in the social settings and the theoretical assumptions used in the study. A qualitative study also looks at relationships without necessarily making predictions about them, and most of the data collected are in the form of words. According to Bowen et al. (2017), social scientists have become accustomed to using qualitative research to explain and describe the experience, thoughts, feelings, and behavior of people.

Kumar (2011) provides a simplistic definition of qualitative research as an approach "to understand, explain, explore, discover and clarify situations, feelings, perceptions, attitudes, values, beliefs, and experiences of a group of people" (Kumar 2011:104). Such a definition is supported by Du Plooy-Collier et al. (2014:14), who suggest that the qualitative research methodology helps the researcher and reader understand, explain, and describe people's behavior and what informs such behavior. The qualitative research was chosen because it enabled the study to better understand and interpret political parties' behavior in politicizing the bureaucracy and the bureaucrats' behavior towards political parties and the political government.

Qualitative research is not the only research approach; quantitative research is mainly used by natural scientists and is based on measuring and classifying information on numeracy and statics (Kumar 2011:104); the collected data is analyzed in the form of numbers (Walliman 2017:113). For this study to achieve its objectives, researchers use either one of the systematic research approaches, and as such, this study used the qualitative research approach. The study viewed the qualitative approach as best due to the sensitivity of the topic, and while Creswell (2008) acknowledged that qualitative research has several differing knowledge, methods used for inquiry, data collection techniques which include primary data (observations, surveys, and interviews, etc.) and secondary data (books, journals, newspapers, etc.), and analysis of data.

Langos (2014) also noted that qualitative research's success is heavily reliant on the researcher's skills, the availability of information, and the research's ability to avoid any form of biases. This study used a desktop research approach relying on the existing data on the political parties' politicization and political control and the nature of the political-bureaucratic relations. The study also explored the correlation between politicization and municipal performance, the outcomes of the research are not based on any of the researcher's judgment or interpretations, and as such, the study used both the descriptive and explorative approaches.

4.3 Research Approach and Design

One of the fundamental roles of a research approach and design is to ensure that research has clear aims and objectives aligned with how the data will be collected and how the data will be analyzed. The research approach and design are considered as the blueprint of the research that assists in preventing the researcher from derailing from the aims and objectives; it illustrates how the research will be conducted and enables the research to answer the research questions, and also consider the limitation of the study (Babbie and Mouton 2011:74).

The research design is based on the research problem and the research questions outlined in the first chapter, and it is the glue that holds the study and links all the phases of the study together. Kumar (2011) concludes that research design has two functions: to identify and develop the procedures required to do a study and ensure the quality of these procedures for the study's validity, objectivity, and accuracy.

As mentioned, the qualitative research approach used in this study was a desktop approach that relied on secondary data to seek accurate information on the topic: the secondary consisted of the historical background and theoretical principles and literature from published and unpublished data. Such data consisted of government reports and legislation, journal articles, books, newspaper, and unpublished data such as dissertations and theses. These recorded and interpreted data assisted in better understanding the politicization and the political-bureaucratic relationship within the local government sphere.

This perspective is supported by Denzin and Lincoln (2005) when they suggest that the use of secondary data assists the researcher in making sense of or interpret the behavior of people in natural settings and what causes such behavior. Based on political parties' definition in the previous chapter, this study views politicization as a human behavior by a legal person (the

political party). The research also used the descriptive and the exploratory approach to better the politicization of the bureaucracy and the nature of the political-bureaucratic relations.

4.3.1. Descriptive Approach

As social sciences are considered the 'science of society' that is about investigating and seeking to answer unexplained human behavior individually or in cooperative associations. Most social scientists use qualitative research; furthermore, most practice the qualitative exploratory and descriptive approach, and while some use the quantitative or the mixed-research also use the explanatory approach. This study's main objective is to understand the politicization of the bureaucracy by political parties and explore the theoretical perspectives on political-bureaucratic relations and challenges in the local government sphere linked to the politicization of the bureaucracy.

The study must use both descriptive and exploratory approaches. Meyer and Rigsby (2001) conclude that the descriptive approach allows the readers to understand the research problem's nature and impact. Nassaji (2015) argued that qualitative research must describe a phenomenon and its characteristics; as such, the study described the behavior of political parties in the politicization of the local government bureaucracy and how the politicization impacts the political-bureaucratic relations.

In describing the features of politicization and the methods applied to gain political control over the bureaucracy, the study hopes to better understand the phenomenon. Thus, the descriptive approach is concerned with describing both how and why political parties politicize the bureaucracy and what they intend to achieve with politicization. Therefore, this study described the nature and methods used on the politicization of the bureaucracy through the collected data.

4.3.2 Exploratory Approach

It is important to note that the exploratory research approach uses either primary or secondary data. The focus of such an approach on what questions:

- what are the reasons for the politicization and political control of the bureaucracy by political parties,

- what are the challenges faced by municipalities that are linked to the practice of politicization, and,
- what are theoretical perspectives on political-bureaucratic relations?

The use of the exploratory research approach is aimed chiefly at providing new explanations and a new angle to a matter that has been previously researched (Reiter 2017:143). Numerous studies have looked at the political control of political parties on the political government in South Africa. However, very few have focused specifically on the local government sphere, let alone on the bureaucracy's politicization and political control in this study.

With the use of the key concepts, theories on political parties and political-bureaucratic relations, and the literature on the South African local government sphere, the study explored the practices on politicization and its links to the sphere's challenges. The research explains the forth-back interactions between theory and reality on politicization to try to make better sense of the phenomenon. Thus, the study focuses on the linkages between the nature of political-bureaucratic relations and the efficiency of municipalities.

4.4 Data Collection

Several instruments a researcher can use to collect data; can be through documents (academic and non-academic), interviews, surveys, questionnaires, observations, etc. (Halcomb 2016:6). As already mentioned in the chapter, the primary source of information in this study is secondary data; thus, the study used the existing data to answer the research questions and achieve the objectives. The secondary data and theoretical underpinnings of Political-Bureaucratic Relations theories have been applied to the presently ongoing processes of politicizing the bureaucracy in the South African local government sphere. The study relied on secondary data related to political parties' politicization and political control and the impact of such practices on the local government sphere. Given the challenges of the available data on the involvement or role of political parties in the bureaucracy's politicization and political control, this study is both descriptive and explorative.

