

**Towards Development of a Cryopreservation Protocol for
Germplasm of *Podocarpus henkelii***

by

Lubaina Essack

Submitted in fulfilment of the academic requirements for the degree

Master of Science

School of Life Sciences

College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science

University of KwaZulu-Natal

Westville Campus

Durban

2012

Preface

The experimental work described in this dissertation was carried out in the School of Life Sciences at the University of KwaZulu-Natal (Westville), Durban, under the supervision of Professor Patricia Berjak and Professor Norman W. Pammenter.

These studies represent original work by the author, and have not otherwise been submitted in any form for any degree or diploma to any tertiary institution. Where use has been made of the work of others, it is duly acknowledged in the text.

L. Essack

January 2012

Declaration 1 – Plagiarism

I, Lubaina Essack, declare that:

1. The research reported in this thesis, except where otherwise indicated, is my original research
2. This thesis has not been submitted for any degree or examination at any other university
3. This thesis does not contain other persons' data, pictures, graphs or other information, unless specifically acknowledged as being sourced from other persons
4. This thesis does not contain other persons' writing, unless specifically acknowledged as being sourced from other researchers. Where other written sources have been quoted, then:
 - a. Their words have been re-written but the general information attributed to them has been referenced
 - b. Where their exact words have been used, then their writing has been placed inside quotation marks, and referenced
5. This thesis does not contain text, graphics or tables copied and pasted from the Internet, unless specifically acknowledged and the source being detailed in the dissertation and in the References section

Signed: _____

(January 2012)

Acknowledgements

I am sincerely grateful to my supervisor Prof. Patricia Berjak for her invaluable counsel and unwavering support during the course of this investigation. The time and effort you invested in reviewing this manuscript is much appreciated as is the fact that you made this endeavour an important and formative experience for me. To my co-supervisor, Prof. Norman W. Pammenter, I am truly appreciative of your advice on statistical analyses as well as for helping me to streamline the experimental design and for always reminding me to focus on the primary aims and objectives of the study when conducting the research.

I am also grateful to Dr. R. Mackey for her assistance with statistical analyses and to Priscilla Maartens for her help with photography. To the staff of the Pietermaritzburg National Botanic Gardens, I thank you for always going over and above the call of duty to provide me with good-quality seeds as promptly as possible. An earnest thank you is also extended to my friend, and colleague, Cassandra Naidoo for her unfailing willingness to assist with the technical aspects of this study.

To my maternal family, my husband, and my friend, Prathiska Rambally, I not only thank you for your support, love and encouragement throughout this undertaking but also for never allowing me to lose sight of the wood for the trees. I am also grateful for the friendships afforded to me by the members of the African Centre for Plant Germplasm Conservation Research (UKZN).

The National Research Fund and the Department of Labour are also acknowledged for their financial assistance, without which this project would not have been possible.

Abstract

The trees belonging to the genus *Podocarpus*, of which only four species are native to South Africa, are renowned for their superior quality timber. Prior to 1880, *Podocarpus henkelii*, together with *P. falcatus* and *P. latifolius*, played a significant role in the development of the country as they were heavily utilised as timber trees for the building of dwellings, furniture and other necessary items. Due to this over-exploitation in the timber trade, all the *Podocarpus* species in South Africa have been afforded a 'Protected' status on the IUCN red data list of species that are either threatened or in danger of extinction. However, despite the obvious need to conserve the threatened genetic diversity of these species, few attempts (aside from *in vitro* micropropagation) have been made to explore *ex situ* *Podocarpus* germplasm conservation in the long-term. Consequently, the primary aim of this study was to establish a protocol for the long-term conservation of germplasm of *Podocarpus henkelii* Stapf ex Dallim. Jacks.

The seeds of *Podocarpus henkelii* exhibit recalcitrant behaviour and can therefore not be stored in conventional seed banks. This has necessitated the investigation of alternative methods of germplasm conservation with a focus on cryopreservation which is presently considered the most reliable, efficient and cost-effective means of storing the genetic resources of recalcitrant-seeded species for prolonged periods. The first objective of this study was to investigate the effect of slow (two-step) and ultra-rapid cooling on the post-thaw survival of variously treated *P. henkelii* embryos. The results of this investigation revealed that the rate of cooling employed had a significant effect on explant viability as none of the precultured, cryoprotected embryos that were slowly cooled survived cryostorage while some of the preconditioned embryos responded to ultra-rapid cooling (i.e. 36% shoot production and 88% callus formation). For ultra-rapid cooling, it was found that flash-drying prior to cooling was a prerequisite for survival as osmotic dehydration alone did not effectively prepare the tissues for the stresses imposed during cryostorage. Furthermore, for those flash drying intervals that yielded positive results, preconditioning explants with 10% glycerol proved the most effective pre-cooling treatment. However, due to the low recovery numbers after ultra-rapid cooling, a third cryopreservation technique i.e. cryogenic vitrification, was investigated.

For cooling by vitrification, data obtained from preliminary experiments showed that precultured explants needed to be initially loaded with 18% sucrose (w/v) + 14% glycerol (v/v) for 20 min and subsequently immersed in Plant Vitrification Solution 3 (PVS3) at 0°C for 10 min prior to cooling. However, relatively low success was achieved for *P. henkelii* embryos cooled by vitrification as the highest post-cooling survival obtained was only 20% germination, 27% shoot formation and 37% callus formation.

Due to the low post-thaw survival obtained despite the rigorous manipulations employed in the development of the slow cooling, ultra-rapid cooling and vitrification protocols, it was decided that an alternative explant should be investigated for the conservation of *P. henkelii* germplasm. The explant of choice was adventitious buds induced to form on, and subsequently excised from, mature *P. henkelii* embryos. The first objective was to develop a suitable protocol for the induction of adventitious buds on *P. henkelii* embryos. The medium that induced in the highest percentage of embryos (85%) to form adventitious buds consisted of Douglas-fir cotyledon revised (DCR) basal medium supplemented with 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose, 0.05 mg L⁻¹ NAA, 0.5 mg L⁻¹ BA and 6 g L⁻¹ agar. This medium also resulted in the highest average number of buds formed per embryo (i.e. 35 ± 3 buds per embryo). Once the adventitious bud induction medium was developed, it was necessary to optimise the size of adventitious bud clumps to be used as explants for cryopreservation. Three bud clump sizes were investigated: ca 3, 5 and 10 buds per clump. However, none of the bud clumps survived excision from the mother-tissue despite the investigation of three different types of bud-break media. The resultant tissue mortality is suggested to have occurred because the adventitious bud clumps were excised prior to bud break and shoot development which could have exacerbated excision-related cellular and sub-cellular damage.

It was therefore decided that attempts should be made to induce adventitious buds directly on *P. henkelii* embryos post-cooling, thereby eliminating the possibility of potentially lethal excision-related damage. The protocols that yielded the best results after ultra-rapid cooling and cooling by vitrification were used in this experiment. For ultra-rapid cooling, embryos were first cryoprotected with 5% followed by 10% glycerol for 1 h in each and subsequently flash dried for 30 min prior to immersion in nitrogen slush. For cooling by vitrification, embryos that were first precultured on 0.3

M sucrose for 1 d were loaded with 10% glycerol + 14% sucrose (LS4). The loaded explants were then immersed in ice-cold PVS3 and maintained on ice for 10 min prior to cryostorage. The effect of each pretreatment (either independently or in combination) on adventitious bud production pre-cooling was also investigated. For both protocols the various pretreatments decreased not only the capacity of the embryos to form buds but also the average number of buds formed per embryo (i.e. 7 ± 2 buds per embryo and 14 ± 2 buds per embryo were formed on treated embryos prior to ultra-rapid cooling and cooling by vitrification, respectively). Thus, it was predicted that even if the percentage of cryopreserved embryos forming buds was minimal, the number of possible plantlets that could be regenerated from adventitious buds per cryopreserved explant would compensate for the low recovery of embryos post-cooling. However, none of the embryos that were cryopreserved by either ultra-rapid cooling or by vitrification formed adventitious buds after eight weeks in culture.

The very restricted success achieved in this study despite the investigation of three cryopreservation techniques and two different explants only serves to reinforce the difficulties associated with the conservation of recalcitrant germplasm. The large size and structural complexity of *P. henkelii* embryos, coupled with their high water content post-shedding, are just some of the characteristics to which their intractability to the manipulations involved in the development of a successful cryopreservation protocol could be attributed. For future investigations, development of adventitious buds produced on cryopreserved root segments (as opposed to entire roots), and/or use of seedling meristems as explants which might be amenable to cryopreservation are suggested as possible avenues for the long-term conservation of *P. henkelii* genetic diversity.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
PREFACE	ii
DECLARATION 1 – PLAGIARISM	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv

CHAPTER 1

<u>General Introduction</u>	1
1.1. Conservation of plant genetic resources	1
1.2. <i>Ex situ</i> conservation	2
1.3. Seeds	3
1.3.1. Post-harvest seed behaviour and storage	4
1.4. <i>In vitro</i> conservation	6
1.4.1. Developing a micropropagation protocol	8
1.4.2. Plant tissue culture media	9
1.4.3. The tissue culture environment	10
1.4.4. <i>In vitro</i> storage	11
1.5. Cryopreservation	13
1.5.1. The cooling (freezing) process	14
1.5.2. Cryopreservation-induced injury	15
1.5.3. Developing a cryopreservation protocol	17
1.5.3.1. Explant of choice	18
1.5.3.2. Preconditioning	19
1.5.3.3. Dehydration	22
1.6. <i>Podocarpus henkelii</i> and the rationale of this study	24