4.5 Data Results and Analysis

Alhojailan (2012) defines *data analysis* as a systemic process to give meaning or interpret the collected data concerning the research problem. Data analysis is central to any study for it is the process of analyzing the collected data; for this study, the collected secondary data on politicization and political, bureaucratic relations in the local government sphere, mainly the metro municipalities, will be subject to the data analysis methods.

Shanthi et al. (2017) suggest that there several methods that can be used to analyze qualitative data: it can be narrative, phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, case study, generic approach, constructivism, feminist, content analysis, narrative analysis, thematic analysis, postmodernism, or mixed methods. The analyzed secondary data were to identify, describe and explore the relationship between poor municipal performances, challenges of rampant corruption and maladministration, and the impact of politicization on the local government sphere; and determine the need to find an ideal model for South Africa.

To bring the theoretical underpinning and political parties' practices in the politicization of the bureaucracy, the content analysis approach was viewed as a valuable and suitable method to analyze the data. Elo and Kyngas (2007) advocate that through content analysis, the researcher can describe a phenomenon to test theoretical issues around the phenomena to obtain a better understanding and assist in providing new insights on the phenomena.

These scholars further acknowledge that content analysis can be either qualitative or quantitative, deductive or inductive (Elo and Kyngas 2007:109). This study used a qualitative deductive approach. The deductive approach begins from a general to the specific; the general is a theoretical framework on politicization and political-bureaucratic relations and the specific on the practices of politicization and political control over the bureaucracy within the South African local government sphere.

Such an approach allowed the researcher to use existing literature and find common ground concerning the understanding and perceptions of political-bureaucratic relations and explain the nature of politicization and politics on the bureaucracy and its impact on efficiency and effectiveness of the local government sphere. Thus, through the content analysis, the study was able to detect, identify, and explain politicization practices consistent with the theory on political-bureaucratic relations. The different theories on political bureaucratic and the critical concepts defined; the examined case of politicization of the municipal managers in the South African local government sphere.

4.6 Discussion of Findings

4.6.1 Nature of Political-Bureaucratic Relations

- Across South Africa, it is political parties that lead to the politicization of the bureaucracy. The Democratic Alliance, while practicing the politicization of the bureaucracy, primarily considers people that are politically suitable with the relevant qualifications. This is not always the case in African National Congress lead municipalities, for political or factional loyalty is prioritized before qualifications.
- Whenever there are changes in the political administration, especially during elections, this also means a change in the bureaucracy, clearly indicating that there is a distrust in municipal managers from a previous administration; significantly, if the previous administration was led by a different political party or a different faction with the same political party. Therefore, the dismissals, recruitment, and functioning of the senior managers in municipalities are subject to politics.
- The Politicized-bureaucracy model is prevalent in the local government, with a few municipalities using the Complementary and Collaborative Model.

4.6.2 Performance of Municipalities affected by politicization

- In most municipalities where the Politicized-Bureaucracy Model has been used, these municipalities are linked with the challenges of service delivery backlogs, leadership and governance failures, corruption and fraud, poor financial management, and poor performance management.
- Most municipal managers view the involvement of political parties as a threat and intimidation to their function, which negatively impacts their performance; in metros where there is no political party with a clear majority. The majority party is plagued with external factional problems; municipal and senior managers' dismissal increases due to forever changing political dynamics in the municipal council.

4.6.3 Political killings linked with the politicization

- Since the politicization of the bureaucracy is linked with political party internal fighting, managers' employment is also a reward for those loyal to the political party, faction, or private sector interest. Senior municipal managers experience threats, intimidation and are killed (SALGA 2017:3).

4.7 Limitations of the Study

Part of the limitation of this study is the desktop approach on the politicization and political control by political parties on municipal and senior managers' appointments and functioning. Thus, the reliance on secondary data, such as newspapers, books, journal articles, unpublished data, and government reports, provided limited information on the extent and intensity of politicization practices. An empirical approach through the use of interviews and questionnaires would have enhanced the study. The empirical approach's exclusion was based on the matter's sensitivity, especially with the increasing political killings of political leaders, councilors, and senior municipal officials. Due to the safety of the researcher and the potential research participants, the desktop approach was referred. However, further research around the topic with empirical data is still needed.

4.8 Conclusion

This chapter has extensively discussed the research methodology and the research approach and design that complements the data collection and data analysis. The study uses the qualitative research method to inquire about the bureaucracy's politicization in the South African local government sphere. The research used secondary data as the primary source of information and used the deductive content analysis approach to analyze the secondary data. In conclusion, throughout the chapter, the researcher has flagged the limitations of the study, but such limitation does not impede the importance of the study nor affect the quality.

CHAPTER 5: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

5.1 INTRODUCTION

This concluding chapter reviews the study's primary objective to explore political parties' involvement in municipal managers' appointments and how political parties or key politicians interfere in municipal managers' functions. This chapter provides the summary and recommendations that municipalities or the whole local government sphere can limit the negative impact of politicization or establish a politically neutral bureaucracy that is responsive to the political imperatives of the political parties based on the party manifesto. The study's primary objectives were to explore the extent to which politicization and political control affect municipalities' functioning and effectiveness and make recommendations to this effect. Finally, this chapter revisits the long-standing debate on the political sciences' field on the relationship between political parties, the political government, and bureaucrats in light of the conclusions.

5.2 SUMMARY

One chapter provided the background, objectives, and rationale for the study focusing on political parties' involvement in municipal managers' appointment and function. The chapter also describes and explains the purpose, significance, research problem, and research questions and provides in-depth literature on the historical background for developing the local government sphere as part of the foundation of the study.