CHAPTER 2

<u>Effects of Pre-treatments, Dehydration and Slow and Ultra-rapid</u>	28
<u>Cooling of <i>Podocarpus henkelii</i> Embryos</u>	
2.1. Introduction	28
2.1.1. Slow cooling	28
2.1.2. Rapid cooling	30
2.1.3. Thawing and rehydration	32
2.1.4. Onwards development	34
2.1.5. Aims and objectives	35
2.2. Materials and Methods	35
2.2.1. Plant material	35
2.2.2. Embryo excision, decontamination and plating on basal medium	36
2.2.3. Gravimetric determination of water content	37
2.2.4. Preculture	37
2.2.5. Cryoprotection	37
2.2.6. Flash drying	38
2.2.7. Pre-cooling ‘combination’ treatments	38
2.2.8. Cooling	39
2.2.9. Thawing and regeneration	40
2.2.10. Statistical analyses	40
2.3. Results	41
2.3.1. Preculture	41
2.3.2. Cryoprotection	45
2.3.3. Flash drying	46
2.3.4. Preculture and cryoprotection	48
2.3.5. Cryoprotection and flash drying	50
2.3.6. Preculture, cryoprotection and flash drying	52
2.3.7. Cooling	54
2.4. Discussion	57
2.4.1. Pre-cooling treatments	57
2.4.2. Cooling treatments	63

CHAPTER 3

<u>Attempted Cryopreservation of <i>Podocarpus henkelii</i> Embryos using</u>	67
<u>Vitrification-based Techniques</u>	
3.1. Introduction	67
3.1.1. The vitrification protocol	70
3.1.2. Aims and objectives	72
3.2. Materials and Methods	73
3.2.1. Plant Material	73
3.2.2. Vitrification solution	73
3.2.3. Loading solutions	74
3.2.4. Pre-cooling ‘combination’ treatments	74
3.2.5. Cooling	75
3.2.6. Warming and regeneration	75
3.3. Results	77
3.3.1. Vitrification solution	77
3.3.2. Loading solutions	78
3.3.3. Loading solution and PVS3	79
3.3.4. Preculture and loading solutions	81
3.3.5. Preculture, loading solutions and PVS3	82
3.3.6. Cooling	83
3.4. Discussion	84

CHAPTER 4

<u>Induction of Adventitious Buds on <i>P. henkelii</i> Embryos Pre- and Post-cooling</u>	90
4.1. Introduction	90
4.1.1. Aims and objectives	94
4.2. Materials and Methods	94
4.2.1. Induction of adventitious buds on <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos	94
4.2.2. Subsequent induction of adventitious buds for experimentation	95
4.2.3. Excision of adventitious buds	96
4.2.4. Bud-break and shoot development	96
4.2.5. Induction of adventitious buds on treated <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos pre- and ultra-rapid post-cooling	97
4.2.6. Induction of adventitious buds on treated <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos pre- and post-cooling by vitrification	98
4.3. Results	99
4.3.1. Induction of adventitious buds on mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos	99
4.3.2. Bud-break and subsequent shoot development	107
4.3.3. Induction of adventitious buds on mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos prior to cooling in LN	109
4.3.4. Induction of adventitious buds on mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos after ultra-rapid cooling and cooling by vitrification	111
4.4. Discussion	111

CHAPTER 5

Concluding Comments	119
Appendix	125
REFERENCES	126

List of Figures

Figure no.	Title	Page no.
1.6.1.	Immature and mature <i>Podocarpus henkelii</i> seeds.	26
1.6.2.	Freshly excised non-germinable and germinable immature and mature <i>Podocarpus henkelii</i> embryos.	27
2.1.	Water contents of immature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos precultured on standard basal medium supplemented with 0.06, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1.0 M sucrose for 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 d.	41
2.2.	Percentage germination of immature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos precultured on standard basal medium supplemented with 0.06, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1.0 M sucrose for 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 d.	42
2.3.	Water contents and percentage germination of immature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos precultured on medium containing 0.3 M sucrose or 0.3 M sucrose + 0.1% DMSO for 1 and 5 d.	44
2.4.	Water contents and percentage germination for freshly excised immature and mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos cryoprotected with 10% glycerol and 10% glycerol + sucrose.	45
2.5.	Water contents and percentage germination for immature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos flash dried from 0 – 240 min; sampled at 30 min intervals.	46
2.6.	Water contents and percentage germination for immature and mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos flash dried from 0 – 60 min; sampled at 10 min intervals.	47
2.7.	Water contents and percentage germination mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos precultured on 0.3 M sucrose and 0.3 M sucrose + 0.1% DMSO for 1 d, cryoprotected with 10% glycerol or 10% glycerol + sucrose.	48
2.8.	Water contents and percentage germination for mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos cryoprotected with 10% glycerol and 10% glycerol + sucrose and subsequently flash dried for 20, 30 and 40 min.	50
2.9.	Water contents and percentage germination for mature embryos that	52