The second chapter provided a theoretical framework for the study that provided an understanding of political-bureaucratic relations and the bureaucracy's politicization. The

chapter consisted of three theories which deal with the relationship between politicians and bureaucrats; and political parties and government. The dichotomous political-bureaucratic relationship theory calls for a separate relationship between politicians and bureaucrats, and it centered on the depoliticized, neutrality, and professionalism of bureaucrats. In contrast, the inseparable-relationship theory is centered on the politicized relationship between politicians and bureaucrats. The politicized relationship can be either through the use of the complementary or collaborative model; besides, the principal-agent theory for a multi-dimensional understanding of political control exercised by the political agents.

Chapter three focused on the research methodology and research design for the study. The discussed the research method that the study would use to collect and analyze the collected secondary data on the involvement of political parties in the municipal manager appointments and functioning politicization. This chapter's objectives were to find a linkage between the objectives, the theoretical framework, the existing literature, and data, thereby exploring the nature of politicization and political control by political parties in South Africa. Lastly, the chapter described and explained the limitations of the study.

The fourth chapter explored the reasons and causes for the municipal manager appointments and functions; the chapter also discussed political parties' practices in politicizing the bureaucracy, especially by the African National Congress. The chapter also discussed the legislative guidelines for municipal managers' appointments and municipal councils' role in the appointments. Furthermore, the chapter has also looked at the challenges within the local government sphere linked with municipal managers' politicization and political control, especially municipal managers' appointments with the necessary qualifications and experience. The chapter concluded by exploring the impact of the recent political changes in the metro on municipal managers' appointments and function; the section also looks at individual politicians' roles, such as mayors.

The fifth and concluding chapter summaries the study and specifically outline the problem areas with the politicization and political control of municipal manager and recommendations to limit the problems with associated with politicization and also establish a model that increases the quality of service delivery and function of municipal managers; while also increasing their political responsiveness. The following section presents the problem areas and the recommendations of the study.

5.3 OUTLINE OF CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The outline of the challenges that affect the local government sphere in South Africa that is linked with the politicization and the politicized political-bureaucratic relations were determined throughout this study as follows:

- The study established that worldwide that that practices of politicization and attempts to establish are negatively perceived, and challenges in the government are argued to be caused by politicization; the South African local government sphere's case is no different. The challenge of having municipal managers that are appointment without possessing the necessary skills, qualifications, and experience.
- The study highlighted that the cadre deployment practices of the African National Congress is the most criticized and has been linked with the following administrative challenges within the local government sphere: the apparent lack of distinction between the operation function between politicians and administrators, due to the political involvement of political parties to such an extent in some municipalities that municipal managers receive the mandate directly from the regional structures of political parties; there are rampant corruption and maladministration, service delivery backlogs and violent protests; governance and administration failures; poor financial management and the incapacity of municipalities to financially sustain themselves; political infighting within municipal councils that directly affect the function of municipal managers;
 - The politicizations promote municipal managers to fail to comply with the regulations due to the political influence, especially in the appointment of service providers that are politically favored.
 - The appointment and function of municipal managers are also subject to the internal politics of political parties. In a coalition or political cooperation municipality, they are subject to the winning coalition's political interest, and any changes are likely to lead to suspension of municipal managers if they are not politically suitable.
 - The study also found that even individual politicians, specifically mayors, also politicized municipal managers' appointment and function for their private and political interests. Furthermore, the politicization also extends to directors and junior staff within municipalities. Recommendations to overcome some of these challenges need to be made.

5.3.1 Recommendations

Drawing from the literature on the politicization of the bureaucracy as well as theories on political-bureaucracy relations, this study makes the following recommendations:

Ø There is a long-standing debate within the political sciences and public administration, with one side calling for the neutrality of the bureaucracy and the other side calling for the bureaucracy's politicization. Since the bureaucracy is a creation of politics, the practice of politicizing the appointment and function of municipal managers falls under the theoretical underpinnings of the Inseparable Political-Bureaucratic Relations Theory. Furthermore, the study highlighted that political parties' practices seek to create a politicized and collaborative bureaucracy instead of a complementary bureaucracy.

An ideal recommendation would be to suggest a complete separation of the bureaucracy from politics, but such a recommendation will not receive support from political parties. It would be challenging to ensure that all appointments are politically neutral or to ensure that there is no political interference in municipal managers' function, especially in this current juncture of developing the local government sphere and South African politics in general.

Instead, the recommendation is for municipal councils to use either the depoliticized bureaucracy approach and allow municipal managers to run municipal based on the administrative capacities or if they see the need to politicize the appointment and function to use the complementary bureaucracy model. All the appointment of the municipal managers must meet the legislative guidelines in meeting the requirements for appointments. The managers' competency should be highly prioritized; then, political suitability can be taken into account. Most importantly, the performance agreements should outline the key targets of the elections manifesto that must be turned into municipal programmes and policies to create an interdependent, distinct, and complementary relationship between politicians and bureaucracy. Furthermore, no external political party structures may directly liaise with the municipal manager. The political party needs to recommend or give the mandate to any functioning; it should be done through its party members within the municipal council or municipal executive. Thus, in terms of appointments, the municipal council members may only recommend people who meet the minimum requirements.

Ø It should be legislated that even at branch-level, political parties should declare donations given to the political party, even donations made during the internal political party contests. The donors were mainly rewarded through government contracts, and thus, municipal managers are instructed on whom to support. Thus, on any contractor is suspicious, it should be viewed if the contractor is awarded not as a reward but because the contractor was appointed in the public interest.

Ø Any person who applies for a municipal manager position should declare any pending cases against him or her from previous employment. If the municipal council chooses that person to be a municipal manager, a letter of motivation from the municipal council must be sent for the MEC of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs to note and approve.

5.3.2 Recommendations for future research

Further research into the topic is highly recommended since the study employed desktop qualitatively relied on secondary data, and the limitations were noted in the study. Therefore, more scholarly interest in this field of research should be pursued; with the use of empirical data collection methods such as interviews, surveys, and focus group discussions. These approaches will be more suitable since there is a growing bulk of literature being published. Furthermore, also the study focused on the local government sphere only on the politicization of municipal manager post and with the recent development around state capture in the country; several areas can be looked into, including the appointment of board members into State-Owned-Enterprises, the appointment of Judges, the senior government of officials, etc.

Such empirical research regarding the nature and extent of the bureaucracy's politicization and who most benefits from such practices would be of great importance to look into; and how service delivery and development are affected. Moreover, further research is required on political parties and municipal councils to address the facing the local government sphere and meet the people's needs. Finally, more research is required on bureaucratic political relations and the search for an ideal model that will complement service delivery and efficiency while creating a complementary relationship between politicians and bureaucrats.

5.4 CONCLUSION

This study has discussed the nature of politicization and political control practiced by political parties on municipal managers and the challenges on the local government sphere linked with politicization. The study has also demonstrated that there is a closed connection with reasons such as gaining access to power, the need to increase hegemony and political dominance, and surviving internal political party battles, and rewarding members for their support in the appointment of municipal managers and other municipal positions. Furthermore, the study has forward several recommendations to limit the negative impacts of politicization and improve the local government sphere's efficiency and effectiveness and the recommendation for the

future researcher. To address the challenges of patronage politics, rent-seeking, corruption, clientelism, gatekeeping, neo-patrimonialism, and cronyism within South African politics and improve governance and service delivery.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adom, D., Hussein, E. K., & Agyem, J. A. (2018). Theoretical and Conceptual Framework: Mandatory Ingredients Of A Quality Research. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH*, 7(1).

African National Congress. (1992). Ready to Govern: ANC policy guidelines for a democratic South Africa.(<http://www.anc.org.za/docs/pol/1992/readyto.html>)

African National Congress.2005.Investing in the Future.
(<http://www.anc.org.za/docs/umrabulo/2005/umrabulo24.html>)

Alhojailan, M. I. (2012). Thematic analysis: A critical review of its process and evaluation. *West East Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(1), 39-47.

Allern, E., Bischoff, C. and Kristinsson, G. (2011). Political Control or Bureaucratic Autonomy? A Comparison of Public Appointments in Denmark, Iceland and Norway. In *ECPR General Conference, Reykjavik*

Amenta, E. (2005). *State-Centered and Political Institutional Theories in Political Sociology: Retrospect and Prospect*. Chapter 4 in the Handbook of Political Sociology, eds. Robert Alford, Alexander Hicks, Thomas Janoski, and Mildred A. Schwartz.New York: Cambridge University Press,

Atkinson, D. (2002). *Local government, local governance and sustainable development: getting the parameters right* (Vol. 4). HSRC Press.

Atkinson, D. (2007). “ Taking to the Streets: Has Developmental Local Government Failed South Africa?” in Buhlungu, S., Daniel, J., Southall, R and Lutchman, J.(ed) *State of the National: South Africa 2007*, Cape Town: HSRC, 53-77

Auditor General South Africa. (2012). Consolidated General Report on the Audit Outcomes of Local Government. MFMA 2012/2013

Auditor General South Africa. (2016). General Report on the Local Government Audits Outcomes. MFMA 2016/2017

Babbie, E. and Mouton, J. (2006) *The practice of social research*. Cape Town, Oxford University Press.

Bach, T., Hammerschmid, G., & Löffler, L. (2015). More delegation, more political control? Politicization of senior-level appointments in 18 European countries. *Public Policy and Administration*.

Badie, B., Berg-Schlosser, D., & Morlino, L. (Eds.). (2011). *International encyclopedia of political science* (Vol. 1). Sage.

Balla, S. J. (1998). Administrative procedures and political control of the bureaucracy. *American Political Science Review*, 92(3), 663-673.

- Ban, C. (2014). Drawing the Line between Political Control and Politicization: An Analysis Of Public Administration Theory. In Paper Presented At The Annual Meeting Of Nispacee (Vol. 1).
- Beresford, A. (2015). Power, patronage, and gatekeeper politics in South Africa. *African Affairs*, 114(455), 226-248.
- Bersch, K., Praça, S. and Taylor, M.M., 2017. State capacity, bureaucratic politicization, and corruption in the Brazilian state. *Governance*, 30(1), pp.105-124.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). Social science research: Principles, methods, and practices.
- Binza, S.M., 2005. The evolution of South African local governments: The politics of memory. *Journal for Contemporary History*, 30(2), pp.69-87.
- Black, K. (2010) What is a Coalition Government? Wise GeeK, <http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-a-coalition-government.htm> (Accessed January 15, 2011).
- Booyesen, S. (2011). The ANC and the regeneration of political power, 1994–2011. In conference, 'One Hundred Years of the ANC: Debating Liberation Histories and Democracy Today', Johannesburg (pp. 20-24).
- Booyesen, S. (2016). Edging out the African National Congress in the City of Johannesburg: a case of collective punishment. *Journal of Public Administration*, 51(Special Issue 1), 532-548.
- Booyesen, S. (2017). *The African National Congress and the regeneration of political power*. Wits University Press.
- Ndedi, A., and Kok, L., 2017. Roadmap for Effective and Efficient Cadre Deployment in South Africa. Available at SSRN 3026392.
- Borang, F., Cornell, A., Grimes, M., & Schuster, C. (2014). Bureaucratic politicization and politicized knowledge: Implications for the functioning of democracy. In Conference on the Quality of Government and the Performance of Democracies.
- Boshoff, W. H. (2011). *Political reality of local government service provision in the Free State Province* (Doctoral dissertation, Bloemfontein: Central University of Technology, Free State).
- Bosworth, K. A. (1958). The Manager is a Politician. *Public Administration Review*, 18 (3), 216- 222.
- Bowen, P. W., Rose, R., & Pilkington, A. (2017). Mixed methods-theory and practice. Sequential, explanatory approach. *International Journal of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Methods*, 5(2), 10-27.
- Cameron, R., (2003). Politics–administration interface: the case of the city of Cape Town. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 69(1), pp.51-66.