	were precultured on either 0.3 M sucrose or 0.3 M sucrose + 0.1% DMSO, cryoprotected with either 10% glycerol or 10% glycerol + sucrose and subsequently flash dried for 20, 30 and 40 min.	
3.1.	Water contents and percentage germination of mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos immersed in PVS3 at 0°C and 25°C for 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min.	77
3.2.	Water contents and percentage germination for mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos exposed to different loading solutions for 20 min.	78
3.3.	Water contents and percentage germination for mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos treated with different loading solutions for 20 min and subsequently immersed in PVS3 at 0°C for either 10 or 20 min.	79
3.4.	Water contents and percentage germination for mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos either freshly excised or first precultured on 0.3 M sucrose for 1 d and subsequently treated with different loading solutions for 20 min.	81
3.5.	Water contents and percentage germination for mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos either freshly excised or first precultured on 0.3 M sucrose for 1 d and subsequently treated with different loading solutions and PVS3.	82
4.1	Effect of BA or TDZ, incorporated in DCR-based Medium 1 and Medium 2, on the percentage of <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos forming adventitious buds	101
4.2.	Effect of BA or TDZ, incorporated in DCR-based Medium 1 and Medium 2, on the number of buds produced per embryo of <i>P. henkelii</i>	104
4.3.	Adventitious buds induced to form on a mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryo cultured on bud induction Medium 1 + 0.3 mg L ⁻¹ BA for 6 weeks.	106
4.4.	Single, magnified adventitious bud attached to a <i>P. henkelii</i> embryo cultured on bud induction Medium 1 + 0.3 mg L ⁻¹ BA for 6 weeks.	107
4.5.	Green and white callus around the periphery of Clump Size 3 after 2 weeks in culture.	108

List of Tables

Table no.	Title	Page no.
2.1.	Percentage shoot production of mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos after rapid and slow (two-step) cooling, following various pre-treatments.	54
2.2.	Percentage callus formation on mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos after rapid and slow (two-step) cooling, following various pre-treatments.	56
3.1.	Percentage germination, shoot production and callus formation of treated <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos after cooling in liquid nitrogen.	83
4.1a.	Original data reflecting the percentage of mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos forming adventitious buds when cultured on the DCR-based media, 1 and 2, containing either BA or TDZ and NAA or IBA	100
4.1b.	Effect of the cytokinins BA and TDZ at a range of concentrations, on adventitious bud production by <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos	102
4.2a.	Original data reflecting the average number of adventitious buds formed per <i>P. henkelii</i> embryo when cultured on the DCR-based media, 1 and 2, containing either BA or TDZ and NAA or IBA.	103
4.2b.	Effect of the cytokinins BA and TDZ at a range of concentrations, on the average number of buds produced per embryo for <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos.	105
4.3	The effect of different pre-treatments on mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos prior to ultra-rapid cooling.	109
4.4.	The effect of different pre-treatments on mature <i>P. henkelii</i> embryos prior to cooling by vitrification.	110
A1	Composition of media used in this study	125

List of Abbreviations

BA	Benzyladenine
BAP	6-benzylaminopurine
°C	degrees Celsius
CaMg	calcium magnesium
CaOCl	calcium hypochlorite
comm.	communication
d	day(s)
DCR	Douglas-fir cotyledon revised medium
dmb	dry mass basis
DMSO	dimethylsulphoxide
FDA	fluorescein diacetate
g	gram(s)
gly	glycerol
h	hour(s)
IAA	indole-3-acetic acid
IBA	indole-3-butyric acid
2iP	isopentyl adenine
K	kinetin
L	litre(s)
LN	liquid nitrogen
LS	loading solution
M	molar
m	metres
min	minute(s)
ml	millilitre(s)
mm	millimetre(s)
mol	mole(s)
MS	Murashige and Skoog (1962) nutrient formulation
NAA	α -naphthaleneacetic acid
NaOCl	sodium hypochlorite
no.	number

%	percent
PE	phosphatidylethanolamine
pers.	personal
PGR	plant growth regulator
pH	hydrogen ion content
pt.	point
PVS	Plant Vitrification Solution
ROS	Reactive Oxygen Species
s	second(s)
SD	standard deviation
sucr.	sucrose
TDZ	thidiazuron
T_g	glass transition temperature
T_m	exothermic melting point
TTZ	triphenyl tetrazolium chloride
μ	micro
μE	microeinstein
v	volume
vs.	versus
w	weight
WPM	Woody Plant Medium