- Carboni, N. (2010). Changing Relationships between Politicians and Bureaucrats in Contemporary. *International Public Management Review*, 11(1), pp.90-109
- Chipkin, I. and Lipietz, B. (2012). *Transforming South Africa's racial bureaucracy: New Public Management and public sector reform in contemporary South Africa*. Public Affairs Research Institute.
- Cilliers, C. 2019. *ANC Withdraws Motion of No Confidence Against Mashaba*. <https://citizen.co.za/news/south-africa/politics/2169999/anc-withdraws-motion-of-no-confidence-against-mashaba/>
- Cloete, F., Fox, W., Muller, K., Schwella, E., Uys, F., & Van Rooyen, A., 1997. *Civil Service Systems in Comparative Perspective*, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana. <https://omalley.nelsonmandela.org/omalley/cis/omalley/OMalleyWeb/031v02424/041v03370/051v03372/061v03378.htm>
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Creswell, W.(2003). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method approaches*:California: Sage Publications
- Da Camara, M. (2016). The state of political parties and electoral politics in South Africa. available [online]< hsf.org.za/resourcecentre/focus/focus-67/MdaCamara.pdf/download>. Accessed, 4.
- Dahl, R. A. (1947). Worker's Control of Industry and the British Labor Party. *American Political Science Review*, 41(5), 875-900.
- Dahlström, C. and Holmgren, M., (2015). The Politics of Political Appointments. *QoG Working Paper Series*, 2015(4), p.4.
- Dasandi, N., & Esteve, M. (2017). The politics–bureaucracy interface in developing countries. *Public Administration and Development*, 37(4), 231-245.
- Davids, G. 2018. *Nene: More Than Half Of Municipal Managers, Cfos Not Properly Qualified For Jobs*. <https://ewn.co.za/2018/09/19/nene-more-than-half-of-municipal-managers-cfos-not-properly-qualified-for-jobs>

De Kock, R. *JUST IN | Nelson Mandela Bay metro appoints new acting CFO.*
<https://www.heraldive.co.za/news/2019-10-07-just-in-nelson-mandela-bay-metro-appoints-new-acting-cfo/>

De Visser, J, Steytler, N & May A. (2009). *The quality of local democracies: A study into the functionality of municipal governance arrangements.* South African Local Government Affairs. Pretoria: Government Printers

De Visser, J., (2001). Roles and Responsibilities of the Municipal Manager. *Community Law Centre, University of the Western Cape.*

Deloitte. (2012). *Municipal Clean Audit Thought Leadership Series: Skills and Capacity Challenges.* www.deloitte.com/.../Local%20Assets/.../Local_government_turnaround_strategy_25July12.pdf

Demir, T., & Nyhan, R. C. (2008). The politics–administration dichotomy: An empirical search for correspondence between theory and practice. *Public Administration Review*, 68(1), 81-96.

Demir, T., (2009). The complementarity view: Exploring a continuum in political—Administrative relations. *Public Administration Review*, 69(5), pp.876-888.

Denzin, N. (2010). On elephants and gold standards. *Qualitative Research*, 10, 269–272.

Denzin, N.K., & Lincoln, Y.S. (2005). *Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research.* In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The sage handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage

Doullah, S. U. (2016). Politicization of Bureaucracy and Good Governance: Bangladesh Perspective. *International Research Journal of Social Sciences*. Vol. 5(2), 28-32

Downs, A. (1957). *A theory economic of democracy.* New York, Haper & Row.

Downs, A. (1957). An economic theory of political action in a democracy. *Journal of political economy*, 65(2), 135-150.

Du Plooy- Cilliers, F. Davis, C. Beziudehout, Rose-Marie. (2014). *Research matters.* (1st Ed.) Cape Town: Juta & Company.

Elo, S., & Kyngäs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 62(1), 107-115.

Evans, S. 2019. *Accounting for GladAfrica: Will Tshwane city manager get away with it?*
<https://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/accounting-for-gladafrica-will-tshwane-city-manager-get-away-with-it-20190731>

Farazmand, A., (1997). *Modern Systems of Government*. Sage.

Feketha, S. 2019. *Fire us! DA councillors who voted for ANC in Joburg dare party.*
<https://www.iol.co.za/news/politics/fire-us-da-councillors-who-voted-for-anc-in-joburg-dare-party-39179088>

Fukuyama, F., (2013). What is governance?. *Governance*, 26(3), pp.347-368.

Furlong, S.R., (1998). Political influence on the bureaucracy: The bureaucracy speaks. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 8(1), pp.39-65.

Gailmard, S. (2012). Accountability and principal-agent models. Chapter prepared for the Oxford Handbook of Public Accountability. pp 1-27

Geddes, B. (1994). *Politician's dilemma: Building state capacity in Latin America*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Gherghina, S., & Kopecký, P. (2016). Politicization of administrative elites in Western Europe: an introduction. pp 407-412

Goodnow, F., (1900). *Politics and administration: a study in government*.

Grant, C., & Osanloo, A. (2014). Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical framework in dissertation research: Creating the blueprint for your "house". *Administrative Issues Journal*, 4(2), 4.

Halcomb, E. (2016). Understanding the importance of collecting qualitative data creatively. *Nurse Researcher*, 23 (3), 6-7.

Hanekom, S.X., Rowland, R.W. and Bain, E.G. eds., (1990). *Key aspects of public administration*. Southern Book Publishers.

HARUȚĂ, C., Radu, B. and Liviu, R.A.D.U., (2009). *The Ruling Political Class? A Theoretical*

Heady, F. (2001). *Public Administration, A Comparative Perspective*. CRC Press.

Heywood, A., (2017). *Political ideologies: An introduction*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Holcombe, R. G., & Gwartney, J. D. (1989). Political parties and the legislative principal-agent relationship. *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics (JITE)/Zeitschrift für die gesamte Staatswissenschaft*, 145(4), 669-675.

Hope, K. R. (1985). Politics, bureaucratic corruption, and maladministration in the third world. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 51(1), 1-6.

Hyslop, J., (2005). Political corruption: Before and after apartheid. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 31(4), pp.773-789.

Ilike, C., & Onapajo, H. (2017). The decline of a dominant political party: the case and future of South Africa's African National Congress (ANC). *Politeia*, 36(2), 1-20.

Jacobsen, D. I. (2001). Higher Education as an Arena for Political Socialisation: Myth or Reality?. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 24(4), 351-368.

Justesen, M.K. and Schulz-Herzenberg, C., 2018. The Decline of the African National Congress in South Africa's 2016 Municipal Elections. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 44(6), pp.1133-1151.

Kerlinger, F. N. (1986). *Fundamentals of behavioral research*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, Winston.

Kgosana, C. 2018. Msimanga to Call for Immediate Suspension of City Manager over R12bn Tender. <https://www.timeslive.co.za/news/south-africa/2018-08-22-msimanga-to-call-for-immediate-suspension-of-city-manager-over-r12bn-tender/>

Kimberley, K. 2019. Axed PE mayor Mongameli Bobani in court over 'illegal' dismissal. <https://www.timeslive.co.za/politics/2019-12-11-axed-pe-mayor-mongameli-bobani-in-court-over-illegal-dismissal/>

Kimberly, M. and Nkosi, N. 2019. Parties fume over Mongameli Bobani's new acting city manager. <https://www.heraldive.co.za/news/2019-10-04-parties-fume-over-mongameli-bobanis-new-acting-city-manager/>

Koketso, L.P. and Rust, A.B., (2012). Perceived challenges to talent management in the South African public service: An exploratory study of the City of Cape Town municipality. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(6), p.2221.

Koketso, L.P., (2011). Perceived challenges to talent management in the South African public service: an exploratory study of the City of Cape Town Municipality. Dissertation, Cape Peninsula University of Technology

Koko, K. 2019. *Herman Mashaba in Multimillion-Rand Conflict-of-Interest Scandal*. <https://www.iol.co.za/the-star/news/herman-mashaba-in-multimillion-rand-conflict-of-interest-scandal-33110918>

Koma, S. B., & Kuye, J. O. (2014). The synchronisation of the integrated development plan and local economic development policy in South African municipalities a sine qua non for growth and development.

Koma, S.B., (2010). The state of local government in South Africa: Issues, trends and options. *Journal of Public Administration*, 45(Special issue 1), pp.111-120.

Kopecký, P., MEYER SAHLING, J. H., Panizza, F., Scherlis, G., Schuster, C., & Spirova, M. (2016). Party patronage in contemporary democracies: Results from an expert survey in 22 countries from five regions. *European Journal of Political Research*, 55(2), 416-431.

Krause, G. A., & Meier, K. J. (Eds.). (2005). *Politics, policy, and organizations: frontiers in the scientific study of bureaucracy*. University of Michigan Press.

Kumar, R. (2011). *Research Methodology-A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners*. 3rd edition. Edited by Sage Publications.

Lane, J. E. (2013). The principal-agent approach to politics: Policy implementation and public policy-making. *Open Journal of Political Science*, 3(02), 85.

Langos, S. (2014). Athens as an international tourism destination: An empirical investigation to the city's imagery and the role of local DMO's. *MSc in Marketing Management, University of Derby*.

Laubscher, L. H. (2012). Challenges on financial control and accountability in South African municipalities. *Journal for New Generation Sciences*, 10(1), 63-79.

Leacock, S. (1910). The Union of South Africa. *American Political Science Review*, 4(4), pp.498-507.

Leedy, P. D. (2005). *Practical Research Planning and Design*. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.

Leedy, P.D. (1993). *Practical research: Planning and design*. New Jersey: Prentice-

Locke, J. (1993). The second treatise on civil government (1689). *Locke's political writings*.

Lugunya, A. 2019. *City Manager Johann Mettler suspended because he is an obstacle to looting*. <https://www.rnews.co.za/article/22000/city-manager-johann-mettler-suspended-because-he-is-an-obstacle-to-looting>

Mac Giollabhui, S. (2018). Battleground: candidate selection and violence in Africa's dominant political parties. *Democratization*, 25(6), 978-995.

- Madumo, O. S. (2016). De-politicisation of service delivery in local government: prospects for development in South Africa. *African Journal of Public Affairs*. Volume 9 number 3.pp 81-94
- Mafunisa, M. J. (2003). Separation of politics from the South African public service: Rhetoric or reality?. *Journal of Public Administration*, 38(2), 85-101.
- Mahlali, Z. 2018. *EFF remains resolute on its mission to remove #AtholTrollip*. <https://www.iol.co.za/news/politics/eff-remains-resolute-on-its-mission-to-remove-atholtrollip-13562618>
- Mahlokwane, J. 2019. *Bye Bye Finally To Tshwane City Manager*. <https://www.iol.co.za/pretoria-news/bye-bye-finally-to-tshwane-city-manager-31572197>
- Makanyeza, C., Kwandayi, H.P. and Ikobe, B.N., (2013). Strategies to improve service delivery in local authorities.
- Marais, D. (1989). *South Africa: Constitutional development a multi-disciplinary approach*. Southern Book Publishers: Pretoria.
- Masenya, M. J. (2017). Neo-patrimonialism, corruption and governance in South Africa. *African Journal of Public Affairs*, 9(9), 146-156.
- Mashamaite, K. (2014). Public Service Delivery Protests in a Democratic South Africa: A Dilemma for Local Municipalities. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(25), 231-237.
- Matlosa, K., & Shale, V. (2008). *Political Parties Programme Handbook*.
- Mavhiva, E. (2007) .Leadership and governance perspective in local government administration in South Africa: Limpopo province. Unpublished Doctorate Thesis: University of Pretoria.
- McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2010). *Research in Education: Evidence-Based Inquiry*, My Education Lab Series. *Pearson*.
- McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2010). *Research in Education: Evidence-Based Inquiry*, MyEducationLab Series. *Pearson*.
- Mehlape, M.M., 2018. The Effects of Political Leadership on Public Administration within South African Local Government. International Conference on Public Administration and Development Alternatives (IPADA).
- Merton, R.K., (1940). Bureaucratic structure and personality. *Social forces*, pp.560-568.
- Meyer, M. and Rigsby, J.T., (2001) A Descriptive Analysis of the Content and Contributors of Behavioural Research In Accounting 1989–1998. *Behavioural Research in Accounting: February 2001, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 253-278*.

- Moatshe, R. 2019. *Tshwane Senior Officials Hellbent On Serving Political Parties - Acting City Manager Moeketsi Ntsimane*. <https://www.iol.co.za/pretoria-news/tshwane-senior-officials-hellbent-on-serving-political-parties-acting-city-manager-moeketsi-ntsimane-38520475>
- Moe, T. M. (2006). Political Control and the Power of the Agent. *The Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 22(1), 1-29.
- Muhdiarta, U., Haning, H.T. and Ahmad, B., (2017). Model Relationship Officers of Bureaucracy and Political Officials in the Government of Papua (A Study on the Placement of Officials Structurally Echelon II).
- Munzhedzi, P. H. (2016). South African public sector procurement and corruption: Inseparable twins?. *Journal of Transport and Supply Chain Management*, 10(1), 1-8.
- Nassaji, H. (2015). Qualitative and descriptive research: Data type versus data analysis.
- Ndletyana, M., & Muzondidya, J. (2009). Reviewing municipal capacity in the context of local government reform: 1994-2009.
- Ndletyana, M., & Nomarwayi, T. (2016). ANC's loss of the jewel–Nelson Mandela Bay. *Journal of Public Administration*, 51(Special Issue 1), 573-595.
- Ndudula, M.R., (2013). *An analysis of the politics-administrative interface and its impact on delivery of municipal services: A case of the Mnquma local municipality* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Fort Hare).
- Ngcobo, P.N. (2012) *Policy Implementation In A Multi-Organisational Context: A Case Study Of The Free Basic Water Policy Of Msunduzi Municipality*. (Masters Dissertation)
- Ngubane, M.B., (2005). *An evaluation of service delivery at eNdondakusuka Local Municipality* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Nzo, T. (2016). Provincial-regional ANC politics in the Northern Cape: corruption or everyday informal practices?. *Commonwealth Journal of Local Governance*, (19), 96-118.
- Olver, C. (2018). Patronage and politics in a South African city: a case study of Nelson Mandela Bay. *Area Development and Policy*, 1-18.
- Panizza, F., Larraburu, C. R. R., & Scherlis, G. (2018). Unpacking Patronage: The Politics of Patronage Appointments in Argentina's and Uruguay's Central Public Administrations. *Journal of Politics in Latin America*, 10(3), 59-98.

Pasquini, L. & Shearing, C. (2014). Municipalities, Politics, and Climate Change: An Example of the Process of Institutionalizing an Environmental Agenda within Local Government. *Journal of Environment and Development*, 23(2):271-296.

Peters, B.G. and Pierre, J. eds., (2004). *The politicization of the civil service in comparative perspective: A quest for control*. Routledge.

Pillay, U., Tomlinson, R., and Du Toit, J. (eds.). (2006). *Democracy and Delivery: Urban Policy in South Africa*. Cape Town: HSRC Press.

Pomper, G. M. (1992). Concepts of political parties. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 4(2), 143-159.

Pretorius, D. & Schurink, W. (2007). *Enhancing Service Delivery in Local Government – The case of a district municipality*. *South African Journal of Human Resource Management*, 5(3):19-29.

PRETORIUS, M. C. (2017). *The Influence Of Political And Administrative Interaction On Municipal Service Delivery In Selected Municipalities In The Free State Province* (Doctoral dissertation, Bloemfontein: Central University of Technology, Free State).

Rahman, M. S. (2014). *Bureaucratic Perceptions to the Politics-Bureaucracy Relations in Bangladesh: A Moral Dilemma in between Political Neutrality and Responsiveness*, *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 22(3): 252-267.

Rahman, M.S., (2015). *Politics-Bureaucracy Relations, Governance and Development in Bangladesh: The Case of Local Government*(Doctoral dissertation).

Randolph, J. J. (2007). *Multidisciplinary methods in educational technology research and development*. Hämeenlinna, Finland: HAMK Press. <http://justus.randolph.name/method>

Reddy, M. & Brümmer, S. 2018. *Firm That Won R1bn Joburg Fleet Contract Paid Malema-EFF 'Slush Fund'*. AmaBhungane. <https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2018-11-29-firm-that-won-r1bn-joburg-fleet-contract-paid-malema-eff-slush-fund/>

Reddy, P.S., (2016), 'The politics of service delivery in South Africa: The local government sphere in context', *The Journal for Transdisciplinary Research in Southern Africa* 12(1), a337

Reiter, B. (2017). Theory and Methodology of Exploratory Social Science Research. *International Journal of Science and Research Methodology*, 5(4), 129-150.

Reporter, A. 2019. *Acting Nelson Mandela Bay city manager steps down*. <https://www.iol.co.za/news/south-africa/eastern-cape/acting-nelson-mandela-bay-city-manager-steps-down-23625186>

- Reporter, S. 2018. *DA Suspends Tshwane Councillor Over Allegations of Info Leaks*. <https://mg.co.za/article/2018-12-05-da-suspends-tshwane-councillor-over-allegations-of-info-leaks/>
- Reproter, S. 2018. *City Of Ekurhuleni Dismisses Claims Its Municipal Manager Resigned Over Investigation*. <https://mg.co.za/article/2018-08-12-city-of-ekurhuleni-dismisses-claims-its-municipal-manager-resigned-over-investigation/>
- Republic of South Africa (1996). *The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act 108 of 1996)*. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Republic of South Africa (1998). *The White Paper on local government, 1998*. Pretoria: Government Printer
- Republic of South Africa (2000). *The local government: Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act 32 of 2000)*. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Republic of South Africa. Department of Policy Monitoring and Evaluation. (2014). *Twenty Year Review*
- Republic of South Africa. *Municipal Structures Act (117 of 1998)*. Pretoria: Government Printers.
- Rhodes, R. A. W. (1996). *The new governance: governing without government*. *Political studies*, 44(4), 652-667.
- Riggs, F. W., (2001) "Bureaucratic Links between Administration and Politics." *Public Administration and Public Policy* 94 Pp. 815-838.
- Rohr, J. A., (1986). *To Run a Constitution: The Legitimacy of the Administrative State*. Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas
- Sager, F., & Rosser, C. (2009). Weber, Wilson, and Hegel: Theories of modern bureaucracy. *Public Administration Review*, 69(6), 1136-1147.
- Scholz, J.T., Twombly, J. and Headrick, B., (1991). Street-level political controls over federal bureaucracy. *American Political Science Review*, 85(3), pp.829-850.
- Schreurs, F., & Vandenabeele, W., & Steen, T. & Brans, M., (2011). Politico-administrative Relations in top Civil Service. *Journal of High Energy Physics - J HIGH ENERGY PHYS*.
- Scullion, H. and Collings, D., (2011). *Global talent management*. Routledge.
- Shanthi, A., Lee, K. W., & Lajium, D. (2017). Discourse Analysis as a Qualitative Approach to Study Information Sharing Practice in Malaysian Board Forums. *International Journal on E-Learning Practices (IJELP)*.

Siddle, A. and Koelble, T.A., (2013). *The failure of decentralisation in South African local government: Complexity and unanticipated consequences*. Juta Academic.

Siddle, A.M., (2011). *Decentralisation in South African local government: a critical evaluation* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Cape Town).

Singh, K. 2018. *Due to Political Killings, KZN has had the Most By-Elections In SA*. <https://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/due-to-to-political-killings-kzn-has-had-the-most-by-elections-in-sa-20180226>

Sithole, S.L. and Mathonsi, N.S., (2015). Local governance service delivery issues during apartheid and post-apartheid South Africa. *Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review*, 3(3).

Sotiropoulos, D.A., (1994). Bureaucrats and politicians: a case study of the determinants of perceptions of conflict and patronage in the Greek bureaucracy under PASOK rule, 1981-1989. *British Journal of Sociology*, pp.349-365.

South African Human Rights Commission. (2015). *The South African Human Rights Commission Investigative Hearing Report: Access to Housing, Local Governance and Service Delivery*. Cape Town: HSRC Press.

South African Local Government Association. (2017). SALGA Launches an Exploratory Study Conducted on the Impact of Intimidation and Political Driven Killings Have on Local Governance and Service Delivery. <https://salga.org.za/Salga%20News139.html>

Stanley H. U., Jr. (1959). "Bureaucracy" and "Rationality" in Weber's Organization Theory: An Empirical Study *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 24, No. 6 (Dec., 1959), pp. 791-795
Published by: American Sociological Association: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2088566>

Stempien, J. R. (2012). Use of the "Principal-Agent" Model In The Process of Investigating and Analyzing Representative Democratic Systems. *Przeład Socjologiczny*, 61(4).

Surty, F. (2010). *The political/administrative interface: the relationship between the executive mayor and municipal manager* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Western Cape).

Svara, J.H. (2001). The myth of the dichotomy: Complementarity of politics and administration in the past and future of public administration. *Public administration review*, 61(2), pp.176-183.

Tahmasebi, R. and Musavi, S.M.M. (2011). Politics-Administration Dichotomy: A Century Debate. *Administration & Public Management Review*, 17.

Tandwa, N. 2019. Nelson Mandela Bay metro faces being placed under administration for failing to appoint directors. <https://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/nelson-mandela-bay-metro-faces-being-placed-under-administration-for-failing-to-appoint-directors-20191105>

Thompson, K. (1970). *Bureaucracy and Church reform: the organizational response of the Church of England to social change 1800-1965*. Clarendon Press.

Thompson, P.S. (2013). Dinizulu and Bhambatha, 1906: An invasion of Natal and an uprising in Zululand that almost took place. *Historia*, 58(2), pp.40-69.

Tierean, O. and Bratucu, G. (2009). The evolution of the concept of bureaucracy. *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Economic Sciences. Series V*, 2, p.245.

Twala, C. (2014). The African National Congress (ANC) and the Cadre Deployment Policy in the Post-Apartheid South Africa: A Product of Democratic Centralisation or a Recipe for a Constitutional Crisis?. *J Soc Sci*, 41(2), pp.159-165.

Uwizeyimana, D. E., & Cloete, F. (2013). Political interests, affiliation and service delivery in the Cape Town Unicity Council. *Politeia*, 32(3), 50-75.

Van der Waldt, G. (2002). Key challenges for local government-the road where to?: menings en inligting. *Word and Action= Woord en Daad*, 42(382), pp.24-27.

Van der Waldt, G. (2015). Political Oversight of Municipal Projects: An Empirical Investigation. *Administratio Publica*, 23(3): 48-69.

Van Deventer, I. (2013). *Management strategies for effective social justice practice in schools* (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University).

Waldo, D. (1987). Politics and administration: On thinking about a complex relationship. *A centennial history of the American administrative state*, pp.89-112.

Walliman, N. (2017). *Research methods: The basics*. Routledge.

Weber, M. (1946). "Politics as a Vocation" and "Bureaucracy." Max Weber: Essays in Sociology. Ed. HH Gerth and C. Wright Mills.

Werlin, H.H. (2001). Bureaucracy and democracy: An essay in memory of Dwight Waldo. *Public Administration Quarterly*, pp.290-315.

Wilson, Woodrow. (1887). The Study of Administration. *Political Science Quarterly*, 2(2):197–222.

Wolpe, H., (1972). Capitalism and cheap labour-power in South Africa: from segregation to apartheid 1. *Economy and society*, 1(4), pp.425-456.